Bridgend Replacement Local Development Plan 2018-2033

Deposit Plan Representations Register: Volume 2

REP NO	CONSULTEE TYPE	REP NO	CONSULTEE TYPE	REP NO	CONSULTEE TYPE
438	Member of the Public	566	Member of the Public	691	Member of the Public
439	Member of the Public	567	Member of the Public	692	Member of the Public
440	Member of the Public	568	Member of the Public	693	Member of the Public
441	Member of the Public	569	Member of the Public	694	Member of the Public
442	Member of the Public	570	Member of the Public	695	Member of the Public
443	Member of the Public	571	Member of the Public	696	Member of the Public
444	Member of the Public	572	Member of the Public	697	Member of the Public
445	Member of the Public	574	Member of the Public	698	Member of the Public
446	Member of the Public	575	Member of the Public	699	Member of the Public
447	Member of the Public	576	Member of the Public	700	Member of the Public
448	Member of the Public	577	Member of the Public	701	Member of the Public
449	Member of the Public	578	Member of the Public	702	Member of the Public
396	Member of the Public	579	Member of the Public	703	Member of the Public
450	Member of the Public	580	Member of the Public	704	Member of the Public
451	Member of the Public	581	Member of the Public	705	Member of the Public
452	Member of the Public	582	Member of the Public	706	Member of the Public
453	Member of the Public	583	Member of the Public	708	Member of the Public
454	Member of the Public	584	Member of the Public	709	Member of the Public
455	Member of the Public	585	Member of the Public	710	Member of the Public
456	Member of the Public	586	Member of the Public	711	Member of the Public
457	Member of the Public	587	Member of the Public	712	Member of the Public
458	Member of the Public	588	Member of the Public	713	Member of the Public
459	Member of the Public	589	Member of the Public	714	Member of the Public
460	Member of the Public	590	Member of the Public	715	Member of the Public
461	Member of the Public	591	Member of the Public	716	Member of the Public
462	Member of the Public	592	Member of the Public	718	Member of the Public
463	Member of the Public	593	Member of the Public	518	Member of the Public
464	Member of the Public	594	Member of the Public	719	Member of the Public
465	Member of the Public	595	Member of the Public	721	Member of the Public
466	Member of the Public	596	Member of the Public	723	Member of the Public
467	Member of the Public	597	Member of the Public	724	Member of the Public
468	Member of the Public	598	Member of the Public	725	Member of the Public
469	Member of the Public	599	Member of the Public	726	Member of the Public
470	Member of the Public	600	Member of the Public	727	Member of the Public
471	Member of the Public	601	Member of the Public	728	Member of the Public
472	Member of the Public	602	Member of the Public	729	Member of the Public
473	Member of the Public	603	Member of the Public	275	Member of the Public
474	Member of the Public	604	Member of the Public	730	Member of the Public

REP NO	CONSULTEE TYPE	REP NO	CONSULTEE TYPE	REP NO	CONSULTEE TYPE
475	Member of the Public	605	Member of the Public	731	Member of the Public
476	Member of the Public	606	Member of the Public	732	Member of the Public
477	Member of the Public	607	Member of the Public	734	Member of the Public
478	Member of the Public	608	Member of the Public	735	Member of the Public
479	Member of the Public	609	Member of the Public	736	Member of the Public
481	Member of the Public	611	Member of the Public	737	Member of the Public
482	Member of the Public	612	Member of the Public	738	Member of the Public
483	Member of the Public	613	Member of the Public	739	Member of the Public
484	Member of the Public	615	Member of the Public	740	Member of the Public
485	Member of the Public	616	Member of the Public	741	Member of the Public
486	Member of the Public	617	Member of the Public	742	Member of the Public
487	Member of the Public	618	Member of the Public	743	Member of the Public
489	Member of the Public	619	Member of the Public	744	Member of the Public
490	Member of the Public	620	Member of the Public	745	Member of the Public
491	Member of the Public	621	Member of the Public	746	Member of the Public
492	Member of the Public	622	Member of the Public	747	Member of the Public
493	Member of the Public	623	Member of the Public	748	Member of the Public
494	Member of the Public	624	Member of the Public	749	Member of the Public
495	Member of the Public	625	Member of the Public	750	Member of the Public
496	Member of the Public	626	Member of the Public	751	Member of the Public
497	Member of the Public	628	Member of the Public	752	Member of the Public
498	Member of the Public	629	Member of the Public	753	Member of the Public
499	Member of the Public	630	Member of the Public	754	Member of the Public
500	Member of the Public	631	Member of the Public	755	Member of the Public
501	Member of the Public	632	Member of the Public	645	Member of the Public
502	Member of the Public	633	Member of the Public	756	Member of the Public
503	Member of the Public	634	Member of the Public	757	Member of the Public
504	Member of the Public	635	Member of the Public	758	Member of the Public
505	Member of the Public	636	Member of the Public	759	Member of the Public
506	Member of the Public	637	Member of the Public	760	Member of the Public
507	Member of the Public	638	Member of the Public	761	Member of the Public
508	Member of the Public	639	Member of the Public	762	Member of the Public
509	Member of the Public	438	Member of the Public	763	Member of the Public
510	Member of the Public	640	Member of the Public	764	Member of the Public
512	Member of the Public	641	Member of the Public	765	Member of the Public
513	Member of the Public	642	Member of the Public	766	Member of the Public
514	Member of the Public	643	Member of the Public	767	Member of the Public
515	Member of the Public	644	Member of the Public	768	Member of the Public

REP NO	CONSULTEE TYPE	REP NO	CONSULTEE TYPE	REP NO	CONSULTEE TYPE
517	Member of the Public	645	Member of the Public	769	Member of the Public
518	Member of the Public	646	Member of the Public	770	Member of the Public
519	Member of the Public	647	Member of the Public	771	Member of the Public
521	Member of the Public	648	Member of the Public	772	Member of the Public
522	Member of the Public	652	Member of the Public	773	Member of the Public
523	Member of the Public	649	Member of the Public	774	Member of the Public
524	Member of the Public	650	Member of the Public	775	Member of the Public
525	Member of the Public	651	Member of the Public	776	Member of the Public
526	Member of the Public	653	Member of the Public	777	Member of the Public
527	Member of the Public	654	Member of the Public	778	Member of the Public
528	Member of the Public	655	Member of the Public	780	Member of the Public
529	Member of the Public	656	Member of the Public	438	Member of the Public
530	Member of the Public	657	Member of the Public	781	Member of the Public
531	Member of the Public	658	Member of the Public	782	Member of the Public
532	Member of the Public	659	Member of the Public	783	Member of the Public
533	Member of the Public	660	Member of the Public	784	Member of the Public
493	Member of the Public	661	Member of the Public	785	Member of the Public
534	Member of the Public	662	Member of the Public	786	Member of the Public
378	Member of the Public	663	Member of the Public	787	Member of the Public
535	Member of the Public	664	Member of the Public	676	Member of the Public
536	Member of the Public	665	Member of the Public	788	Member of the Public
537	Member of the Public	666	Member of the Public	789	Member of the Public
538	Member of the Public	667	Member of the Public	790	Member of the Public
539	Member of the Public	668	Member of the Public	791	Member of the Public
540	Member of the Public	669	Member of the Public	792	Member of the Public
541	Member of the Public	670	Member of the Public	793	Member of the Public
542	Member of the Public	506	Member of the Public	794	Member of the Public
543	Member of the Public	672	Member of the Public	795	Member of the Public
544	Member of the Public	673	Member of the Public	796	Member of the Public
545	Member of the Public	674	Member of the Public	797	Member of the Public
546	Member of the Public	675	Member of the Public	798	Member of the Public
547	Member of the Public	676	Member of the Public	799	Member of the Public
548	Member of the Public	446	Member of the Public	800	Member of the Public
549	Member of the Public	677	Member of the Public	801	Member of the Public
551	Member of the Public	678	Member of the Public	802	Member of the Public
552	Member of the Public	679	Member of the Public	803	Member of the Public
553	Member of the Public	680	Member of the Public	804	Member of the Public
555	Member of the Public	681	Member of the Public	806	Member of the Public

REP NO	CONSULTEE TYPE	REP NO	CONSULTEE TYPE	REP NO	CONSULTEE TYPE
556	Member of the Public	682	Member of the Public	807	Member of the Public
557	Member of the Public	643	Member of the Public	808	Member of the Public
558	Member of the Public	683	Member of the Public	809	Member of the Public
559	Member of the Public	684	Member of the Public	810	Member of the Public
560	Member of the Public	685	Member of the Public	811	Member of the Public
561	Member of the Public	686	Member of the Public	812	Member of the Public
562	Member of the Public	687	Member of the Public	813	Member of the Public
563	Member of the Public	688	Member of the Public	814	Member of the Public
564	Member of the Public	689	Member of the Public	815	Member of the Public
565	Member of the Public	690	Member of the Public		

LDP Rep: 438

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	a better understanding of unseen disabilities within society and benefits system.
438	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	more transport hubs that service the community, more multipurpose markets to support local communities and joint areas for events and day to day market business. an added positive would be to reckonise unseen disabilities within the benefits system without the disgusting testing.
438	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	100% Green technologies is key. solar farms can reduce cost of power and can also generate Zero Carbon Cypto currency to invest into local services.
438	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	a transfer scrapige scheme. swapping fossil fuel for green technologies . money given to transfer as an incentive (the rate payable for debate)
438	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	a pilot program for Community Homes. instead of renting the person would take up volunteering to pay in time to urn towards paying for the freehold of the flat, house while volunteering to better the community as a whole. there is no need to Let or Rent or even Leasehold.
438	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	role out in full Universal Basic Income.
438	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Multi Market use is the future of retail with in local areas, not out of town shopping centres they lose footfall over decades.
438	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	

438	stop burning waste. Stop letting over flows take raw surige that goes into our rivers. solar farms can reduce cost of power and can be used for Cypto currency to invest in the local services.

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	park rangers for parks to stop littering and a voice for re education for the community.
438	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
438	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Porthcawl train station would be a welcome boost.
438	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Ogmore, Garw and Rhondda should have joint advantage to sustainable growth within improvements to empty unused and left to get forgotten about shops and businesses getting a new start with new start up businesses and other creative projects to increase and inhance footfall. Invest in these areas, include Pontycymmer and you we see the difference. Invest in the people.
438	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Sarn services must invest in a foot bridge that safely goes to Mcarthur Glen Designer Outlet Bridgend so that people can go on coach holidays safely and securely.
438	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
438	

LDP Rep: 439

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
439	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
439	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	There is sufficient social housing in the Kenfig hill, Pyle and Cornelly sites, we need more privately owned homes. Plus get the social housing already in place done up to improve the look of the community,
439	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Make sure you don't build housing estates without local amenities, ie, food shop, bus stops etc...
439	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	If more people are to live in the Bridgend area, then you need to get the NHS waiting list down Covid has delayed appointments by more than a year. You also need more work here so everyone don't have to commute to Cardiff for work. The town centre needs a big regeneration including some big shops like John Lewis, Debenhams, M&S etc... Currently one has to commute to Swansea or Cardiff to do shopping.
439	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	We need some big office style buildings to move here, most Administrative and Project Management roles are in Cardiff.
439	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	We need big shops like Debenhams, M&S and John Lewis, most people currently gave to commute to Swansea or Cardiff to do their shopping. I have lived here ten years and only go into Bridgend about once a year as it has less to offer than Porthcawl a very small place. It is not somewhere you would think of to go to do you shopping, it needs a big fade lift as looks quiet derilict and needs some street entertainment,
439	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
439	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
439	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
439	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Porthcawl waterfront, save money, put in a crazy golf course, some pretty gardens to sit in, maybe a small paddle boat pond, ie stuff for the tourists to do who come to Greco bay, Add some seaside shops selling seaside gifts,
439	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
439	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Add bus stops and shop to all housing developments
439	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
439	

LDP Rep: 440

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
440	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
440	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
440	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
440	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
440	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
440	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
440	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
440	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

440	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
440	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
440	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
440	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
440	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I don't feel that the proposed travelling site to be sited at Blackmill Road bryncethin would be of any benefit to the area The land was was once ear marked for rugby fields ...entertainment venue where this could have brought income and much needed jobs to area Travelling site wouldn't benefit either The road leading to site is not suitable at present Are council willing to pay for this to be done when they dismissed this for other venues to use ?? For many years the people of bryncethin have urged Council to look into busy road but it has never been addressed Further uses of this site with caravan..lorries van would need addressing to help travelling site but was ignored when site was ear marked for venues to make income Please could you reconsider this site and help the site make income not lose it The people of bryncethin need more facilities more jobs rather than travelling site where no income can be made There are few travelling sites close to bryncethin maybe land near these sites could be made larger ?? I oppose the site on ground of loss of revenue which could be made if site was used to its correct proposal
440	

LDP Rep: 441

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I personally object to the proposed travellers community planned for Bryncethin. Our community seems to be in agreement that this would be an unwelcome addition to our local area. Our area is busy enough without additional residents. We are also concerned that the proposed land is extremely small and does not suit the purpose of a travellers camp.
441	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	See previous comment.
441	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	See previous comment.
441	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	See previous comment.
441	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	See previous comment.
441	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	See previous comment.
441	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	See previous comment.
441	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	See previous comment.
441	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	See previous comment.
441	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
441	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
441	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	See previous comment.
441	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
441	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
441	

LDP Rep: 442

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
442	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Yes there are far too many " dormitory " estates in the borough,which while they bring in council tax revenue,do nothing for the community. There is an extremely high need for affordable rental properties in the borough which would also bring in council tax AND benefit the community by allowing families to remain close ,creating a greater community spirit and encouraging greater use of local shops,leisure facilities etc.there are too many local families in need of accommodation here and little or no need to build over priced housing that locals cant afford .Cardiff gets quite a lot of cash from us and can well afford to house it's own workforce.no more Dormitory estates here please
442	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Social housing is always promised but not enough is delivered,with the majority of dwellings being for private sale to out of towners who can afford them.its about time the priority was changed,with the majority of dwelling being made available at low prices to local people.in my opinion the proposed further regeneration of bridgend town centre is a waste of money,as the existing refurb is showing no sign of success.not enough people want to shop in towns any more as is evident nationwide.they go to supermarkets and shop online.instead why not regenerate it into a residential and recreational area
442	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Yes,stop going with the most fanciful and expensive ideas.keep it down to earth and practical
442	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	We dont need more private estates in the borough,we urgently need a huge amount of social housing.therexare plenty of housing association willing to build but are always outbid by the private developers.its time this was changed.also reign in on the private buy to let racketeers.provide houses for the people that need them not those who fancy a change of scenery
442	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	

442	What?you mean more American and chinese conglomerates?or those who scarper when the Grant's run out?or do you actually have employers who will pay a living wage ready to open shop?

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	We dont need more out of town retail centres ,there are loads here and in the nearby cities
442	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
442	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
442	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Sounds good
442	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Also sounds good
442	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Fair enough
442	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	As long as there no Dormitory estates I'm happy
442	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Elections next year so do a good job and listen to the voters
442	

LDP Rep: 443

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
443	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
443	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
443	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
443	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No
443	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
443	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
443	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
443	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	No

443	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
443	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No
443	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
443	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No
443	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
443	

LDP Rep: 444

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Travelers coming to Bryncethin
444	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
444	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	We need more fields for the growing village because you've built houses on the school fields
444	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	What will the travelers bring to the environment? Looking at other areas crime as gone up plus the mess they make
444	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I'm against the travelers coming to Bryncethin.Bryncethin needs more playing fields after the council sold the school fields for more housing the growing village needs playing fields there was planning for three over the common . And my concerns are the amount of traffic that is already crippling Bryncethin with high volumes of traffic going through the village. Having the travellers coming into Bryncethin will only make this worse. It is also historical that once travellers go into areas crime rates goes up. People of Bryncethin don't want this. Bryncethin is a beautiful area to live and I'm sure having travellers come to the village will decrease the values of peoples properties especially those who will be living close by to the plots of land where the travellers will be. We object to the travellers settling in Bryncethin and would appreciate your support in not letting this happen Thanks
444	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	I'm against the travelers coming to Bryncethin.Bryncethin needs more playing fields after the council sold the school fields for more housing the growing village needs playing fields there was planning for three over the common . And my concerns are the amount of traffic that is already crippling Bryncethin with high volumes of traffic going through the village. Having the travellers coming into Bryncethin will only make this worse. It is also historical that once travellers go into areas crime rates goes up. People of Bryncethin don't want this. Bryncethin is a beautiful area to live and I'm sure
444	

	having travellers come to the village will decrease the values of peoples properties especially those who will be living close by to the plots of land where the travellers will be. We object to the travellers settling in Bryncethin and would appreciate your support in not letting this happen Thanks

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	I'm against the travelers coming to Bryncethin.Bryncethin needs more playing fields after the council sold the school fields for more housing the growing village needs playing fields there was planning for three over the common . And my concerns are the amount of traffic that is already crippling Bryncethin with high volumes of traffic going through the village. Having the travellers coming into Bryncethin will only make this worse. It is also historical that once travellers go into areas crime rates goes up. People of Bryncethin don't want this. Bryncethin is a beautiful area to live and I'm sure having travellers come to the village will decrease the values of peoples properties especially those who will be living close by to the plots of land where the travellers will be.the schools and doctors are at breaking point We object to the travellers settling in Bryncethin and would appreciate your support in not letting this happen Thanks
444	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	There is a two hour wait most days for our recycling centre
444	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Having travelers won't encourage people to visit the village and surrounding areas
444	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	I'm against the travelers coming to Bryncethin.Bryncethin needs more playing fields after the council sold the school fields for more housing the growing village needs playing fields there was planning for three over the common . And my concerns are the amount of traffic that is already crippling Bryncethin with high volumes of traffic going through the village. Having the travellers coming into Bryncethin will only make this worse. It is also historical that once travellers go into areas crime rates goes up. People of Bryncethin don't want this. Bryncethin is a beautiful area to live and I'm sure having travellers come to the village will decrease the values of peoples properties especially those who will be living close by to the plots of land where the travellers will be. We object to the travellers settling in Bryncethin and would appreciate your support in not letting this happen Thanks
444	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	I'm against the travelers coming to Bryncethin.Bryncethin needs more playing fields after the council sold the school fields for more housing the growing village needs playing fields there was planning for three over the common . And my concerns are the amount of traffic that is already crippling Bryncethin with high volumes of traffic
444	

	going through the village. Having the travellers coming into Bryncethin will only make this worse. It is also historical that once travellers go into areas crime rates goes up. People of Bryncethin don't want this. Bryncethin is a beautiful area to live and I'm sure having travellers come to the village will decrease the values of peoples properties especially those who will be living close by to the plots of land where the travellers will be. We object to the travellers settling in Bryncethin and would appreciate your support in not letting this happen Thanks

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	I'm against the travelers coming to Bryncethin.Bryncethin needs more playing fields after the council sold the school fields for more housing the growing village needs playing fields there was planning for three over the common . And my concerns are the amount of traffic that is already crippling Bryncethin with high volumes of traffic going through the village. Having the travellers coming into Bryncethin will only make this worse. It is also historical that once travellers go into areas crime rates goes up. People of Bryncethin don't want this. Bryncethin is a beautiful area to live and I'm sure having travellers come to the village will decrease the values of peoples properties especially those who will be living close by to the plots of land where the travellers will be. We object to the travellers settling in Bryncethin and would appreciate your support in not letting this happen Thanks
444	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I'm against the travelers coming to Bryncethin.Bryncethin needs more playing fields after the council sold the school fields for more housing the growing village needs playing fields there was planning for three over the common . And my concerns are the amount of traffic that is already crippling Bryncethin with high volumes of traffic going through the village. Having the travellers coming into Bryncethin will only make this worse. It is also historical that once travellers go into areas crime rates goes up. People of Bryncethin don't want this. Bryncethin is a beautiful area to live and I'm sure having travellers come to the village will decrease the values of peoples properties especially those who will be living close by to the plots of land where the travellers will be. We object to the travellers settling in Bryncethin and would appreciate your support in not letting this happen Thanks
444	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	This area in Bryncethin should be made into fields so the growing village and surrounding areas have somewhere to play and visit
444	

LDP Rep: 445

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
445	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
445	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
445	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
445	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
445	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
445	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
445	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
445	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

445	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
445	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
445	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
445	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	The proposed development of the woodland / green area between laleston and bryntyrion looks to be doing a lot of damage to the area. Not only would it be damaging to the green spaces, it would be destroying ancient woodlands and much needed waking routes in the area. I've walked that section many times and it would aweful to loose if. Also the road leading between laleston and Bridgend is a very busy road as it is, adding an additional al few hundred homes to that area would make it so much worse. I'm not against development, it's an essential but it can't come at the cost of the community and the woodland areas that are used by so many walkers in the area.
445	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I dont agree with the proposed development between laleston and bryntirion. It would add far more congestion into an already busy stretch of road and it would destroy some amazing green and woodland areas that have been there for so many years and are essential for walkers in the area
445	

LDP Rep: 446

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Less jargon would be helpful as your documents are long, complicated - perhaps with the intention that members of the public will not have the time or inclination to read them, perhaps intentional.
446	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Good intentions, we await proof of the pudding
446	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	The proposal for housing between Laleston and Bryntirion will increase traffic through the High Street which separates the two sides of Laleston. The Village will suffer again that amount of housing produces at least that number of cars if not more, many of which will be heading towards the A48 for motorway access through the High Street. Village residents are not being considered, the village will lose its identity.
446	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	In the case of increased traffic and traffic flow that goes against improving the environment, and air quality which does not improve health and well being
446	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	More open and simple communication. More user friendly explanations of projects, plans and even problems faced by the Council.
446	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Attracting the right retail as well as technology, manufacturing into the area is difficult, I would like to see less cases of companies Taking advantage of financial incentives to come to the area only to move out again in a couple of years. There must be another way.
446	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Difficult, but Small versions of larger retail companies would be helpful and attractive to the smaller town centre like Bridgend. A M&S simply food has been an attraction that didn't materialise and would have helped. Surely the Business rates could be adjusted to reduce companies' overheads.
446	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Bridgend is very good at recycling, the civic sites are excellent the new one at Pyle looks as if it is going to be even better
446	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	The new developments are counter productive to the environment, but I understand the obligation of having to increase housing stock.
446	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
446	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
446	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
446	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	The Highway proposals will need to be excellent to cope with the increased cars and delivery traffic. The housing market in the area is good because people are anxious to move from congested areas to rural. Some of these developments defeat this.
446	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	English please? Acronyms jargon
446	

LDP Rep: 447

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Seems good in principle.
447	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Money Bridgend receives should be invested in our borough only and not anywhere else. Investment in the town centre buildings and renovation of empty buildings are essential to attracting investors to our town and cleaning up its image. Allow independent retailers to use the buildings and develop local businesses and talent as well as allowing bigger retailers. A shop like Primark would bring in the people. We need to make Bridgend more attractive and invest in our people
447	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	We are losing a lot of green spaces with over development. Why cant we use some of the dilapidated empty buildings and convert these into apartments/retail spaces. Boarded up houses could be renovated and produce living spaces. Green spaces need to be preserved for the environment and peoples mental health but need caring for too
447	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Respect the history of older buildings and enhance them rather than demolish and rebuild. Space is important, some houses are too small and can impact on someone's mental health so some outside space is useful. This needs to be thought out when building apartments. A space that is maintained regularly so that people are able to breathe, mix and relax. Avoid flood risk areas. Ensure the environment is considered and what we will be losing
447	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Make sure that we have considered what other things we can offer to keep residents here. Ensure there is retail, medical help, green spaces to appeal to all residents. Ensure social housing is well maintained and tenants have access to support and advice as well as being checked on regularly to ensure standards and areas are well maintained. We need to have the industry here before we can look at increasing housing. Bridgend is a lovely community market town which has lost its magic. Community is everything
447	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	

447	Yes develop the industrial estates, market the Ford engine plant rather than let it go to waste. Stop out of town retail development and invest in independent local businesses in the town centre. Make our town have a uniqueness that attracts employers

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	As stated before, bring independent retailers back and encourage bigger shops such as Primark with no online presence and accessible to all. I want to develop a family contact and support centre in the town so it is easily accessible to all and offers a holistic approach in a central location. This would compliment the proposed wellbeing village but as a charity seems to be overlooked when approaching people about buildings. This has been requested by our community as well as many other things such as later opening times for town centre shops so they are accessible after work. Open space is a great idea and accessible leisure activities are vital to the emotional and mental health of the community
447	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	We need to have bins available in the community which have compartments for different materials...as they do in Devon/Cornwall. Also some form of identification on take away boxes to identify those who dispose of their litter in the street with substantial fines which can be used to invest in our local green spaces
447	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Definitely. Often said but not often done
447	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Sounds good in principle but am not a resident so dont know how this would affect the area
447	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	This is a great idea. More transport links for Porthcawl are needed
447	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Definitely. A beautiful area that needs to be kept but needs more transport links
447	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Sounds good in principle but we need to know exactly what the proposals are before I can comment fully
447	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Ensure the residents/business owners are consulted and listened to. We know and live our borough and have a lot to offer to revive it in the best ways. Younger people are the next generation to take over, let's show them what true partnership looks like
447	

LDP Rep: 448

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	How can this project protect and enhance natural places when this is going to destroy completely this natural buffer in an already extremely populated area?
448	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
448	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	It is essential to maintain areas that are non developed. The borough needs these natural areas that contribute to the beauty of the county and act as a natural buffer between densely populated areas.
448	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Again, this project goes against any environmental considerations but focusses instead on money making goals.
448	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	This project will underline further the creaking and over subscribed infrastructure available in the county (schools being one of the main one). Bringing 850 new families to the area without new primary or secondary schools is not a viable solution.
448	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	It is more likely that the additional population will be working outside the borough and therefore will increase traffic in the area.
448	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
448	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
448	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	As mentioned previously, the natural habitat will be destroyed by this project. The ecosystem of the area will be demolished.
448	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
448	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
448	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
448	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	This project will destroy a natural buffer between bryntirion and Laleston and will place a considerable burden on the current infrastructure, including education.
448	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
448	

LDP Rep: 449

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	not enough provision for safe cycling, and too many homes on salt lake
449	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
449	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	not enough forward thinking on the porthcawl regen. Be bold and try and get a park and ride or train line reinstalled. Use salt lake as a marina similar to Penarth
449	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
449	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Not enough thought on safe cycling - no safe route from porthcawl -bridgend. No electric charging points in porthcawl
449	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	lower business rates in town centres, and keep limited free parking in porthcawl to encourage footfall
449	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
449	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	employ more litter wardens and enforce action
449	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

449	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
449	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Salt lake - use penarth marina as an example - Use it as a marina, with an open water swimming zone. Flats around it with shops, cafes etc. Establish a park and ride at the current tip in tyhegston, with a regular bus going to town and rest bay all day. put traffic lights at the junction to help flow for the buses. Establish a cycle path/pavement up and over 3 step hill
449	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
449	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
449	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	establish land to the west of Nottage as a green belt
449	

LDP Rep: 396

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): This representation is made in a personal capacity as a member of the public but I am both a landowner and developer

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Had the Deposit Replacement LDP been placed on Deposit (ie made public) in Oct 2019 it would have been , in my view , a first class effort to provide a structure (albeit in my view with one or two minor changes /adjustments)to control and move forward development within the County during the then forthcoming 5/6 years in the light of the then likely circumstances during the then forthcoming 5/6 years . What we now know as Covid first effected people (whatever it's source) in Nov 2019 ; we are now and for the forseeable future- especially during the next 5/6 years - in a completely different position to that of Oct 2019 . As a result every assumption upon which the Deposit Replacement LDP is based requires to be changed in the light Covid , with a different outcome(in terms of projected future requirements) to that as at Oct 2019 . By way of example(but not limited to) the demand for housing is different , the demand for retail is different , the demand for warehousing/factory/office is different , the demand for UK holiday provision is different , the demand for usable public open space and outdoor recreational areas is different etc etc . Some of these are changes that might have taken place over a longer period but have happened much more quickly(eg retail) some changes that were never envisaged in Oct 2019(eg the huge increase in demand for UK holiday accommodation) etc etc . The overall result is that the now published Deposit Replacement LDP is in June 2021 fundamentally flawed and should be rejected to be reworked . A shame as it is a document that if published in Oct 2019 , in the then circumstances , would have been first class ; the country has however moved on and a reworked version is required
396	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	See earlier comments
396	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	see earlier comments
396	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	see earlier comments
396	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	see earlier comments

396	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	see earlier comments
396	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	See earlier comments
396	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	See earlier comments
396	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	See earlier comments
396	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	See earlier comments
396	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	See earlier comments
396	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	See earlier comments
396	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	See earlier comments
396	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	See earlier comments
396	

LDP Rep: 450

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Am very concerned that there appears no mention of the congestion in Park street Bridgend which is the most polluted street in Bridgend.
450	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	To me - there is not enough emphasis on the congestion and the traffic problems which come with the growth. Roads all over Bridgend county are already busy - there is much congestion in Maesteg town centre and as stated also around Bridgend - particularly park Street.
450	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I think until roads improve then the building of housing needs to be reduced - use of empty buildings would be better strategy - use the empty shops and towns - convert into housing.
450	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Possibly sprucing of terraced housing - grants available to take off the pebble dash - covering the Welsh stones.
450	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Dfinitely needs to be better transport links to the valleys especially the Ogmore and garw valleys - at weekends and evening.
450	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
450	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Retail areas need to be converted to housing.
450	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
450	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	As stated funding available to remove pebble dash and other render.
450	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	More housing = more traffic congestion in maesteg - roads struggle to manage at the moment. Empty retail facilities em[pty in the centre what's the point making more retail areas.
450	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
450	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
450	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Very little detail on what is going to happen at the bottom of park st - the most congested area in Bridgend.
450	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
450	

LDP Rep: 451

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
451	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
451	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
451	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
451	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
451	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
451	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
451	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
451	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

451	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
451	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
451	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
451	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Land west of Bridgend should not be developed as it potentially breaks the “SOBJ4: To protect and enhance distinctive and natural places” guideline. There has to be a land divide between Bryntirion/Broadlands/Bridgend in order to maintain the Laleston village status. The land is green belt and should remain so. It should also be protected in order to allow wildlife a habitat to live and move around. Allowing developments on the main road between Laleston and Bridgend town would create an enormous increase in traffic through Laleston which is already a congested road. It would also cause more pollution from vehicles waiting at the traffic lights at the junction of Bryntirion and Broadlands. It would also increase traffic congestion and pollution on the Park Street hill before the traffic lights before the dual carriageway/Cowbridge Road which is already horrendous. We already have enough development along this road causing loads of traffic and pollution. We don’t need any more.
451	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
451	

LDP Rep: 452

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Should not go ahead. Bridgend cannot cope with its current population. 3 days to get hold of a gp as too many patients. Roads around lidl tesco mcdonalds abbismal. The green fields separate the village from town and it should remain as is. Too many mistakes have been made in the past regarding Bridgend planning please stop this madness going any further
452	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	There are plenty of young people in Bridgend
452	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Infrastructure is crumpling under its existing weight
452	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Tidy and redesign existing buildings areas
452	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	We need green space
452	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Build on what we already have
452	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Bridgend Town Centre is sad @
452	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
452	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
452	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
452	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
452	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
452	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
452	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
452	

LDP Rep: 453

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
453	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
453	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
453	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
453	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Could bungalows with small gardens be considered? There never seems to be any new ones built. Also could there be more options for affordable older persons / retirement schemes. Perhaps independent living but clustered together, with a small garden which allows pets ? Somewhere that you would want to live rather than somewhere you have to go because there's no one else?
453	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
453	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Without private transport they are very difficult to get to. This is not good for the environment. Could this be looked at in the planning stage? Bus services have been stripped back. They are expensive and inefficient.
453	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
453	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Yes. Why are so many properties planned for the green field between Laleston and bryntirion? Can't they go in brownfield sites instead? We will be joined with Cowbridge soon in the other direction. Those areas will never be returned back to green fields.
453	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Yes use brownfield sites not greenfield
453	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Yes use brownfield not greenfield
453	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Yes use brownfield not greenfield sites
453	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Yes use brownfield not greenfield sites
453	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	It doesn't seem to achieve SOBJ4 protect and enhance natural places.
453	

LDP Rep: 454

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	If they were written in plain English we might be in a position to comment.
454	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
454	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Not enough green space being left. More development means that the road infrastructure cannot cope with the increase in traffic that this would bring.
454	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
454	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Concentration should be on developing brownfield sites without ruining the green space around our town. Don't just think of the extra council tax you can collect but the direct effect on people's well being and mental health of uncontrolled development.
454	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
454	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	What is a Deposit Replacement LPD? Our town centre will be improved when business rates are lowered and ownership of shops etc is taken back from absentee landlords
454	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Study the Nottingham model
454	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	If our historic environment is so important why has the Council done nothing to preserve it.
454	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
454	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
454	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
454	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
454	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Just to reiterate my previous comments - write in plain English so that your proposals are easy to understand
454	

LDP Rep: 455

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): N/A

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I have lived in this area for 50+ years , from when the estates were being built. I personally think that to develop the said fields have no benefit to an already over populated area. The schools in the vicinity are already at comfortable capacity, the traffic is already to much for the area to take adding more would make matters worse, the green area meantime's for development should stay as it is , a place that people can visit and enjoy the scenery and its history. I like many in the are would definitely oppose the sale , or any building on the said area . Leave our green spaces alone .
455	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
455	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Where as there is a need for housing, it's just putting more strain on the area that can just about cope as it is.
455	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	None
455	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	None
455	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	None
455	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Why fetch more people to live in an area that is already overcrowded,? and apart from that business in the town centre is next to nothing , with so many shops being closed. There is no benefit in bringing more people into the area if we haven't got the facilities in a town centre.
455	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	None
455	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Leave the area alone, with it's beauty and history, more housing will take all this away.
455	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	None
455	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	None
455	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	None
455	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	None
455	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Don't extend Bryntirion onto Laleston, you have Cefn Glas, Bryntirion and Broadlands, enough is enough.
455	

LDP Rep: 456

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	This will be destructive to the lives of many living in this community
456	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Growth in this area would be devastating to wildlife, the areas history and the health of the local community who walk and cycle etc., for health and mental well-being.
456	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Completely the wrong area.
456	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Whoever has written this obviously knows nothing or very little about the area.
456	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Housing and the infrastructure that goes with it will be too much for this area causing stress, ill-health and many other problems.
456	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Economic growth will not be enhanced by building in this area.
456	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	More people are buying online since covid began and will carry on doing so. There will be no benefit to local traders.
456	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	This will increase waste which increases illegal fly tipping.
456	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	How will you be conserving nature etc., when you will be destroying so much.

456	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
456	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
456	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
456	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
456	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
456	

LDP Rep: 457

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
457	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
457	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
457	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
457	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
457	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
457	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
457	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
457	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

457	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
457	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	As a resident of Porthcawl my main concern is if you are building on Salt Lake and Sandy Bay where do all the visitors park? Also what if anything can be done in terms of vehicle access into Porthcawl. This May weekend was the busiest I've seen in 15 years. Obviously there have been hundreds of new houses built in and around Bridgend and therefore a huge increase in the population. Car parks were overflowing and clearly unable to cope with the high volume of visitors. New Road was gridlocked which caused disruption for both local people and tourists. Where are all these people meant to park? Also whilst I understand the need for more housing what about extra facilities? We have a new health centre which to be honest cannot cope with the existing number of residents let alone thousands more. Are we getting another surgery? Also what about a sports centre for our youngsters? At the moment we have very little facilities at all. I personally don't think access to Porthcawl is able to cope with the extra capacity you are proposing.
457	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
457	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
457	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
457	

LDP Rep: 458

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
458	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
458	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I do not agree with the proposed 850 house development between Laleston and Bryntirion, it will put unbearable demands on the local infrastructure and road networks.
458	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
458	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
458	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
458	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
458	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
458	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	
458	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
458	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
458	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
458	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I do not agree that land West of Bridgend, between Laleston and Bryntirion should be developed for housing.
458	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
458	

LDP Rep: 459

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	It will destroy local landscape, diminish wildlife, cause even more chaos in schools and surgeries.
459	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Too many houses being built around the area, already taken3 beautiful fields for Morgan meadow
459	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Keep our country green, and maintain wildlife
459	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	How can masses of extra homes and take away our green areas help our health and well being, we are having to walk on busy roads now instead of beautiful green fields
459	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	How are 800 houses going to give people new jobs, there's no jobs about anyway
459	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Bollocks
459	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	There are no decent shops in Bridgend to bring people to the town and whoever decided to put all surgeries together in one building making it extremely difficult for elderly and disabled people to get to have lost their mind
459	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Nope
459	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	How can yet another 850 houses enhance countryside valued landscape,its destroying the beautiful landscape
459	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
459	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
459	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
459	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
459	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Please don't allow anymore houses to be built here
459	

LDP Rep: 460

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	There needs to be areas without houses between Bryntirion and Laleston as these fields and country lanes are used by local people for exercise and lots of wildlife would be displaced if this ridiculous development were to be approved. Need to keep a balance between green spaces and development especially on these historical areas dating back hundreds of years such as the old pilgrims way of llangewydd lanes.
460	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	It is virtually impossible to get registered with both doctors and dental practices and road infrastructure struggles already with long queues at both ends of Broadlands so to add more housing would put even more pressure on local roads
460	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	The spatial strategy should be to retain existing green belt which are already few and far between. There are brownfield areas which could be used so enabling the council to leave the green belt areas to further promote wildlife and ensure that the existing population still can take exercise via these fields and country lanes which are virtually traffic free with clean air to breathe which can only be good for our health and wellbeing which should be at the forefront of the council's plans
460	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	There are already too many houses and the local road's are already busy along with doctors and dental practices overflowing which is not good for the wellbeing of the local residents.
460	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	The wellbeing and health of residents should be a priority as the standard of local leisure services particularly at grass root rugby and football level is lacking. Changing rooms are in a poor state and several need major refurbishment to bring them to be fit for purpose. The areas in question have been well used during lockdown times for walking and cycling So to lose these areas to housing would have a detrimental effect on local people
460	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	The development would have a minimal effect on employment and this would be shortlived. Far better to leave the green spaces for leisure and use brownfield areas for building and creating new employment opportunities
460	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	I work in Bridgend town centre which is full of empty rundown properties with no major retailers to attract people into the town. This should be a major part of any redevelopment rather than filling green belt areas with more and more houses
460	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Our local community recycling areas are busy already so would certainly not be able to cope with any more housing developments which would certainly put more pressure on these sites
460	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	We need to keep our green spaces so that these areas are able to produce oxygen from plants for us to breathe thereby helping the environment not hindering it with more concrete and the increase in carbon footprint from these new housing developments
460	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
460	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No
460	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
460	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	There is a need for the existing green spaces to remain otherwise Bridgend will be a concrete sprawl from Penciled to Porthcawl
460	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No
460	

LDP Rep: 461

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	the development does not meet the drivers etc and is very tenuous
461	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	There is insufficient industry and available employment in the area. This development will not enhance the local pound but only provide work for migrant labour from other parts of south wales
461	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	The spatial strategy aims to form a conglomerate of individual urban areas and it will lose the individuality of the communities
461	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Have not seen any good examples of well designed private development apart from clear examples of greed from developers squeezing as many properties per acre as possible,eg, Parc Derwen Housing area
461	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	The scale of investment in infrastructure and services is pure supposition and will not equate to any future housing development
461	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Given the quality of labour force that is apparent why did major employers pull out of Bridgend...Sony,Fords,Ineos!
461	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	The basic business rate policy does not encourage retailers to town centres and are replaced by numerous charity shops and empty units.The aforementioned proposals does nothing to secure quality retailers
461	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	The movement to sustainability renewable energy,mineral resources extend waste management policies to achieve net zero carbon will attract additional costs, circa
461	

	10% as a minimum on all developments.Where will this additional funding come from in the short term to achieve very long term gains.

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	the natural and built environment should be regulated to maintain a stable environment. not developed to achieve a tick box exercise to meet annual development gigures
461	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Previous industrial land should be developed sympathetically for the needs of the area and its demands
461	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Key proposals that improve the area from redundant parcels of land are a boon to those areas.Needs to be balanced with potential loss of habitats and old concept of green north-east of Pyle
461	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No comment
461	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Development that loses the individuality of communities should be avoided.loss of recognition
461	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Majority of the proposals are far reaching and very little consideration has been given to the potential change to the surrounding environment to those communities detailed
461	

LDP Rep: 462

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
462	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
462	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Just because a green area COULD be developed for housing etc, doesn't mean that it SHOULD be! Green areas are necessary MORE than ever to help the environment and stop the increase of temperatures. Bridgend has streets that have some of the highest pollution levels (eg Park Street) and building on green spaces will exacerbate this. Also, concreting over more green areas is likely to lead to more flooding. Building more and more houses is going to also put more pressure on health, education and social facilities.
462	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Green areas are vital not only to our Community but to the planet. Any design involves building and reducing these areas.
462	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	The new infrastructure that would be needed to accommodate the huge numbers of houses being proposed is eating in yet again to the green spaces, the lungs of our world. More cars, more public transport creating MORE pollution. Once gone, these spaces cannot be regained.
462	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Encouraging investment in businesses is commendable for the people who ALREADY live in the area. It does not mean that more houses have to be built on our green spaces increasing the population in the area so much that pressure is put on all the services and that increased traffic leads to slow traffic emitting polluting gases. Development must NOT be at the expense of the health of the community due to the added pollution levels.
462	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	

462	If the Council reduced rents of businesses in the town centres then more people would be able to afford to open up businesses. The number of empty shops in Bridgend has often been as a result of the high rents. When will the Council realise that they would get far more income if they had a greater number of a smaller amount than 100% of nothing!!!! Many people DO want local shops to go to and not just large retail centres.

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	The aim to meet national renewable and low carbon energy and energy efficiency targets, etc is commendable. How does the Council say that on one hand, then plan to increase carbon emmisions etc by building hundreds of houses?
462	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	This is SO important!!! How can building 850 houses and the infrastructure that will have to go with them on green sites be compatible with that aim?!!!
462	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
462	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
462	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
462	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	As mentioned before, the building of 850 houses and the infrastructure that will need to go with them on green sites would have a detrimental effect on pollution, traffic, pressure on services. Has no one heard of climate change mainly due to the destruction of green areas?
462	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Consider what kind of environment you want future generations to live in! A concrete wilderness or green fresh air areas.
462	

LDP Rep: 463

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	This would be a disgraceful use of this greenfield land. The recent pandemic had demonstrated how important such land is - for many it was the only place to escape their homes during the lockdowns. Please do not allow one of the last fee pieces of natural beauty around Laleston to be lost.
463	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	This would be a disgraceful use of this greenfield land. The recent pandemic had demonstrated how important such land is - for many it was the only place to escape their homes during the lockdowns. Please do not allow one of the last fee pieces of natural beauty around Laleston to be lost.
463	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	This would be a disgraceful use of this greenfield land. The recent pandemic had demonstrated how important such land is - for many it was the only place to escape their homes during the lockdowns. Please do not allow one of the last fee pieces of natural beauty around Laleston to be lost.
463	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	This would be a disgraceful use of this greenfield land. The recent pandemic had demonstrated how important such land is - for many it was the only place to escape their homes during the lockdowns. Please do not allow one of the last fee pieces of natural beauty around Laleston to be lost.
463	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	This would be a disgraceful use of this greenfield land. The recent pandemic had demonstrated how important such land is - for many it was the only place to escape their homes during the lockdowns. Please do not allow one of the last fee pieces of natural beauty around Laleston to be lost.
463	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	This would be a disgraceful use of this greenfield land. The recent pandemic had demonstrated how important such land is - for many it was the only place to escape their homes during the lockdowns. Please do not allow one of the last fee pieces of natural beauty around Laleston to be lost.
463	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?

ID	This would be a disgraceful use of this greenfield land. The recent pandemic had demonstrated how important such land is - for many it was the only place to escape their homes during the lockdowns. Please do not allow one of the last fee pieces of natural beauty around Laleston to be lost.
463	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	This would be a disgraceful use of this greenfield land. The recent pandemic had demonstrated how important such land is - for many it was the only place to escape their homes during the lockdowns. Please do not allow one of the last fee pieces of natural beauty around Laleston to be lost.
463	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	This would be a disgraceful use of this greenfield land. The recent pandemic had demonstrated how important such land is - for many it was the only place to escape their homes during the lockdowns. Please do not allow one of the last fee pieces of natural beauty around Laleston to be lost.
463	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
463	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
463	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
463	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
463	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	This would be a disgraceful use of this greenfield land. The recent pandemic had demonstrated how important such land is - for many it was the only place to escape their homes during the lockdowns. Please do not allow one of the last fee pieces of natural beauty around Laleston to be lost.
463	

LDP Rep: 464

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
464	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	An ageing local population is no reason for such a large development and the developers cannot guarantee that young people would buy these new homes.
464	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I understand the desires noted here, however, just because there is a green area available, it does not mean we have to build on it.
464	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	A large development like this will require lots of infrastructure, like roads, schools, medical access etc. I don't believe this patch of land could sustain such a huge input of people in need of these services. The amount of extra vehicles on their own will lead to congestion and air pollution.
464	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I understand the details here and theses will be necessary but need to be provided in the right place. This development is not the right place.
464	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	There may well be opportunity for development of new jobs when new developments are started, however but I suspect these will short lived posts that are part of the building of the site and not long term jobs.
464	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Retail centres are important, but I think the local authority needs to focus on town centre re-growth first, post COVID.
464	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
464	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	All of the above is important, but it feels like this proposed site is so huge these items will be lost.
464	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
464	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
464	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
464	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Ive already made my points.
464	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
464	

LDP Rep: 465

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	There are far too many houses in this surrounding area, and not enough infastructure in place to cope
465	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
465	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Heard all this before, just hot air to get proposals through
465	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
465	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	This has been promised before, new houses going up in the area but no infastructure as yet to support the residents meaning existing infastructure is under pressure
465	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	We need jobs to support the locals already here
465	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Bridgendtown has been dying for many years and our local councils come up with yet another regeneration project which falls flat on its face every time
465	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
465	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

465	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
465	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
465	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
465	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Case of heard it all before, and as usual nothing happens
465	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
465	

LDP Rep: 466

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	The housing has to be sustainable
466	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	We can't just keep growing and taking
466	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Reinvigorate empty houses and shops first. Roads and schools at capacity in this area
466	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Why build.more when broadlands hasn't been adopted or suitably maintained?
466	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Safe cycle route to school have been promised since before my children started school. First off to uni this year second finishing school next year. More building but nothing for current residence
466	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	It doesn't work
466	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No new shops! Town is dead
466	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	More renewable and more recycling. Encourage walking cycling no mining
466	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	If you keep your word it's a good thing. Sadly money is involved so once green light given the eyes are on the pounds
466	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Anything to help the area is good without destroying community
466	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
466	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
466	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Objection
466	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
466	

LDP Rep: 467

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
467	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
467	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	“Benefits the most” is a flawed strategy. It has been abandoned by the UK Govt because only the SEast was attracting all the money. Wales needs a “levelling up” strategy
467	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
467	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Develop such policies in areas that need it
467	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	See “ levelling up” comment
467	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
467	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
467	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	Need to “conserve and enhance natural environment “ This policy is being ignored through the development proposed
467	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
467	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No
467	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
467	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	As noted previously
467	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Focus on brown field and town centre not green field sites for housing
467	

LDP Rep: 468

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Yes. The proposed gypsy traveller site in bryncethin. I think this is going to have a significant affect on my newly build house resulting in the deflation in the cost of our home that we have worked hard for.
468	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Yes. The proposed gypsy traveller site in bryncethin. I think this is going to have a significant affect on my newly build house resulting in the deflation in the cost of our home that we have worked hard for.
468	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Yes. The proposed gypsy traveller site in bryncethin. I think this is going to have a significant affect on my newly build house resulting in the deflation in the cost of our home that we have worked hard for.
468	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Yes. The proposed gypsy traveller site in bryncethin. I think this is going to have a significant affect on my newly build house resulting in the deflation in the cost of our home that we have worked hard for.
468	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Yes. The proposed gypsy traveller site in bryncethin. I think this is going to have a significant affect on my newly build house resulting in the deflation in the cost of our home that we have worked hard for.
468	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Yes. The proposed gypsy traveller site in bryncethin. I think this is going to have a significant affect on my newly build house resulting in the deflation in the cost of our home that we have worked hard for.
468	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Yes. The proposed gypsy traveller site in bryncethin. I think this is going to have a significant affect on my newly build house resulting in the deflation in the cost of our home that we have worked hard for.
468	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
468	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Yes. The proposed gypsy traveller site in bryncethin. I think this is going to have a significant affect on my newly build house resulting in the deflation in the cost of our home that we have worked hard for.
468	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
468	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
468	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
468	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
468	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Yes. The proposed gypsy traveller site in bryncethin. I think this is going to have a significant affect on my newly build house resulting in the deflation in the cost of our home that we have worked hard for.
468	

LDP Rep: 469

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	All very worthy but what do they actually mean for the area.
469	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	The amount of growth in the area is not being supported by a road system or facilities required by residents
469	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Sorry please say exactly what you intend doing in this strategy How can you improve a road system that grinds to a halt at peak times. My daughter is unable to get a local dentist and she already lives here. You will over burden a structure that is already collapsing
469	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
469	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	The existing housing lacks fundamental infrastructure more housing will all further pressure
469	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	You have not protected existing employment sites. What has replaced Fords. There are more empty shops than occupied premises in Bridgend town centre
469	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	The council has completely failed to protect and develop shopping areas.
469	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
469	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	The pandemic has prompted residents to explore the areas around Bridgend. Every effort must be made to conserve these areas
469	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
469	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
469	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
469	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Bridgend is already over developed in terms of residential areas. Concentrate on sorting out the town centre and providing facilities
469	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
469	

LDP Rep: 470

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	The objection is the destruction of ancient woodland, endangered species In the area. There no infrastructure to cope with over 800 new house. There no extra school spaces, no extra doctors surgery's or extra space in the hospital. There over 1500 empty houses in Bridgend. The council should look at doing up these houses and selling them on at a profit.
470	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Since the pandemic work life balance has changed. More people will be working from home. The growth with extra jobs in Cardiff would have people working from home.
470	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
470	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	As long as the natural beauty in Bridgend is not destroyed for greed
470	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Bridgend dose not need more houses. The abandon house in Bridgend should be fixed up and sold for a profit by the council.
470	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
470	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
470	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
470	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	If this was true you wouldn't allow over 800 houses to built between Laston and bryntrion. Destroying the local environment.
470	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
470	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
470	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
470	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Land between laston and brytrion
470	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
470	

LDP Rep: 471

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
471	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
471	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
471	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
471	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
471	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
471	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
471	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
471	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

471	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
471	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
471	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
471	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Please do not use any greenfield sites or open space or farmland to build yet more houses or retail outlets. We need green spaces for our good mental health to exercise & to protect bio diversity. My household strongly & passionately object to any further development
471	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
471	

LDP Rep: 472

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
472	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
472	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Social activities are desperately needed in our village
472	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Having travellers in village won't improve the environment
472	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Will travellers bring new opportunities to our village
472	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	How will travellers improve employment strategies
472	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
472	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
472	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

472	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
472	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
472	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
472	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
472	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
472	

LDP Rep: 473

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	We already have a healthy cohesive community. Introducing 850 new houses will.put a massive strain on services and infrastructure. The community want green spaces that are safe and accessible, do not fill them with houses.
473	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Again....where is the increase in funding for services, dentists, doctors, hospitals and schools. I am a professional living in Bryntirion and would consider moving should another 850 households be added to an existing growing community. Bridgend Town Centre is absolutely not a magnet for employers to expand or move too. I disagree with your statement.
473	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	New infrastructure does not serve the valleys. An example of this would be the Wellness Village. My elderly parents living in the valley struggle to commute to town with limited public transport. Gp surgeries are incredibly overstretched already. Regenerate the valleys....there is little evidence that this has/will happen.
473	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I object to the proposed site being used.
473	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I object to the proposed site being used for new housing when the infrastructure and services to support this is not in place.
473	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
473	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
473	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?

ID
473

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?
ID
473

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley
ID
473

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill
ID
473

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys
ID
473

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed
ID
473

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I ob
473	

LDP Rep: 474

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Should be kept as a green area for walking and wildlife
474	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	There is already a good balance of countryside and housing
474	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	There would be no infrastructure for the amount of traffic
474	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	To many houses and traffic
474	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	There would be no room for outdoor exercise due to taking green areas to build houses
474	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Not long term jobs should invest in industrial estates already run down
474	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	There are enough retail areas .should invest in town centre and reduce rents to attract shops to open in town centre
474	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	More houses more energy required increases global warming and waste
474	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Using green area would impact wildlife

474	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
474	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
474	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
474	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I object to any building in these areas
474	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I object to any residential or building that would impact green areas and wildlife
474	

LDP Rep: 475

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): [redacted]

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
475	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
475	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	The proposed development between Bryntirion and Laleston should be on a brownfield site, not ancient woodland, rights of way and open spaces.
475	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Having searched for a property in Bridgend four years ago, the placemaking of Broadlands is much preferable to Derwen.
475	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
475	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
475	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
475	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
475	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

475	The last sentence should not be used to justify the proposed 850 homes on greenfield land between Bryntirion and Laleston village. Stick with your policies.

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
475	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Late May bank holiday weekend highlighted the need for tourist parking on the salt lake. The small local businesses would suffer from a large supermarket.
475	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Agree and support these proposals.on greenfield land between Bryntirion and Laleston village.
475	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Agree with all but the land west of Bridgend. Stick with the policies with regards to protecting ancient woodland, rights of way and open spaces.
475	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Some of the terminology used is difficult to understand for the layperson. Maybe that is the plan? Discourage feedback by making it uninviting for the average person.
475	

LDP Rep: 476

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	We live not far from the greens and forest between bryntirion and laleston these are areas we often walk with our children and dogs. Building more houses there is rediculous! So much wildlife there you can not remove the habitats they live in and the hundreds of years old trees!
476	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
476	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
476	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
476	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
476	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
476	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
476	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
476	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	By building where planned on the edge of bryntirion you are reserving absolutely nothing!!!
476	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
476	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
476	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
476	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Absolutely disgusted there is plans to build by bryntirion removing the woodland and covering the fields!
476	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
476	

LDP Rep: 477

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Can you please explain this in understandable English
477	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Any growth in housing needs to have appropriate growth in infrastructure.
477	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Brownfield site development should be a priority over destroying greenfield sites.
477	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	A major part of people's health and well-being is maintaining green open spaces.
477	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Redeveloping Bridgend town centre as mainly residential would be a cohesive policy.
477	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Affordable business premises are important
477	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Town centre shopping is dead all over the country. Town centres should be developed as residential and leisure areas.
477	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
477	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	The protection of greenfield sitespecially is of vital importance.

477	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
477	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
477	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
477	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No residential developments between Bryntirion and Laleston.
477	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Develop Bridgend town centre into residential, specialist retail and leisure. Protect greenfield sites.
477	

LDP Rep: 478

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
478	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
478	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
478	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
478	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
478	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
478	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
478	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
478	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

478	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
478	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
478	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
478	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	The cycle route network is a welcome development. Please provide a direct link from Parc Derwen into Town. Public transport to/from Parc Derwen needs improvement
478	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
478	

LDP Rep: 479

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	This will impact Laleston hugely, with its increased traffic and footfall. The road is already busy enough during peak times and creates busy and loud environment for its residents. With the new plots it would be 10x worse. I would hate to see the new builds, ruin such a beautiful village. At the best of times the noise of the traffic affects my sleep and therefore my well-being, so adding thousands of more houses down the road would significantly add to it!
479	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
479	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
479	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	There are new homes being built everywhere. Not sure why more are needed. This would cause increased and unmanageable traffic throughout Laleston, Broadlands and Park Street! Driving through park street during rush hour and can take up to 25 minutes at the best of times due to the volume of traffic
479	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	This would really affect my health and well-being. Having anxiety makes normal things difficult such as sleeping and driving to work during rush busy periods. My sleeping would be affected due to increased volumes of traffic and my commute to work will be significantly impacted. Park Street; broadlands and Laleston are already extremely busy during peak hours
479	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
479	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Bridgend it's already an attractive place to live currently. With its over populated areas it would potentially make people else where. I am considering it at the thought of more new builds!
479	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
479	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
479	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
479	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
479	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
479	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
479	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I think the impact on dentists, doctors and hospital should seriously be considered too with increased traffic. They are already over stretched and strained. Adding thousands more or area will increase this issue! Already I am unable to make drs appointments because appointments have run out. Hospital waiting hours are awful. This should really be considered
479	

LDP Rep: 481

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Please do not destroy what green land we have left in the area
481	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
481	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
481	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
481	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No
481	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
481	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
481	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
481	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Do not destroy what we have

481	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
481	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Not needed
481	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
481	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No
481	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Leave well alone
481	

LDP Rep: 482

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
482	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Why no emphasis on growth through regeneration, refurbishment and reuse of existing but non-functional, derelict and underutilised areas such as a large number of town centre properties within Bridgend town and a number of others.
482	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	There are a multitude of brownfield sites within Bridgend that could be regenerated with this benefiting the town centre through revitalisation.
482	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No mention of affordable!
482	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
482	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
482	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	In general brownfield development of housing is likely to place additional resents in close proximity to retail and other town centre facilities increasing usage while minimising transport requirements. If such development focuses on affordable, low cost, housing this is also likely to provide the workforce for retail & hospitality worker with housing.
482	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
482	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Prioritising brown-field development and minimal green-field development would support this policy
482	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
482	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
482	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
482	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Why no mention of public open spaces on lines 2 & 4? No mention of town centre re-development!
482	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
482	

LDP Rep: 483

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
483	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
483	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
483	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
483	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
483	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
483	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
483	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
483	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

483	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
483	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
483	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
483	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I wish to oppose the proposed private development of 850 properties in greenfield land between Bryntirion and Laleston Village. The countryside between the two residential areas, especially Llangewydd Road Lanes are very important to my health and well being. Placing a housing development here and decimating an area of historical and natural countryside wou,d be a tragedy
483	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
483	

LDP Rep: 484

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): N/A

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I wish to opposose any future development on the land that was Bryncethin Rudby Foot Club, This land was designated for recreational purposes in the passed and therefore should any future development should be for that reason .
484	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
484	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
484	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
484	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
484	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
484	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
484	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
484	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	
484	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
484	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
484	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
484	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
484	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
484	

LDP Rep: 485

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	There is already a strain on the roads is our area. We do not need any more traffic as we have been impacted on enough already by buildings in the area.
485	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Whilst I understand the need to attract young professionals into the area, the houses that will be built on that land will probably only be affordable for the well paid.
485	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No comment
485	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	This development will encroach on the lanes and fields that we have enjoyed walking on during covid. A large area of that country side has already been taken away from us by the development of houses at the bottom of our street . Our walking areas are getting smaller and smaller and our wooded areas disappearing fast.
485	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
485	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	There are not enough jobs in and around Bridgend as it is. Where are all these other jobs going to materialise from?
485	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	These houses will not encourage people to visit our town centre. Our town centre is a disgrace and needs a lot of cash plowed into it before any newcomers to the area will find it appealing
485	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
485	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	We have very few natural areas left around Bryntirion and Laleston. It has been eaten up by development continually over the last 25 years. The field in Laleston is one that is used and loved by the community. What about all the horrendously ugly and dangerous phone masts that have sprung up all around the area during lockdown, done o
485	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
485	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
485	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
485	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I am very anti building on the Greenfield area between Bryntirion and Laleston. We are already in a situation where Bridgend town centre has become merged with Laleston, with no boundaries any more. Our schools are getting bigger and bigger, our doctors surgeries and dentists over crowded and stretched and facilities in the town centre remain dire.
485	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No to the 850 houses planned for Laleston.
485	

LDP Rep: 486

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	None.
486	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I support the strategy.
486	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I like the look of these plans, but I believe public transport needs to be improved across these areas in order to secure a greener future.
486	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Innovative design and construction is much needed in Bridgend. For a town so large we have very few notable landmarks.
486	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	None.
486	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Sustainable careers are critical to Bridgend's future generations.
486	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Retail development is much needed in Bridgend town centre. A venture similar to Barry's Goodsheds would be a great sight.
486	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	None.
486	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	None.

486	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	None.
486	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	The former Open Cast site near Cefn Cribwr is notable by its absence from these plans. Investment into repairing and developing that site is much needed.
486	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	None.
486	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	None.
486	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
486	

LDP Rep: 487

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
487	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
487	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
487	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
487	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Sadly home owners look after their property more than renting or social housing occupants. It is unfair to place a high proportion of rented property near private housing
487	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
487	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Retail parks have "killed" off foot traffic in Bridgend town centre. The town centre has nothing to offer the general shopper and it is disgusting. If retail vitality is what you need then higher end retail shops are needed. Not cheap bargain shops.
487	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
487	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
487	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
487	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
487	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
487	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Do not build on the green belt that protects Laleston to Bridgend. Laleston has a unique feel and and enveloping it with housing is a completely wrong decision.
487	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Look after the villages and protect them. Traffic through Laleston is horrific at the best of times. Building more houses without a proper road system, calming measures is disastrous.
487	

LDP Rep: 489

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	The housing development will be built directly behind my home. I strongly object to houses being built on the land. I see wildlife of all kinds, plants and beautiful trees that will be destroyed. I can't walk far but enjoy taking my scooter and enjoying what little countryside we have left. I can't drive so its the only pleasure I have left. As do a lot of my neighbours in the street. I do hope you reconsider and leave the land alone.
489	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	We are not a city we are a town and we should not be made to be one.
489	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Concentrate on the properties already been built not building wafer thin homes that are being wacked up by these big developers. We don't need these house's. We need to put money into the schools already here. Surgeries ect already here so they can cope with the population already here not increasing the pressure on them.
489	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I can't see how this development will improve people's lives. Health wise it will cause more problems until its built and you take away the only place people unwind go for walks ect for their wellbeing.
489	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	We have this already. By making the town bigger is turning it into a city with all the problems that come with it on a town budget. It won't work. Stop building and maintain what we have.
489	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	There are empty premises all over Bridgend and the surrounding areas if they can't be filled and in this recession they will be empty like the rest.
489	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
489	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
489	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Leave what we already have people walk and cycle everyday there. We dont need natural built environments.
489	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
489	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
489	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
489	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
489	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
489	

LDP Rep: 490

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
490	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
490	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
490	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
490	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Will the infratucture of areas being developed be improved, ie schools,shops,doctors,road improvements, recreation areas etc
490	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
490	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
490	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
490	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

490	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
490	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
490	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
490	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
490	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
490	

LDP Rep: 491

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
491	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
491	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
491	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
491	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
491	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
491	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
491	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
491	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

491	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
491	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
491	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
491	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
491	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I am concerned to hear about the proposal to build on land between Bryntirion and Laleston. We have already seen the loss of green fields and natural habitat with the construction of Morgan meadows off Barnes Avenue. The area has become an important recreational site for walkers and cyclists during lockdown and indeed is of historical significance as an old drovers road and pilgrims route. I feel the infrastructure cannot sustain the proposals and would strongly object
491	

LDP Rep: 492

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	The priority needs to be housing. As someone who has been waiting rehousing for 3 years there is a desperate lack of what is needed regarding housing and more needs to be built, ignore the pleas from people who are already housed saying not to build more homes, it's easy to say that when your home is safe and secure and you aren't in need
492	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Without housing for people there is nobody able to live in Bridgend meaning no decent business will come here as all the good workers commute to better places
492	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Give up as much green space as you can, homes are more important than overgrown fields that attract anti social behaviour come night fall
492	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
492	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	There needs to be more housing in general but also more 3/4/5 bedroom homes rather than keep building two bedroom homes
492	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
492	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Bridgend town centre is awful. Shame because it could be lovely. Make the road through for traffic again, people shouldn't be so stupid as to walk into roads without looking and are more than capable to do so, lower the extortionate rents you charge people and realise that the people of Bridgend don't need any more vape or charity shops
492	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?

ID	
492	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
492	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
492	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
492	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
492	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Build more homes they are very desperately needed
492	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
492	

LDP Rep: 493

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	probably if objectives had been described in simple english
493	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	won't happen
493	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	again won't happen
493	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	won't happen
493	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	only work if population has a positive contribution to make
493	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	again needs a positive input from all
493	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	high streets past their sell by date
493	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	much more off an effort has to be made by all sections of the community
493	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	brownfield sites have to be used before any others

493	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
493	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
493	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
493	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
493	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
493	

LDP Rep: 494

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	None
494	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
494	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
494	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
494	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	More social housing affordable homes percentages needed on new sites
494	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
494	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Please keep the character of the original buildings in Bridgend town centre
494	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
494	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	It is important to retain the history of our community

494	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
494	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
494	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
494	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Is there a plan for a new comprehensive school? Another primary is great with the new community built on the outskirts of the village but the comprehensive school is in a poor state for the original blocks there
494	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
494	

LDP Rep: 495

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
495	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
495	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
495	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
495	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
495	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
495	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	give shops a chance in Bridgend by removing the ridiculous restrictions to traffic and
495	all parking charges. Take a leaf out of the Llantwit Major book.

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
495	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

495	refund the Visitor Centre at Kenfig nature reserve - it has become a disgrace to the environment

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
495	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
495	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
495	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
495	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
495	

LDP Rep: 496

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
496	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
496	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
496	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
496	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Improve cycle routes making it safe for children to cycle, keeping the cycle routes away from the roads.
496	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
496	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Improve parking, and facilities for disabled people including better public toilets.
496	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Encourage solar panels to be put on all new buildings and look at how the escape buildings will be heated
496	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Introduce green spaces around the buildings to encourage wildlife corridors

496	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
496	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Provide better railway station including rain cover and toilets
496	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
496	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
496	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
496	

LDP Rep: 497

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
497	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
497	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No to more housing. Can't get in and out of pencoed without traffic now
497	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No room
497	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Too much traffic already
497	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
497	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
497	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
497	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

497	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
497	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
497	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
497	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Too much traffic already. Nightmare. Can't get doctors or dentists appointments
497	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Pencoed is too busy now. Can take ages to get in and out with the rail line as well. Doctors and dentists. Can't get appointments now.
497	

LDP Rep: 498

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	no
498	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	500 homes pa will not be enough
498	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	no
498	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	local infrastructure must be improved to match traffic generated by additional housing
498	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Bare bones starter homes could help young people onto the housing ladder
498	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Small low rental starter units would help new businesses to be created
498	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Make the town center electric car attractive by installing banks of fast chargers and
498	encourage more cafes and restaurants. These facilities must be advertised on the M 4

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	see previous comments re town center. McArthur Glen outlet center should be
498	encouraged ti install electric car chargers

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	no

498	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	no
498	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	no
498	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
498	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	The playing field at Coychurch should be reserved for an enlarged Primary school
498	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Why not link the valleys, bridgend and porthcawl with an over river monorail as in wuppertahl germany
498	

LDP Rep: 499

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): [redacted]

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	In what possible way does an Aldi comply with the above objectives?
499	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	In what possible way will an Aldi help 'encourage a more youthful, skilled population'. Can we not dream bigger than this?
499	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Exactly how many houses do you intend to squeeze on to the small area of coastline, considering you also intend to house an Aldi and bus terminal there? How much green space will be left? How will the environmental credentials of the developer factor in your decision?
499	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	How will an international multi-chain supermarket 'support a sustainable community'? Surely it will create the exact opposite effect and desimate the town centre? In what way does the 'dropping' of an Aldi on a beautiful coastline 'improve the environment'?
499	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	If you place an Aldi and multiple houses on prime real estate where exactly will be left for the future leisure facilities you mention? Isn't Saltlake the perfect location for such leisure and retail, that will help retain Future Generations. Rather than Aldi!
499	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
499	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	With the above in mind how on earth is an Aldi going to help the town centre? Surely it is only going to accelerate its demise? Yes to more leisure, more entrepreneurship, more 'tasteful' retail. More flexible units. Vibrancy. Aldi????????? Where is the evidence of the 'sequential tests' that 'have been undertaken?'
499	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?

ID	How will the construction of the Aldi and the intended homes fit in with this plan? Will the construction aim to be carbon neutral?
499	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	This is probably the most ironic aspect. In what way does an Aldi on the coastline promote the natural beauty of the area? In what way is it sympathising with the 'historic environment', which used to be a lake! In what way is it not simply a short sighted money making capitalist land grab?
499	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
499	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	I mean...how is there possibly room for all this whilst retaining any natural beauty? Is it imperative to force so much 'stuff' in one small area?
499	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
499	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
499	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Yes, why was the land sold to Aldi without firstly PROPERLY engaging the tax payers? How is this going to effect the town centre and the retailers/community? Could we not have dreamt a little bigger, had greater aspirations and more cultural desire? Is an Aldi coastline, strewn with rubbish, cars and congestion really all we can aspire to be? Why don't we create something that attracts a young, vibrant culture? That promotes environmental respect and has the future generations at its heart. Even my 6 year olds can dream bigger than this. Farm shop, pump track, flexible units for new exciting businesses, SPACE, trees, lake.....the list goes on
499	

LDP Rep: 500

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
500	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
500	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
500	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
500	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Whether children, teenagers, adults or the elderly, please do not discriminate against people with disabilities. It should be a priority that 'bungalow' accommodation be included in any regeneration plan, and that going forward, legislation should ensure that all development applications for mass housing stipulate that a certain number of bungalows are to be built.
500	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
500	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
500	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
500	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
500	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
500	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	To include easy access facilites for brain damaged, dementia and Alzheimer's sufferers. Respite Care at the seaside for patients and their carers. A peaceful and secluded sensory park and or garden. A one stop 'Carers' information centre. A hydro pool and ample disabled toilet facilities. With foresight and careful planning, Porthcawl could become world-renowned for dementia care.
500	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
500	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
500	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
500	

LDP Rep: 501

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	There is not acceptable parking areas at present and illegal parking on pavements , grass verges and even in front of the emergency service bays is not monitored in the slighter. How with the loss of this main car park will this affect the surrounding neighbourhoods.
501	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
501	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
501	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Sustainable designs on a seafront is indeed essential so a supermarket will not workz
501	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Prime location and opportunity to enhance a beautiful setting . Put Porthcawl on the map for something other than a fairground, the beach attracts visitors so use this advantage and not waste on affordable housing.
501	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Working for Aldi is not progress
501	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
501	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
501	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	How does a supermarket fit into a seafront location naturally. It will be hideous .
501	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Bo
501	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	As well as opening up travel into Porthcawl , control is needed to stop the influx of vehicles arriving that cannot be accompanied for.
501	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
501	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No
501	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
501	

LDP Rep: 502

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Hi, there has been discussion, originating from Porthcawl Town Council Councilors stating that there is record of the area known as Sandy Bay was Compulary Purchased in 1946 by Porthcawl Urban District Council using the Physical Training and Recreation act of 1937 to restric its use to just that. What is your understanding of the legitimacy of this statement? I strongly believe that the foreshore of the regeneration areas should remain expansive providing attractive areas for recreation, relaxation and fitness/health. We must keep any housing as far back from the waterside as possible for the following reason. Once housing is built on these currently open foreshore spaces there can be no return to open space. This removes any opportunity for future generations (and this generation) to use the space as desired by the community (whatever that might be)! Any other building or use can be demolished, replaced and/or remediated. This can not happen with housing! Some may say that everywhere needs to do its bit to ensure that there is sufficient housing to accommodate a growing population. This is true to an extent, however there will come a time that a council has to decide that there can be no further extension of a town as further urbanisation will be detrimental to its appeal. I don't believe Porthcawl has quite reached this point but I do believe that, should these regeneration areas be developed in that way then this would be both detrimental to the towns appeal and also it would be a crime against future generations (many of whom would not find themselves in a position to be able to afford a property in Porthcawl/such areas of Porthcawl anyhow). I truly believe that Porthcawls potential to provide highly desirable recreation space, if realised, would ensure that people would be drawn to the area and rather than snapping up expensive, new coastal properties, the existing housing stock within both Porthcawl and neighboring towns will be individually refurbished/ redeveloped/ regenerated. This would be much more benificial to the local area. New housing should also play its part but maybe this should connect towns in some way? Hypothetically, concider utilising one of the three golf courses that are adjoined to Porthcawl for housing development. Why would in not be considered fir this land when there would still be two courses to satisfy the golfing community? Why then is it acceptable to remove the relatively small areas of land that remain in Porthcawl from use by future generations by building irreversible residential units over it. Please, please ensure that there is substantial and most adequate amounts of land available in the Porthcawl regen eratiin area for future generations to enjoy and use as they see fit. And what ever buildings recieve planning, please ensure that there are stringent conditions regarding the durability of materials selected and assurance that maintenance regimes are somehow adeared to!
502	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Attract by providing attractions and retain by enabling and ensuring opportunities for employment
502	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I believe that there is no better way to benifit communities than to provide an attraction with a range of enclusive recreation facilities, environments to relax in and areas to dine/enjoy reffreshments all within easy walking distance of one another and closely linked to the towns high street. No hassle of driving from A-B just all you need in one manageable area!
502	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I wholeheartedly agree with this concept.
502	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Please ensure that any accompanying infrastructure, recreation facilities etc are secured and adequate for the needs of the community - community engagement is required to understand these needs!
502	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Please can you be more specific with regards to the types of business and employment opportunities that you wish to attract to the area
502	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Visitors are a necessity to ensuring the sustainability of any town. Please ensure that every opportunity is taken to attract visitors and create a vibrant and healthy environment.
502	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Every effort should be made to be as sustainable as is possible.
502	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Restriction on land use needs to be enforced to ensure the natural enviroment is protected along with land protected for recreational use.
502	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Provide communities facilities that are inclusive enough to ensure socially responsible adults are present and that possitive interaction between generations occurs.
502	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	This is all great, but untill the areas for different uses are defined on plan then it is impossible to agree or disagree. For me there needs to be considerable areas set for
502	

	recreation and leisure and these should form a major part of the regeneration. Making the area a centre for health and wellbeing would be wonderful!

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
502	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Ensure facilities are available for people to be as healthy as possible - the pub culture that has contributed to the poor health of communities for a long time is changing and making use of the current trend for healthy living should be encouraged at every opportunity by providi g a wide range of facilities for all ages and levels of fitness.
502	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Please ensure that you think ahead with any plans. It would be a travesty not to ensure future generations have many opportunities and are healthier in body and mind than the last!
502	

LDP Rep: 503

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
503	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
503	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
503	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
503	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	More affordable housing within existing applications rather than detached luxury 4 beds
503	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	More small starter units with reduced business rates to encourage use of local personnel
503	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	More small local independent businesses need reduced rates and assistance for first year to encourage diversification of the high streets.
503	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
503	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

503	The danger of encroachment into SSSI areas and Newton Burrows especially worrying. The possibility of boundary changes should be strongly resisted or small local green buffer areas will be lost.

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
503	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	It is imperative that leisure facilities and tourism / open space and recreation is the priority on the waterfront. NOT a supermarket or flats on the seafront. More community use facilities.
503	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
503	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No encroachment into Porthcawl and Laleston boundaries for housing. Brownfield sites on old industrial areas for housing/ small business units with flats over.
503	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I feel strongly that greenfield areas and green spaces surrounding SSSI areas like Newton Burrows, Kenfig, are fully protected from encroachment from housing and boundary amendments. We need really good management of these areas and Tree,shrub and dune management and conservation.
503	

LDP Rep: 504

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Firstly anything that's built on a coast area should be fitting to the area. Aldi is not the right thing to go there. Why couldn't it be a building with lots of different shops inside to actually give people a chance to set up their own business rather than corporate coming in and ruining the coastal area. No one wants to look out and see an Aldi!
504	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Yes but again allow people to be independent give a space for people to be in a collaborative building where they can hold their businesses. Have the build suit the beach theme!
504	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
504	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
504	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
504	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
504	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
504	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
504	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
504	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
504	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
504	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
504	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No Aldi, let the coastal area be a coastal area!!
504	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
504	

LDP Rep: 505

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	There is a lack of consultation with local residents about the strategic planning and development of the area.
505	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Not enough focus on small local businesses. Too much focus on multinational companies. For example allowing Dominoes pizza to set up in Porthcawl which is direct competition to two independent pizza eateries. The proposed supermarket on salt late is a horrendous plan.
505	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	The plans for Porthcawl don't benefit the residents of Porthcawl. We need leisure facilities and open space, not a supermarket in and housing.
505	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
505	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	See previous. Porthcawl needs leisure facilities not housing. The plans look grim at best.
505	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
505	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Give greater incentives for people to start their own business, then we may have more interesting shops than charity shops and coffee shops.
505	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Make Porthcawl plastic free and provide more bins with increased emptying then we wouldn't have so much litter polluting our town.
505	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
505	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
505	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Nobody is clear on what the leisure facilities will be, it all has sounded a bit vague. It's a shame the council couldn't be more imaginative than a supermarket and housing....
505	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
505	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
505	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	This survey feels purposely wordy and it's likely your average resident will be put off completing it for this reason....I guess that may have been the plan.
505	

LDP Rep: 506

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Too complcated
506	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Where is the infrastructure to support young families?
506	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
506	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
506	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Increased housing may well act as a key driver of economi growth but at whar expense?
506	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
506	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Are you going to ensure fair retail rates?
506	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	More housing, more waste. How will you cope with that?
506	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

506	How are you going to conserve habitats and wild life and maintain historic environment when a proposal for 850 houses on a historic drovers lane and wild habitat has been made?

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Where is the schools, Doctirs, hospitals, Pot Men Refuge collectord etc to support thr housing proposal?
506	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Deffinatley needed in Porthcawl. Regeneration should welcome tourists to the area.
506	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Again whete is the infrastructure to support the housing? Walking and cycling routes welcomed.
506	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	We teally do not need any more housing in thses areas!! Llangewydd Court has increased hugely in size and now housing estate on Llangewydd Road is huge! Again where is the infrastructire? How are you going to attract teachers, doctors, nhs dentist, postmen, refuse collectors etc etc etc.
506	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Plesse do not build anymore houses in Bridgend. Don't take fields or lanes with history and build on them. Park Street in Bridgend is the post polluted area, carry on and ALL of Bridgend will become polluted with no green areas or trees to off set it. Prince Charlesceants us to plant trees, the only thing BCBC want to do is cut them down for houses. My baby Granddaughter wont know what a tree is at this rate!
506	

Draft Local Development Plan
Proposed site: PLA3, LAND WEST OF BRIDGEND
Locally known as the "Circus Field" (and surrounding) site
Proposal for 850 houses, etc.

To: whom it may concern at Bridgend County Borough Council

I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds.

- Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called "affordable" housing would be beyond the means of most young persons.
- Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed.
- Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP.
- Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity.
- The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles.
- The site has an inherently rural aspect, it forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council's objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough.
- This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims' way, Ffordd y Gyfraith ("The Way of the Law"), and a drovers' road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site.
- The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community.
- No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity.
- The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development.
- This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west.

- The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous.
  - Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated.
  - Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards.
- In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

Further comments (if any):

To quote Council leader Huw David
"We're very lucky in Bridgend County Borough to have such a wealth of green spaces around us - you don't need to get into your car to enjoy the natural wildlife around us. Exercise plays an important part in keeping all of us physically and mentally healthy." Taken from BCBC website 'Green spaces to explore from your doorstep' Feb 2021.
Well you are going to take this away from many, many people who walk from Bryntirion & Laleston or up Llangewydd Road into Gypsy Lane. p.To.

(Signed) [redacted]

Name(s [redacted]

Address [redacted]

Including me! I have found solace in walking up into Laleston and back home via the Lanes and Llangewydd Road. It is something that has helped both my mental & physical health during the Covid Pandemic

Where else can I take my Granddaughter to see cows and sheep???

You have given permission for houses to be built at Broadlands, Meggans Meadow, and the town end of Llangewydd Road.

Why must we be subjected to more development and less green space?

The infrastructure is just not in place to support 850 houses. Pupils have been turned down from going to local schools because there are no places and have to travel further afield without the friends they have been brought up with.

Within Bridgend Borough there has been a loss of over 250 long term placements for the Elderly with the closure of Abergarw Care Home, Maesgwyn hospital and Mosley hospital wards. Respite care for the elderly and those suffering mental illness is shocking within the borough putting strain on carers.

Please reconsider this proposal!

Thank you.

1578

LDP Rep: 507

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
507	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
507	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I agree that porthcawl needs development however as a tourist resort this should be the priority. Having residential houses on salt lake i feel is a mistake. I agree with supermarket and better transport links including the need for regular bus service but I feel housing should not be in this area and it should be used for recreation
507	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Salt lake should not be used for residential housing, it should be recreational use. The land behind sandy Bay should be focused on residential use
507	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Transport links to porthcawl need to be carefully reviewed. If increased housing planned and no increase in jobs in the town then good transport is necessary for work
507	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Porthcawl is a tourist resort and the focus should be on this in ensuring there are jobs all year. Ensuring adequate hotel and hospitality jobs and marketing it as a year round holiday destination. We don't want it to be a commuter town with the 1000+ additional homes having people that don't work here
507	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
507	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
507	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Sustainable travel needs to be carefully considered with cycle tracks between major centres, currently it feels unsafe to cycle out of porthcawl due to traffic speed. With increased residents planned, management of coastal areas and sand dunes is a priority
507	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
507	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Salt lake should not be residential, need improved transport links. Consideration of parking for tourists and day visitors as this is a holiday resort
507	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
507	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No
507	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
507	

LDP Rep: 508

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I do not want an Aldi built on salt lake. Should be good for environment not more traffic and ruining coastal roads and area
508	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Needs to be inclusive so no aldi on salt lake. Needs health focused facility
508	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No Aldi on salt lake. Encourage local culture and independent organisations
508	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No Aldi which will spoil a unique beautiful area
508	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Health focussed provision is not an Aldi. Use it for recreational purposes
508	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Aldi at salt lake would not provide diversity and local businesses
508	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	A large retail store ie Aldi does not provide this. It doesn't enable health and well being and would actually detract from the lovely vibrant high street in porthcawl. Aldi being built on salt lake does nothing to support future of high street. The site could be used for recreational health and wellbeing thereby encouraging more diversity in the high street
508	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
508	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Aldi on salt lake porthcawl is not sustainable economic growth and would destroy a landscape that hasn't changed in years which means it provides childhood memories for thousands of people who continue to bring children to recreate such memories any change on salt lake should add to the history, culture and possibly update recreational activities
508	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
508	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Porthcawl water front, retail should be small units attracting local artisans, artists, crafts, individual small businesses that are unique so would enhance and attract local communities and be an attraction. Open air gym, environmental/educational sustainability hub, market area. Focus on individual experience and use opportunity to provide a unique facility which is visually in keeping with the beautiful natural area and its individual and creative facility would offer so much to meet the goal of the plan, not Aldi as this totally ruins the area and an incredible opportunity would be missed. Imagine how such a diverse space would add to porthcawl
508	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
508	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No
508	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Please focus on providing a unique facility on porthcawl waterfront. No supermarkets
508	

LDP Rep: 509

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
509	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
509	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
509	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
509	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Do not take over green spaces/leisure areas with just more houses, look at housing stock already available.
509	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
509	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Need to promote and protect small and independent retail as well as larger businesses. Promote the local high streets.
509	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
509	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

509	As a new resident it appears that compared with its neighbouring tourist and coastal areas the natural environment (especially coastal) has been neglected over a period of time. Being valleys to coast could be a massive tourist draw but it appears little is done to maintain and promote this. Doing this could not only bring much needed financial benefit to the area but also increase employment and wellbeing for local residents. Am surprised how few leisure facilities (outdoor and indoor) are available in the authority.

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
509	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Important to get the balance right. More housing won't attract more tourists. Porthcawl could be a beacon for tourism and residents if thoughtful proposals are developed after true and meaningful consultation with residents. Be adventurous not just look at putting as many houses as possible on the sites. Could look to how well Merthyr are consulting with their communities.
509	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
509	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
509	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	This is not a very accessible survey that all residents could easily contribute to, need to look at how to ensure the views all stakeholders can be collected.
509	

LDP Rep: 510

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	A supermarket will destroy the main shopping street. It is not in keeping with a holiday town to give a supermarket a sea view, why not build on the outskirts. Where will visitors park? Have you seen the existing parking on a nice day ?
510	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Porthcawl does not need to grow anymore - Has anyone reviewed the town on a nice day - Cars & people everywhere who do not spend much money but leave enormous amounts of litter that has to be collected.
510	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	This is urbanisation - We need open spaces for an enhanced environment.
510	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Policies are created for the benefit of BCBC & not the people of the town.
510	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	This plan destroys the environment
510	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Employs people to clean up the mess left by visitors
510	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Put retail on the edge of town - It does not need a sea view & will destroy the sea views
510	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
510	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	It destroys the environment
510	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
510	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Porthcawl Waterfront needs to be tidied up & then left alone
510	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
510	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
510	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
510	

LDP Rep: 512

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): [redacted]

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Sometimes sustains what you have and producing Quality enhancements in these are a far outway what appears to be this authorities only focus of increasing revenue without vision
512	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	If you build and populate you need to be able support and sustain that infrastructure including the health and well-being of your population and this already falls short
512	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Through over building you are already removing the spatiality between towns .. and this is not positive and reduces opportunities for more green areas and social and leisure
512	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	From historic decision making this council has no vision and is unable to think outside the box .. do what you have always done and you will get what you have always got . !! Research other successful countries ? Be innovators not destructive
512	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	But that is not factually true .. you build the houses. But the infrastructure to support them drags behind for years !!!
512	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
512	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Support small Independant unique business people . Keep rates fair as they cannot compete with huge supermarkets ..be fair
512	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
512	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	The wrong type of growth kills communities .. our beautiful cultural and green areas attract visitors .. try not to destroy and build on it all .. to your detriment
512	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
512	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No houses on Sandy bay or Porthcawl front .. please create innovative leisure areas Please .. skate parks ,water parks .outside excercise equipment .. . reading sitting areas surrounded by trees for our seniors .. please research please think out of your mundane boxes ..? This could be such a valued special area.. little craft village spots for our talented locals .
512	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
512	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No
512	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Please use the lands for the benefit of your current population , enhance it and make quality. NOT quantity..!! the more you build the more people and trouble will come ..
512	

LDP Rep: 513

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	BCBC's current plans to regenerate Porthcawl do not fulfil any of these strategic objectives. The plans are completely lacking any imagination and do not take in to account the needs of the town.
513	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	BCBC's plans of a discount foodstore and housing provides limited growth. Any jobs created will be low skilled and will be offset by job losses from existing businesses. Links to Cardiff and Swansea will only be achieved with better transport links for all modes.
513	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Very little aspiration.
513	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Placing a foodstore and a housing estate on a prime seafront location in a tourist town is not 'placemaking'. Absolutely no vision
513	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	The policies are good but BCBC's current plans do not achieve objectives
513	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	BCBC's plan for Porthcawl provides very weak employment generation
513	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	As a retail business owner I have experienced a shift towards more high street spending rather than supermarkets. Independent retail is on the increase and customers have experienced the benefits of shopping local and getting a better customer service. BCBC's plans for a foodstore and housing leave little room for any meaningful leisure provision which is desperately needed in Porthcawl.
513	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?

ID	
513	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	BCBC's planned regeneration of Porthcawl in no way preserves or enhances the local seascape. More natural enhancement of the Salt Lake area with high quality tourist and leisure facilities is required
513	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
513	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Complete lack of vision. No plans for increased facilities to cater for increased housing. No plans for provision of good quality parking when Salt Lake parking provision is removed. No plans for high quality leisure provision or parks.
513	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
513	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
513	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
513	

LDP Rep: 514

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I am concerned that there is no ACTIVE TRAVEL plans for Porthcawl were there is a big development proceeding. Bridgend Public services Board - Local Wellbeing plan. point 4. The traffic problems are effecting the wellbeing of the residents in the area but nothing is planned to remedy it.
514	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	It is an area that has little attraction to high skilled people as our young people are going to university and not returning to the area because there are no appropriate jobs for them.
514	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	There needs to be a better plan for the traffic problems in Porthcawl. Development of Traffic calming measures and sustainable travel . Visitor numbers are increasing but there needs to be a strategy for managing the volume and speed of the traffic.
514	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I do not see plans to provide improvement of the layout and structure of the road networks in Porthcawl. The people of Porthcawl's environment and wellbeing do not seemed to be a factor in the plan. Traffic and noise pollution is increasing in Porthcawl but other areas seem to take prioriy.
514	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Extra housing is planned for Porthcawl but there needs to be a review of the traffic problems in the town . More houses means more traffic and the development of the salt lake carpark means the cars will be pushed into residential areas due to lack of car parking areas. During the last bank holidays cars were parked on Rest Bay common and grass verges around the portway. What about the active travel and health of people in Porthcawl.
514	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	I think is a good idea having employment investment in the area however these opportunities must be sustainable. Investment needs to be in quality jobs.
514	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	

514	It is an admiral idea to reduce traffic by improving our retail centres . This will help Porthcawl develop town centre but provision is needed to control the traffic and car parking problems.

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Renewable energy is the buzz word but Porthcawl doesn't have any electric charging points.
514	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	It is important to maintain the environment . Green areas are important and maintaining a rubbish free sea is paramount.
514	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
514	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Is there proposals to improve transport including walking and cycling around Porthcawl. The proposal seems to be focused on getting in and out of Porthcawl.
514	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
514	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
514	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I am concerned that the proposals for Porthcawl do not take into account the traffic problems. As a resident of Porthcawl I and my neighbours are unable to sit in our gardens due to noise of the motorbikes and cars speeding down the A4106 dual carriageway. The pollution is affecting our health and the anti social behaviour is affecting our mental health. I am worried that if the road is not reviewed and improved then the increased traffic will make it worse.
514	

LDP Rep: 515

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I agree we should be improving the well-being and natural places in this beautiful part of the county. How is developing a shopping centre and housing going to do that? Please could you be clearer with the idea of high quality sustainable places? There are so many AMAZING things that could be done with this space that really would create a WOW factor in Porthcawl. People already come here so you don't need to encourage tourists but why not make the space that is available even more inviting for potential new tourists and the residents of Porthcawl and the county.
515	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	This particular plan is just as likely to wipe out as many jobs and professions as it is to create them. How about an exciting water sport centre? Or a market and food area? The harbour side project has been abandoned and this is a space that would have created more jobs and helped balance the age of the population. Houses? More? There are many empty homes already in this county. Houses right on the sea front are premium prices, no young person is going to be able to get on the property ladder there! Unless of course you are only reaching out to wealthy thus creating an even more obvious class divide in Porthcawl. What OTHER jobs could be created in Porthcawl to encourage a youthful skilled population? Have you actively looked at the jobs this group of people are aiming for? Computing, IT, engineering... Porthcawl needs to be more affordable for the younger generation to be able to buy /rent there. As well as having better public transport links (no, the open top bus and land train don't count - in fact I think these are things that would drive the exact group you want in the town away from it!)
515	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	When you take the land away, the green land, when do we get it back? We give less than 10% of the land taken to build and I feel that councils see the land as never ending. People have moved to these counties because of the semi-rural location, because of how lush and green it is. Where exactly do you plan for all of these houses to go? Maybe people shouldn't be able to keep buying so many houses and letting them privately. What is an under-utilised site?
515	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Yes, I believe the council should hold real life meetings with scale models of their plans. How things will actually look if their planned changes are made. Reasons outlining the benefits for the communities should be given as well as the potential negative implications. And then those that will be affected (positively or negatively) should be able to have an open forum a number of times before final decisions are made. It feels that a lot of sneaking around is happening rather than transparency.
515	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	How does it secure improved education provision? I have worked in education for 14 years and this has NEVER been true!! In fact councils keep trying to close schools down. The current recycling and waste collection is terrible and more houses will make this worse. They are given limited time to do their job and the streets are more of a mess on bin day! How will increasing housing supply act as a key driver of economic growth?
515	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Explain skilled... I too think we need to ensure everyone is able to work. Why not encourage those smaller businesses to grow enabling them to employ people living within the county.
515	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Indeed! Let's create beautiful and natural spaces. Give something back to nature. More people shop online now and as councils allowed huge out of town retail centres people naturally flock here. Sadly, I believe the traditional town centres can't provide more retail opportunities. In the case of allowing an Aldi to be built on a beautiful sea front, this goes completely against the idea of keeping it an attractive place to live and work!
515	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	I think it is disappointing that we are building more waste disposal sites. But it is equally disappointing that there is so much littering and fly tipping in this county. I previously stated that the bin days are some of the days we see the most mess in the county. Rather than leasing out everything, why is the council not taking charge and employing people allowing them the right time and benefits to complete their job with care?
515	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	I would love to see us developing lovely tourist spots that are great places for residents too. Without being extortionate!
515	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
515	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	A very poor decision for Porthcawl Waterfront. An Aldi on the seafront would not be something we want to see?!! How are you going to fit ALL of that in the space? The car park is full, over flowing during the summer. Where are all these cars going? The
515	

	Sandy Bowl space is used by children and adults alike for leisure purposes already. Why not improve it? A skate park, running track, splash pads? Something GOOD for the residents as well as tourists. Cafes, pop up markets... Which land East of Pyle? I can't think of any land that should be taken away and used for all of the things you mention. We choose to live in a semi rural space. A lot more information is needed about this please!

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
515	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
515	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	This should be more accessible for ALL residents of Bridgend. And it should be written more concisely, openly and honestly. State your ACTUAL intentions rather than vague plans that could mean anything. Before final decisions are made you should be having meetings with residents to explain clearly your plans. Don't forget the people that already live here and how you are planning to change the space in which they live.
515	

LDP Rep: 517

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
517	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
517	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Regeneration growth areas I'm all in for
517	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
517	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
517	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
517	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
517	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
517	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

517	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	I love the idea let's give caerau, Maesteg for our next generation to look forward to
517	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
517	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
517	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
517	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Children of caerau/Maesteg need more things/places/activities to do keep the teenagers from causing trouble on the streets, the parks need updating there isn't anything perents/children can do.. If there was a option on new things put into place I won't be afraid for my children to grow up cause all the teenagers now are on drugs, drunk, vandalism, causing problems for everyone because they board and haven't got anything to do, I know it isn't the communitys fault it is the perents but not every child should look up to the older ones and follow they footsteps
517	

LDP Rep: 518

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Given the changes in working and living patterns since the C-19 pandemic, I think the plan should reflect the increase in home working and the resultant risk of local people being priced out of local housing market.
518	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I think that support and incentive should be given to local employers and the retention of local skills and crafts.
518	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	There is a need for considerable investment in the green economy including cycle networks across Porthcawl. Supporting the commitment to increasing the number of Welsh speakers should be included in any housing plans- more houses = increased need for Welsh medium education across the county
518	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Let's be respectful of local heritage and I lace names as we develop- no loss of Welsh place names please. Let's also build in facilities for different mobilities.
518	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I am supportive of these, noting the need to retain visual heritage across the county, not least the Art Deco heritage of Porthcawl
518	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	I am supportive of this and welcome increased opportunities for people of all ages across the county
518	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	There is a balance to be struck between retail development and supporting high streets, initiatives such as reduced parking charges help this in part. I do not want to live somewhere where there are only out of town shops and charity shops
518	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
518	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	I support this. We have strong visual heritage across the county- this should be built upon.
518	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
518	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	I would welcome more cycle routes in Porthcawl. Park and ride would reduce the pressure on parking in town and if it could be used for travel to the station it would also open up railway travel further for Porthcawl people.
518	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
518	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
518	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I have noted in my comments the needs to consider the impact of the LDP on the Welsh language across the county, I do not feel this is sufficiently reflected in the plan. Language impacts across schools, employment, health, elderly care and many other life stages- the plan should consider this.
518	

LDP Rep: 519

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	We do not want an Aldi in porthcawl. Who wants an Aldi in their hometown let alone on the seafront of a seaside town? Stop trying to make porthcawl like Bridgend. we do not want to be like Bridgend.
519	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Stop the growth. Nobody wants an Aldi in porthcawl.
519	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No. Nobody wants an Aldi in porthcawl. Please revoke any plans
519	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	You could keep the town and local shops sustainable by not introducing a large scale supermarket to an already dying town.
519	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	There's nothing that porthcawl could benefit from with an Aldi. Less parking, less opportunity for local shops and an ugly supermarket sat on the seafront
519	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Do not employ anybody.
519	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	We do not want an Aldi, less than 100 metres from Coop. Seriously what's the point?
519	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No.
519	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	You’re destroying the environment by putting such a travesty in the middle of a seaside town. We are not Bridgend
519	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Stop and cancel any plans
519	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Stop the plans for an Aldi in porthcawl
519	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Do we really need a cycle path? seriously? Absolute waste of money
519	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No
519	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No
519	

LDP Rep: 521

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	should respect green spaces and ancient woodland
521	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	so not ignore indeginous populations
521	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	the pyle cornelkt Kenfig hill area would lose its identity
521	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	a concept doesn't always flow through with good living conditions
521	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Infrastructure often gets left behind with developers failing to provide promised provisions what will tge Council do to ensure this doesn't happen
521	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	jobs first no use having loads of houses people can't afford
521	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	support businesses already there before concentrating on maybe ones
521	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	what if new technology fails tradiotanol energy supply should nit be overlooked traditional wind turbines never create enough energy to recoup their cost and mostly cannot be recycled smaller recyclable units need to be looked at
521	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	as long as you stick to it sounds okay
521	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
521	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	porthcawl needs parking if it's to remain a holiday destination which is the main source of income no 9ne wants to carry a day's worth of beach paraphernalia on a train then on a bus then onto the beach they want to park within walking distance and have good holiday facilities aberavon watermark is a wonderful development why hasn't porthcawl got something similar
521	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	walking g and cycling routes re lively but we are a nation of car drivers they should not suffer fir something that probably will get little use we get more rain than sun in Wales no one wants to go for a nice walk in the rain
521	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	any housing should take into consideration local.opinion on what they want in respect of green spaces and natural wildlife areas
521	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	care should be taken not to forget the people who already live here in favour of those who potentialy may live here
521	

LDP Rep: 522

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
522	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
522	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Timescales on when the work will commence
522	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
522	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
522	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
522	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
522	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
522	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

522	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
522	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	I think a park and ride should be considered for Porthcawl, parking is a significant issue in the town. Also think the supermarket should go on the car park by portway & the entire salt lake pedestrianised
522	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
522	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
522	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
522	

LDP Rep: 523

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	im looking to do my english
523	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
523	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
523	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
523	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
523	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
523	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
523	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
523	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

523	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
523	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
523	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
523	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
523	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
523	

LDP Rep: 524

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Keep our green areas, protect the already overloaded road structure, GPs and schools. Don't urbanise all the green areas in Bridgend
524	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Bridgend has already expanded massively since I moved here in 1986, it's unrecognised now to what it once was. Please keep some of the green areas
524	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Where are they going to Put the new roads?? Our roads are already overloaded and in a poor condition.
524	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
524	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No this is just a money making scheme. Where will the jobs come from through this new development? Or leisure facilities?
524	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
524	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Bridgend town is very poor, no shops of any value only charity shops and Poundland, how on earth will adding another 850 houses improve this.
524	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
524	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

524	How is building on green land, and destroying ancient woods retaining a natural environment?

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
524	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
524	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
524	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
524	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Leave it alone, we have enough housing in Bridgend , bad roads, very poor services, no parking, clogged up roads, Park st. is a disaster always grid locked with traffic queues. Adding another 850 houses is not improving anything, only adding to the already congested system.
524	

LDP Rep: 525

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
525	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
525	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
525	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
525	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No
525	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
525	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
525	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
525	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

525	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
525	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
525	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
525	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
525	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	The document is written in a management vocabulary and phraseology which those not conversant with it makes it very difficult if not impossible to decipher. The use of simple succinct English would have been beneficial. The document is so wordy and seems to have been compiled by a group of consultants who consider success to be the number of words produced. I expect seminars had to held to explain its meaning. This is not the sign of a good consultative document.
525	

LDP Rep: 526

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Aldi, whils tnot the worst, is most definitely not the most sustainable store. It's position within the town will divert business from the smaller, independent shops and the more sustainable co-op. Whilst an Aldi store will provide minimal jobs, it will not encourage local enterprise and the design of the stores is most definitely not in keeping with the character of Porthcawl
526	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	An Aldi store will not bring any of the CARM intentions into the area as it is a multi-national chain with it's own way of doing things, cheaply. There is no room for championing local produce.
526	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Whilst the designated area has been in need of something for many years, an Aldi store is not in keeping with the local area. Instead this area could be put to better use offering smaller, cost-effective units for independent business to grow. They can be built with sustainability and the environment in mind and blend into the surroundings. this will encourage sustainable industry and investment in the area
526	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	All Aldi stores look the same. It forms part of the brand. There is no thought given to the locality of the stores and how they fit within that environment and setting. There will also be an increase in the volume and flow of traffic near to key family areas adding to pollution and traffic congestion in an already busy location.
526	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Given the location of this site, any housing built here would be out of the price range for most of the residents within Porthcawl, especially first time buyers. There isn't sufficient infrastructure in Porthcawl to provide school places without substantial extension - especially as high school.
526	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	An Aldi store offers limited employment opportunities - most of which are at the lower end of the scale. It will have a potentilly devastating impact on the local businesses - many of which only just survive the winter months with no tourism as it is. Allowing an Aldi store would most definitely not attract economically active households, nor encourage expansion of currrent businesses or attract investment from outside the area. It would provide low level, year round employment but so would the growth of
526	

	smaller employers, who would also establish and require a more diverse, potentially skilled/professional workforce

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Retail centres have their place. Offer employment opportunities and variety for the consumer. However, a centre like Porthcawl is better known for it's range of small, independent shops providing a range of products that are needd by locals and tourists, enabling them to have a year round business.
526	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Aldi will draw an increase in traffic - adding to pollution and CO2 emissions; not only with shoppers cars, but deliveries too. All development comes with an environmental cost but smaller units built with the community in mind can take account of this and be built sustainably, with the environment in mind. There is likely to be an increase in litter as being so close to the beach, people will shop cheaply and are more likely to discard the rubbish.
526	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Aldi stores do not fit with the character of Porthcawl. Being twixt the beach and town centre, the area lends itself more to "hack type" units, keeping low level and low key so as to retain the views currently enjoyed.
526	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Don't know this area very well to comment
526	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Any development within these areas need to reflect the current offering and enhance this - not ride rough shod. Improving walking and cycling routes are good, but again not at the expense of the residents of Porthcawl who need to live, work and move around.
526	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Ogmore and Garw valleys should not be developed at all - they should be designated areas of natural beauty and enhanced for wildlife
526	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Don't know the area well enough for an opinion
526	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	

526	An Aldi store is not needed within Porthcawl. Whilst it would bring cheaper grocery shopping this would be to the detriment of the local businesses currently established and trying to gain a foothold and I urge you to turn down this application.

LDP Rep: 527

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I have become aware of the proposed development in between Laleston and Bryntirion, which I have to voice my opposition to. It is an area which defines Laleston as a village, an area which has natural woodland and carries worth as a recreational resource for all, highlighted during Lockdown, developement would overcrowd an already busy commuter route, the building would be chaotic for residents. I cannot believe that a couple of swings would compensate for the further overcrowding of health and educational resources. Better to address the issues in the centre of the town and return it to the attraction for the locality it once was, rather than the Zombie town we have at the present. Expansion for the sake of expansion is folly, and will just impoverish the quality of life for all, expansion without improving infrastructure and local services is just pandering to the shareholders of the large builders. No to this plan
527	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	as before, grow the infrastructure, demand more than swings from the builders, a strategy for keeping the place fit to live in, rather than just growing it bigger and more crowded
527	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	consider the history and the continued utility of the existing inhabitants. Demand more from builders
527	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	well and good but not neccessarily what is being delivered by the current schemes, insensitive to local pre-existing inhabitants, and straining beyond reason the local resources such as health and education
527	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	expansion is outstripping local resources, sort out the town, bring some life back to in relieve some of the strain on schools and health services, then revist expansion. I see my environment becoming an ever less attractive place, in the path of ever ongoing expansion of just residential build
527	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	retail opportunities need fostering, town and villages need to be resuscitated as places of interest, rather than languishing, and festering as they are now
527	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	retail centres in bridgend county are bland and uninteresting, bridgend has little more than phone and charity shops, with the only growth industry seeming to be beauticians and hairdressers. Even charity shops leave bridgend!
527	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	seem ok, tip intythegston is a lawsuit waiting to happen, can we not build solar and wind gathering equipment here?
527	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	sounds rational and good, proposition on laleston/bryntirion seems not
527	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
527	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
527	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
527	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	please ensure that there is not only building , but also support recruitment for health and education, which i hope would be included in the building, teachers as well as swings. Sensitivity to the existing residents
527	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
527	

LDP Rep: 528

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
528	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
528	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I feel that as a resident of Bryncethin for over 50 years our village is already becoming overcrowded with what we call undesirables because members of councils and various other do-gooders think that by putting these 'underpriviliged' people amongst law-abiding people who actually pay taxes and council tax and give something back to society will help them. It only causes misery for us. It is well known that gypsy and travellers will cause mayhem amongst a community that up till now is peaceful. To say that only 1 unit will be put on the site is complete rubbish as overnight it will be completely over-run when word gets about that bridgend council has allowed a site to be opened. Please think of the elderly and vulnerable people who are living near where the site has been proposed. Thank you.
528	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
528	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
528	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Gypsies and travellers do not do any employment which will bring any benefit to our village
528	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
528	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
528	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
528	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
528	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
528	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
528	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
528	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
528	

LDP Rep: 529

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	The objectives are fantastic, however from reading through the current proposals I dont feel they meet these objectives or show the ambition Porthcawl needs to reach its full potential and benefit the local community.
529	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Again, a brilliant strategy that I feel is not being delivered by the proposals which its attached to. Speaking specifically about the Saltlake Carpark scheme; allowing an Aldi to be developed in such an amazing location almost goes against the strategy in terms of opportunity lost through more ambitious modern ventures which are availabe and have been successful across the UK.
529	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Regeneration of Porthcawl; Aldi is not a 'regeneration' catalyst. Whilst the community do not have anything against an Aldi it is lightyears away from what this area needs to inject life and culture into what is a dead area ready for some ambitious plans which will bring with it jobs, attractions, 'regeneration' and pride in our amazing seaside town.
529	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	"beyond aesthetics to include the social, economic, environmental and cultural aspects of development". This statement is copied directly from your Good Design and Sustainable policy above. Introducing an Aldi to an area with such potential will be detrimental in the long run to the social, economic, environmental and cultural aspects of Porthcawl's Seafront. This really needs to be re-considered with a broader mindset. Please consider what this Aldi will look like, feel like in 20 years. There are so many other locations that this Aldi can be situated, thus brining the economic benefits and job prospects to the town that Im sure Aldi will do. But lets re-think the saltlake space in the terms of the design and sustainable placemaking policies the Deposit Replacement LDP are pushing to ahcieve.
529	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Again, this is specifically focused on the Aldi Development in Porthcawl - this decision does not align with the potential many other more ambitious schemes could bring to Saltlake in terms of active, health, cohesive and social communities and we would like to see how the Aldi decision was made in line with these policies .
529	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?

ID	
529	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Bringing an Aldi into Porthcawl will choke the already struggling independent shops on the high street, creating more dead shops, betting shops and cash for gold shops that usually fill this space.
529	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
529	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
529	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
529	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	I have coppied all previous comments to this location as they are specific to the Porthcawl - Aldi development The objectives are fantastic, however from reading through the current proposals I don't feel they meet these objectives or show the ambition Porthcawl needs to reach its full potential and benefit the local community. Again, a brilliant strategy that I feel is not being delivered by the proposals which its attached to. Speaking specifically about the Saltlake Carpark element, allowing an Aldi to be developed in such an amazing location almost goes against the strategy in terms of opportunity lost through more ambitious modern ventures which are available and have been successful across the UK. Regeneration of Porthcawl; Aldi is not a 'regeneration' catalyst. Whilst the community do not have anything against an Aldi it is lightyears away from what this area needs to inject life and culture into what is a dead area ready for some ambitious plans which will bring with it jobs, attractions, 'regeneration' and pride in our amazing seaside town. "beyond aesthetics to include the social, economic, environmental and cultural aspects of development". This statement is copied directly from your Good Design and Sustainable policy above. Introducing an Aldi to an area with such potential will be detrimental in the long run to the social, economic, environmental and cultural aspects of Porthcawl's Seafront. This really needs to be re-considered with a broader mindset. Please consider what this Aldi will look like, feel like in 20 years. There are so many other locations that this Aldi can be situated, thus brining the economic benefits and job prospects to the town that Im sure Aldi will do. But let's re-think the saltlake space in the terms of the design and sustainable placemaking policies the Deposit Replacement LDP are pushing to achieve. Again, this is specifically focused on the Aldi Development in Porthcawl -
529	

	this decision does not align with the potential many other more ambitious schemes could bring to Saltlake in terms of active, health, cohesive and social communities and we would like to see how the Aldi decision was made in line with these policies . Bringing an Aldi into Porthcawl will choke the already struggling independent shops on the high street, creating more dead shops, betting shops and cash for gold shops that usually fill this space.

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
529	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
529	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
529	

LDP Rep: 530

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Bridgend is far too busy in terms of traffic. 850 more houses would make living in the area untenable. Traffic jams are daily occurences. Bridgend does not have the infrastructure for YET MORE HOUSES.
530	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Growth has already taken place to maximum capacity - we dont need any more!!!
530	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No more houses, cars and people
530	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	The estate would be another crammed in monstrosity - people would live in crowded "hutches"
530	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Would need more doctors, dentists, teachers, schools, hospitals, opticians, social workers, police, fire people, ambulance staff etc, etc.....
530	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	It will never happen _ as in Ogmore by Sea _ lots of promises _ no facilities
530	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No more retail centres
530	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Can't get into the tips now!! Let alone 850 more people making more waste!
530	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Llangewydd should remain a conservation area
530	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
530	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No
530	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
530	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Dont ruin our area!!!

LDP Rep: 531

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	It is so important to protect historical rights of way and not bulldoze them into the annals of history. It's also important to protect the identities of individual villages and not blur them into one mass urban sprawl. Protect green belt land and honour its original classification for the reasons that it had this status.
531	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Always ask yourself, is this the sort of environment I would want to live in, and, not can I do it, but but should I do it?
531	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
531	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
531	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Green belt land should remain that for sustained spiritual and mental health.
531	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Strong support to incentivise apprenticeships.
531	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
531	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
531	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
531	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
531	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
531	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
531	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
531	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
531	

LDP Rep: 532

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	SOBJ1 Definition sustainable development, is "development that meets the needs of the present, without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. I am of the opinion there are issues that have not been considered within this section. SOBJ2 It is my opinion that the change of lifestyle because of Covid and the effects of Covid have not been considered within the LDP SOBJ3 It is my opinion that the perception of feasibility studies carried out by non residents, does not include the intricate complexities that can only shared by the people living within the town. COBJ4 To protect and enhance distinctive and natural places. Again I believe that the local knowledge of the people of Porthcawl a is consideration as just relying on professionals in their field in not good enough.
532	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
532	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Response related to Porthcawl
532	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
532	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Affordable housing is different from social housing. Social housing is a scheme that allows individuals with very little or no income to live in a stable and secure home that can be a foundation for a happy and fulfilling lifestyle. I note the LDP talks about affordable housing and homelessness in the same category. I note that the definition of affordable housing is that it is housing that can be acquired, maintained, and enjoyed by individuals and families at less than market value. This is a scheme that allows families to upsize their homes and allow people to buy their first homes. It boosts spending and employment in the surrounding economy, brings revenue into local government and private landlords. The consideration for Sandy bay for any housing in my opinion is not necessary. It is my opinion also that more housing at all within Porthcawl is not necessary. Bridgend County in my opinion has far more appropriate sites that do not impinge on the primary focus of Porthcawl which is tourism. I note that a neighbouring county such as Port Talbot, which does not cater
532	

	for tourism, has more extensive leisure and recreation facilities than Porthcawl and would example what could be best suited to a tourist town. Tourism in my opinion needs to be promoted and as there is minimal land available to build upon in Porthcawl. Sandy Bay, for example, should be reserved to increase opportunities for employment within the tourism industry and sites outside of Porthcawl used to create affordable, social housing and private build. It cannot be forgotten that Porthcawl does have a relatively high population of elderly people that unfortunately are at the end of their days and these houses when the time is right should be modified, adapted, and renovated and recycled. These in time could be modified for multiple occupation to meet a standard requirement of the LDP if necessary. Protection of Social and Community facilities Without local knowledge Sandy Bay may appear derelict. This is not the case. It services the local community and is considered a valuable resource. Dog walking is prevalent in this area. It is a place were people meet to walk, and talk, it services the disabled as mobility scooters can be used with ease as can wheelchairs, and walking sticks. It is a place parents of young children can walk safely with their child without the worry of falling in water such as the only other park area, the wilderness, or the danger of traffic and children running into the roads. They can use pushchairs at the same time allow a young child to safely ride a bike and walk the family dog also. This resource supports not only the physical well being of the population but also the emotional well being. With imagination and local residents contribution of maybe a tree, plant or bush this area could be enhanced to be upgraded to a park. Within such a park consideration could be given to included the much supported provision of a Pump Track for Porthcawl. Many examples of how this would fit in to the LDP on "Pump Track for Porthcawl" Facebook Group. Funding could be obtained if permission was given for part of the land to be used for such a purpose. Examples have been provided where the use of such a facility would be inclusive for the young, the older persons, the less abled bodied, wheel chair use etc. (Please visit this site for inspiration). Have the local authority considered putting a dome feature over the bowl area for indoor use. This could be multi functional and would bring in revenue for events. There are a lot of sporting activities this could accommodate, act as an amphitheatre and many other events with use of imagination. It would take into consideration the extremes of weather that Porthcawl is often subjected to. However I must stress that winter or summer this land is used just as it is now. I strongly object to the building of houses on Sandy Bay because I believe that it is a valuable resource to the community. I object strongly because although I am in the latter stages of my life, I have consideration to future generations. Once this land is built upon it is gone for ever and opportunities and support of family well being will be taken away. There are far more appropriate sites outside Porthcawl which are not primarily tourist town that have land that could meet the requirements of government initiatives. Social and Community Infrastructure. The social infrastructure which should meet the local and strategic needs and contribute toward a good quality of life, would{in my opinion) be unable to be met should the proposed housing development on Sandy Bay (or any other location in the town) take place. The new health centre does not fully meet the current need within Porthcawl and with the increased influx of day trippers, holiday makers, and adding also housing developing increasing the population by thousands, the current provision of health, emergency services, would not meet the need, and place residents and holiday makers alike at risk of harm. It would be a dangerous situation. There would have to be considerable expenditure on health provision, and emergency provision for this to be considered within safe boundaries. As a child protection social worker I am fully understanding of

	the extent of pressure put on social services during the summer months within a tourist town. Porthcawl is a transient town there are people from all walks of life entering into Porthcawl and drawing on local services as and when needed. More residents are not going to help the situation and will result in the need for additional funding within social services, health, etc. I accept that the LDP includes a new school, however with the already increasing population of the town and my understanding this school is to be in the medium of welsh to meet the criteria for expansion and equality, I believe that the building of this new school, although appropriate, has not considered the ever increasing population of Porthcawl as is stands, let alone the thousands of children that could move into the properties that the LDP plans to build within Porthcawl. There are a considerable number of youths living in Porthcawl however there is an ever-increasing number of young people coming to the town on holiday also. They need recreation, and not their future taken away from them by building more houses. Once the land is gone it is gone for ever. Porthcawl is often windy and rainy and there are no indoor facilities for such days. Camp sites, and holiday accommodation around Moor Lane has increased, and there are new camp sites on road out of Porthcawl toward Pyle, Zig zag lane is also very popular and many young people visit. As Porthcawl is a tourist and transient town these too need consideration. PROVISION OF RECREATIONAL FACILITIES Local Authorities have a duty of care to the residents within their borough. Leisure and play have been evidenced to show to help with wider psychological and cognitive wellbeing, physical health, and quality of life. It is accepted that money has been spent of cycle track but it is still not usable without placing the public at risk of harm during summer months. People are mingling with cyclists; car doors are opened onto cyclists, dogs are being injured, risk to deaf and blind and the roads are far too busy to safely ride a bike specifically children. It needs to be considered that with the introduction of the electric car which is very quiet also increases risks. I fail to see how this situation can be improved. There is evidence from social media that the residents and tourists alike have a range of ideas for recreation facilities that they feel is required in Porthcawl. Consideration of keeping Sandy Bay only to support tourism and recreation would link in with the 1946 covenant and would demonstrate commitment of BCBC to provide meaningful recreation and consider whole heartedly the health and wellbeing of the residents, tourists, and future generations. I have concern as a horse rider that the bridle ways are being removed, and that there is no commitment from highways to reduce the speed of traffic through the local lanes where people take sort cuts particularly during the summer months, placing young children on ponies at risk of harm, parents with pushchairs etc walking are at risk, particularly in zig zag lane where the name describes the hazards. Even though the meetings have taken part with the highways department the response is “until someone has been killed there is nothing they can do.” I know that the local authority, under the All Wales Child Protection Procedures have a duty to protect and prevent harm to children and young people yet their neighbouring departments of the local authority, i.e. highways, are not considering the risk they are feeding into by not addressing the ongoing problem. This needs to be considered within regeneration and departments need to work in partnership. I feel very strongly that such issues need to be address urgently as otherwise it makes a mockery of regeneration. Beeping horns do not get rid of the obstacle around that bend. There is nowhere to go It is my opinion that BCBC do not support horse-riding as a recreation even though there was a meaningful consultation and deputation that went to the welsh office. The bridle way was approved but because of an administration error was

	swiftly taken. It was noted and I hold evidence that the local authority was manipulative in their approach of consultation when the whole process was required to start again. I took photographs of the notices on the posts, which were placed in locations that not many of the public would have seen.

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS QUESTION They worded the notice in a way that put fear into people that did see, by making statements like this could affect your property, but in actual fact it was evident that the down grading to a public foot path would take away the restriction of not being able to build on a bridle way. Documentation can be produced if required to evidence this. It is my opinion that the local authority is wanting to take revenue from tourism and expect the residents to pay extortionate contribution to services for the county of Bridgend, but there is little return by way of meaningful recreation, and opportunities for children and young people to develop skills, and be supported in their positive health and wellbeing within the town they live. During Covid I volunteered to support people who were not coping with the restrictions, these people generally needed recreation to support their mental health. In my opinion there are simple answers to helping residents to improve their health and wellbeing, and building more house within the town is not the answer as it reduces the opportunities for recreation, and would be detrimental to the community as a whole and to future generations. Again, I do not accept the argument that Parc Dean is available to residents. Throughout Covid and post Covid this has not been the case. The site is only open to the people staying at the site. Please also bear in mind that there is only a proportion of services that were ever available to the residents of Porthcawl. I understand that there is a requirement for housing to be built within the county of Bridgend. I object strongly to Porthcawl being the correct location to meet the requirement. I repeat, Porthcawl is primarily a tourist town, with an infrastructure that currently does not fully meet the current population and there are more appropriate areas for development. I repeat it is a transient town and the number of visitors has increased considerably since covid. Residents are increasingly working from home therefore whereas they would use leisure facilities in the main towns/cities they are now needing more localised provision. Porthcawl has three golf clubs in close in and around Porthcawl. If houses need to be built maybe one of these sights could be considered. . I strongly do not believe that the local authority has not given sufficient consideration to meeting the physical and emotional needs of residents and future generations. EMPLOYMENT Employment within Porthcawl should be tourism related. However, there are conflicts within this. The idea of park and ride, because there is not enough parking within the town, in my opinion is short sighted. Families using this service will likely have to carry bags, chairs, barbeques, pushchairs, body boards etc, they are unlikely to want to carry such items to leave the beach to use the local shops or tourist attractions. Therefore suitable car parking and sustainable employment go hand in hand. I do not believe park and ride has been thought through properly. Covid has changed the lifestyles of many people and I do not believe that enough consideration has been given to this within the LDP. More people are working from home, therefore more residents are using the seaside town facilities rather than recreation facilities within the towns and cities outside of Porthcawl. Recreation needs expansion with this in mind. This means they are more likely to shop locally also. Winter as well as summer opportunities for recreation need to be considered. There would be employment within this. It needs to be considered
532	

	that owning pets and pursuing hobbies has increased since Covid. Are you going to support local livery by not building on agricultural land?. There has been an expansion in people wanting livery and this creates jobs. ie Diamond Day livery Zig Zag lane in Porthcawl. More dogs have created employment for dog walkers, trainers etc. With this in mind there needs to be consideration to maintaining the bridle ways and working in partnership with highways to allow for safe activity within the local community. There are a lot of skills within the community. Historically it has been tradition for the elder generations to share such skills with the young. It is my opinion that this should be promoted. An example of this is the skills workshop in Merthyr. It is noted within your LDP that there is a high proportion of elderly people in Porthcawl and I am sure they would welcome sharing their knowledge and skills with the younger generation to support them in future employment. It would be good if the LDP could use imagination and promote growth of skill level in a less formal setting than school/college. Individual/self employment could be considered by encouraging local artists to work in the open such as happens in Brixham (Devon) for example. More street demonstrations would not only result in employment but would enrich the town. Let the imagination of the local people be encourage and supported. I make such statements with a background in community and project development although qualified as a social worker in child protection. I have given presentations in the house of commons (parliamentary receptions) on the subject of child friendly communities, I have presented in ISPCAN world congress on such subjects. I now work as a consultant for local authorities. I would be happy to share my knowledge and skills and I am sure there are many other professional and skilled people within the communities that would welcome to be meaningfully included in the future of Porthcawl to meet the 17 UN Global Goals.

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	See previous comments under employment.
532	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	I believe that with there being an increase in tourists, day trippers and should decisions be made for more housing that there will be considerable strain on services without considerable financial support. I accept this would increase employment in the area but at what cost to the current residents.
532	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Provision of Accessible Natural Greenspace (including public open space) I accept that in Porthcawl we have some of the best beaches in wales. We do not have parks except the wilderness, or natural greenspace other than Sandy Bay. This is proposed for housing development as is Salt Lake and other locations within Porthcawl. As shared previously, Sandy Bay is not waste land, it is used by residents, and could be developed with minimal cost to support the increase in tourism. Sandy bay has a covenant from 1946 which has prevented development in this area. There is a lot of history concerning this land, and a lot of debates, arguments, that are documented in the national archives and the historical newspapers archives. Historical, deeds, newspaper articles, covenants are available and can be produced if required. It is my
532	

	opinion that building on the little green space that we have in Porthcawl would be detrimental to current and future generations. Once the land is gone, it is gone for ever. The proposal in my opinion is short sighted and does not consider the up and coming generations and of support health and wellbeing. I understand professional expertise is to be engaged with regard to natural habitat. This is good however from living within the town for the past 70 years I know the land well and have witnessed protected species. I would like to see evidence and reports from the appropriate professionals engaged in carrying out the assessment to enable me to comment. It is mentioned that the dunes will be protected and monitored but as a living entity now three metres higher than 20 years ago , needing constant clearing from the roadway which is only sustainable with at lease thrice yearly attention, one operation removed over 600 tons of sand (fact). This requires consideration.

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
532	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	I Object to Housing, for reasons included in the relevant sections. I have concerns about park and ride for reasons included in the relevant section. I believe working in partnership with highways needs to be considered as the current walking and cycling routes in Porthcawl, in my opinion are dangerous and expanded upon within the relevant sections. I believe more consideration needs to be given to the complexities of Porthcawl being a transient town and how this impacts on services ie child protection, crime, remand services, lifeboat, cost guards, fire service, police social workers, hospitals etc.
532	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
532	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
532	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I do not feel there has been enough space within the boxes to address all issues. I would have liked
532	

LDP Rep: 533

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
533	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Viable & varied employment opportunities are key. There has been a sustained decline in employment opportunities since the 1980's locally. Where will 500 new jobs come from and why are 500 new homes per annum being linked to new jobs ? If the jobs are not created does this mean LDP will reduce forecast need for 9027 new dwellings ?
533	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Porthcawl is a leisure & pleasure destination, BCBC are right to recognise this value and LDP has to protect . Development of the waterfront has to maximise leisure & retail use. This LDP should be identifying specific / zoned areas to protect the waterfront as a destination for visitors. Housing should not be permitted within 100 metres of the sea wall, as used by many insurance companies. Any development within PLA1 must not exceed the height of the nearest similar building. As the areas with PLA1 are on land used for carparking the LDP must demonstrate how / where each new dwelling will accommodate residents vehicles (say 2544) plus the need to accommodate ~1500 vehicles during peak demand (approx capacity of Salt Lake / Hillsboro place). Bus terminus location is key to ensure visitors can easily access existing & new leisure & retail outlets. It would seem that siting a terminus at the north end of PLA1 would funnel visitors away from John St.
533	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Development at Porthcawl has to be inkeeping with the overall appeal of a waterfront in regards to style, size & materials as PLA1 will be a landmark development that has to be future proof for future generations. New roadways , paths have to address the volumes of traffic, simply building around the current infrastructure is not acceptable. The Portway link to Harbour should be sacrificed allowing more space for development. Is the dual carriageway actually needed ? Could space be utilised for carparking ?
533	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Land is at a premium, this does not mean multifloored apartment blocks are the answer as these are typically not in keeping with surrounding properties. Its recognised that LDP covers future land usage, however relationship between land
533	

	available & number of dwellings is concerning when considering 1277 dwellings for PLA1. Should the LDP actually dictate the size / type of dwelling in each area covered ?

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	How can BCBC justify that 9,027 new dwellings correlate to new jobs within BCBC ?
533	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Porthcawl future retail opportunities are huge if PLA1 is developed to maximise leisure / retail along the waterfront. Directly linking John Street is vital. Current road layout needs to redrawn. Pedestrianisation of Lias Road, once the bus terminus is established. Dock Street to link back to the Harbour/ Eastern Prom. One way systems perhaps. Bus terminus should be adjacent to Dock Street. Re-size or remove "Portway roundabout" . Bold infrastructure changes are needed. New car parks for dwellings and visitors are essential, these could be underground if Salt Lake / Hillsboro Place / Sandy Bay were to be excavated and then dwellings / leisure built on the surface. QPark at Liverpool One is a great example of unobtrusive parking. LDP has to address parking.
533	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
533	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	LDP must protect historic nature of towns & villages by ensuring areas do not "merge". Equally space must be preserved around fixed environments ie seafront at PLA1. Easily achieved with buffer zones that continue to be owned & managed by the Authority. Sandy Bay development must be in accordance with PUDC land acquisition in 1947 and 1933 Physical Training & Recreation Act and covenants established by the land owner at the time of the sale.
533	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	None
533	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	See previous comments ref scale, size & suitability of buildings. LDP does not address car parking. LDP needs to detail coastal buffer zones and ensure development does not allow for beach front housing estates. BCBC have to ensure development is future proof for the majority of residents & visitors. Development must not cater for the minority.
533	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	None
533	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	None
533	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Better public engagement is needed to allow more people the opportunity to comment on LDP.
533	

LDP Rep: 493

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	typical council jargon that can mean anything
493	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	again could mean anything
493	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	too indefinite
493	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	again far too vague
493	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	wishful thinking
493	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	pie in the sky
493	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	"Shopping in town" is finished
493	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	1st one that makes any sense
493	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	2nd that makes some sense

493	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Where's the money coming from , initially and for upkeep
493	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	see above
493	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	see above
493	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	see above
493	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	How much is this mainly fiction costing the rate payer
493	

LDP Rep: 534

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	My concern is regarding objective 'SOBJ4: To protect and enhance distinctive and natural places' and strategic point 9. 'The natural and built environment. The county's natural and built environment is of high quality and a primary asset.....'. I refer to your plans for Porthcawl Waterfront Regeneration and particularly the Saltlake fields site. Aldi is a budget supermarket which belongs out of town, not in a prominent position as the first landmark you see as you enter Porthcawl. I appreciate you need to sell the parcel of land in order to progress the regeneration of Porthcawl, but can't we rethink who we market the land to? A supermarket seems an unambitious choice for a town with high ambitions of sustainability with enterprising individuals and businesses who hold ideas of preserving and enhancing our distinctive and natural environment. I don't believe a supermarket is the right choice for such a prominent position.
534	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
534	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
534	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
534	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
534	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
534	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
534	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
534	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
534	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
534	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Yes, regarding Porthcawl Waterfront, My concern is regarding objective 'SOBJ4: To protect and enhance distinctive and natural places' and strategic point 9. 'The natural and built environment. The county's natural and built environment is of high quality and a primary asset.....'. I refer to your plans for Porthcawl Waterfront Regeneration and particularly the Saltlake fields site. Aldi is a budget supermarket which belongs out of town, not in a prominent position as the first landmark you see as you enter Porthcawl. I appreciate you need to sell the parcel of land in order to progress the regeneration of Porthcawl, but can't we rethink who we market the land to? A supermarket seems an unambitious choice for a town with high ambitions of sustainability with enterprising individuals and businesses who hold ideas of preserving and enhancing our distinctive and natural environment. I don't believe a supermarket is the right choice for such a prominent position.
534	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
534	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
534	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I would like representatives of the Waterfront Regeneration Plan for Porthcawl to look at other examples in the UK of successful seaside towns to explore ideas to enhance, uplift and rejuvenate Porthcawl without the need to build a supermarket right in the town centre, which would be an absolute travesty for the community and businesses alike.
534	

LDP Rep: 378

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	On the face of it the headline key issues and drivers look reasonable, but when you drill down there is a glaring emission in the provision of facilities for exercise and health centred activities.
378	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Without a vastly improved transportation infrastructure this is pie in the sky.
378	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	This strategy seems to lack an understanding of the difference between regeneration and urbanisation.
378	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	When applied to the proposals for Porthcawl these policies are a complete and utter joke. The aim of filling two of the major seafront areas of the town with housing and a supermarket whilst sidelining the critical areas of exercise and leisure is a travesty.
378	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	House building is and always has been the holy frail for BCBC, to the detriment of everything else. Building 11 or 12 hundred houses in Porthcawl is not placing people close to where they work because Porthcawl and the surrounding area is sadly lacking in large numbers of meaningful job opportunities.
378	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	As stated previously without a comprehensive improvement in the transportation infrastructure of the County borough then this strategy is a non starter.
378	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	It's 20 or 30 years too late, the damage has been done.
378	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	

378	Whilst the steel works in Port Talbot remains then the need for quarrying limestone remains, the access to the quarries in South Cornelly is woefully inadequate and needs urgent work to upgrade the road surface and widen areas where appropriate.

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	So why are you planning on building all over it.
378	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
378	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	For many years the government has been telling of the huge problem of obesity in the general population and how this has a major impact on people's health and is a huge burden on the NHS. Exercise they tell us is a great way to fight this problem but then local authorities do very little to provide the means to take that exercise. Continually using every available parcel of land for house building is only going to make matters worse. The proposal to build houses on Salt Lake and Sandy Bay should be dropped immediately and replaced with plans for exercise and health centred activities. There are many options that will cover most of the population, walking and cycling paths for the more elderly, a pump track and wall climbing centre for the younger and fitter elements and splash pools, slides and the suchlike for the youngsters. A swimming pool would be more than welcome but we know very well what BCBC'S thoughts are on that.
378	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
378	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
378	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	So far, the proposals for Porthcawl have been a sad disappointment, it would be refreshing to see something in keeping with the development of a prime tourist resort.
378	

LDP Rep: 535

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	the council must listen to local residences and not go against their wishes
535	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	to be approved by residents
535	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	do not cut down any more healthy trees
535	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	to be agreed with residents
535	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	to be agreed by residents
535	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	any company that gets grants must not be allowed to close up when funding money gone
535	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
535	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	bin pick up every week recycling every fortnight
535	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	do not build on any green land

535	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
535	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	train to porthcawl
535	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
535	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	no new buildings on green spaces
535	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	residents must be listened too,we voted you in we can vote you out
535	

LDP Rep: 536

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
536	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
536	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
536	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
536	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
536	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
536	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
536	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
536	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

536	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
536	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Cozy corner idea looks terrible. Cannot keep clean what we have let alone more tables and chairs. Why not empty the bins we already have for tourists. Rest Bay is a disgrace for litter and rubbish
536	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
536	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
536	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
536	

LDP Rep: 537

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
537	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
537	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
537	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
537	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
537	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
537	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
537	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
537	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

537	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
537	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	The transport hub at Pyle railway station would hopefully not impact on local residents with more traffic on route to the park and ride facilities. This could also have an impact on residents parking. Concerned .
537	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
537	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
537	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
537	

LDP Rep: 538

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I fail to see how potentially building 850 houses between Bryntirion and Laleston is protecting and enhancing distinctive and natural places
538	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	with overcrowding in local areas apparent, the building of new housing estates with little or no infrastructure such as schools or local green spaces being included, I feel this is putting more strain on the already over burdened roads/schools/shops etc
538	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Looking at the Barnes Avenue development "Morgans Meadows" this has had little or no improvements to the transport network, green infrastructure has been destroyed (Trees under a TPO have been cut down) now Health or Education facilities have been provided, so what guarantees will be put in place on other development sites?
538	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Again as per my last comment, "Morgans Meadows" is already overcrowded with people parking on Pavements (Walkways), roads are narrow, pavements are even narrower, no green spaces, so where or who is taking responsibility for the Design and context of the new developments??
538	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	How can Bridgends economic growth grow when the Welsh government have already lost "Ford" and "INEOS" along with poor road routes into South Wales M4 Newport corridor
538	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	South Wales has a very low pay/income level so how does this work when people are willing to relocate or travel to England for better paid jobs?
538	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	If you are making decisions to refurbish/knock down and replace large areas of Bridgend Town Centre make it happen sooner rather than later otherwise you will totally lose all support from the local community
538	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Waste management is typically better than most areas of the UK, however a larger recycling facility open longer hours would encourage more people to do more, harsher penalties for Fly-Tipping is a must with a greater emphasis on large fines
538	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	I don't see how potentially building 850 houses near a beautiful landscape with rare habitats, species and geology is helping to protect anything stated above. Wales wants a fitter population but removing attractive walking routes (seeing rare birds and animals) and replacing them with houses is definitely a negative choice
538	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
538	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
538	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
538	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	recent developments to the North West of Bridgend (Morgans Meadows) has seen no extra community facilities or public open spaces, the roads are already packed to capacity and local shops are stretched to the max, having another 850 is completely ridiculous
538	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Whoever thinks that building to the West of Bryntirion up to Laleston obviously has never walked along the surrounding lanes seeing the wildlife, to enhance the overall Bridgend experience for Visitors look at the Town Centre first along with the troubled Housing which already exists (Wildmill estate being one), lets get the basics right and build on a good solid base rather than throwing up houses anywhere without thinking about the long term goal
538	

LDP Rep: 539

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Plz save the woodlands and build around them if you really need to build of green space. Green space is green space not fall of concrete. Ty
539	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
539	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
539	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
539	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
539	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
539	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
539	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
539	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

539	Plz save our green lands save our woodlands green spaces just for a few houses why cut them down don't you think we owe it to our future generations, build houses but stop pulling up hedge rows and killing our wild life they struggle for food ever wonder why foxes roam around cats go missing no wild rabbits birds dying no food ,let's not make it about money all the time. I get it we need houses but save our woodlands ty.

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Keep some green spaces
539	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
539	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
539	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
539	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
539	

LDP Rep: 540

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	SOBJ1-High quality comes at a high price for purchasers! SOBJ2- How healthy? Your turning the natural beauty of the are into bricks and mortar, more people more pollution so not healthy, if YOU want people to be more social work with what is already here! The 2 surgeries in pencoed cannot cope with the population of pencoed as it is and neither can the schools. SOBJ3- Are you kidding me? Have you been to bridgend industrial estate recently, how about you concentrate your efforts on sorting Bridgend Town centre out, get more traders into the town. SOBJ4-You intend building on these "natural places" you are the ruination of Bridgend County Borough, you should be hanging your heads in shame
540	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	A magnet for employers???? Building on OUR green land dies not make us a magnetic, it makes our council corrupt, irresponsible and self absorbed
540	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Absolute stupidity
540	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Yes.....place it somewhere else, bloody madness.
540	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No more houses are needed in an already overpopulated area
540	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	If it looks like BS and it smells like BS then it is BS
540	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Bridgend Town should be like this anyway, your promising a this when investing in the town should be priority
540	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
540	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
540	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
540	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
540	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
540	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Your focusing on encouraging people to the area do you realise by doing this your also driving people out if the area, people who have lived here all their lives who's great grandparents were pencoed citizens your driving them away
540	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	RIP Bridgend County Borough, changed beyond recognition with further changes planned, for what? To line councillor's pockets!
540	

LDP Rep: 541

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
541	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
541	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	The proposed growth area in Pencoed is not under-utilised. It is valuable green space that is crucial to people's mental health. It is what makes Pencoed a nice place to live, if you take it away you will not attract people to the area to live. Plus the infrastructure is not in place to build a large development in Pencoed. Please, please consider what you are doing because you are going to ruin the area. I would like to see your evidence that brown field sites have been considered over agricultural land
541	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Building 700 houses in Pencoed is putting a huge strain on an already exhausted infrastructure. Taking away green space will damage people's health and well-being. Not only are the spaces used recreationally, but just living somewhere where there is green space benefits residents. People did not move the Pencoed because they wanted to live in a city, but that is the way it is heading. You will be damaging people's lives, not improving them.
541	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	There is no economic growth or cohesion in Pencoed because there is nothing there. There is no town centre to speak of therefore how is the local economy benefitting apart from BCBC raking in council tax. You're not helping local businesses. Pencoed is a commuter town, people will take their money elsewhere. This is a very very poorly thought through plan.
541	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
541	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	There is no town centre in Pencoed. Are you planning to build one?

541	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Nothing can replace green spaces. Please publish evidence that you have explored and discounted all brown site options
541	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	You are building 700 houses on countryside that is used by the community. You are completely ignoring your own policy
541	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
541	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
541	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
541	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I won't repeat my previous objections to the site chosen in Pencoed but I will ask; will you be building a new hospital? Before you develop anything in Bridgend, you have to address the issues at Princess of Wales. It cannot cope with the existing population, but you are suggesting add more strain. Added to which, you are taking away green spaces that are used for recreation, therefore damaging people's physical health, and create a calming space for people's mental health, yet you do nothing to improve the care system.
541	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	There is a strong feeling in Pencoed that the approval for the redevelopment has been underhanded. Please publish a transparent and clear report that all due diligence has been followed.
541	

LDP Rep: 542

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I a objective the new planned houses. There aren't enough local facilities to accommodate 700 homes. Will these homes be affordable to local people? What about the environment? Local roads can't cope.
542	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
542	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
542	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
542	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
542	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
542	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
542	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
542	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	
542	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
542	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
542	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
542	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
542	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
542	

LDP Rep: 543

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
543	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Yes - I don't feel it is appropriate to attract even more people into living in Bridgend when we don't have sufficient resources as it is to cope (schools oversubscribed, not enough medical care facilities, mental health at an all time low).
543	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I feel that there has not been proper thought given to the space between Laleston and Bryntirion (PLA3) - myself and other residents of Bryntirion do not want 850 houses built here. We do not have enough resources for the people who already live here. It would also be detrimental to the environment to build on all of the green space in this area.
543	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	In my opinion, it would be better to focus on renovating existing buildings to suit more modern, suitable design ideas (e.g derelict buildings in Bridgend town centre) rather than wastefully build more.
543	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Any new properties build in Bridgend need to be tightly controlled. Planning should not be granted if there isn't an intention to include community assets such as health (doctors etc.) and leisure facilities (e.g a gym or children's facilities). In recent years, I personally feel that house building has gotten out of control with little thought of whether the families that move in can access a doctor's surgery, dentist or school for their children. This needs to change going forward as it cannot continue if we are to have a healthy, productive population in Bridgend. In addition to this, the future intentions of properties need to be looked into (e.g what are developers doing with them once building ends) - there seems to be a huge amount of rental properties here so that many struggle to get onto the housing ladder.
543	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	no
543	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Town centre/high street shopping would increase if free parking were to continue (especially in Bridgend town centre). Myself and many others drive to wherever we do not have to pay for parking on top of what we are already spending in the shops.
543	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
543	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	'PLA3: Land West of Bridgend, Bridgend Sustainable Growth Area' is not in keeping with these goals given the amount of natural environment that would be built on. All that I have spoken to regarding this plan do not want this land to be built on.
543	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
543	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
543	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
543	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	We do not want the land between Bryntirion and Laleston (PLA3) to be built on. This would be detrimental to the environment as well as put immense strain on already stretched resources.
543	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I personally believe that aspects of this plan are contradictory. You cannot protect greenspace/the environment yet built a significant amount more housing on top of the current open, natural space. Furthermore, you cannot grow a healthy, happy population if resources are stretched to the limit by building more houses for yet more people to move into the area. The current residents find it hard enough as it is to see a doctor or dentist or ensure their children find a school space. My comments here reflect my concern and disappointment with PLA3 (building on the land between Bryntirion and Laleston) mainly but I am sure that there will be other people who have similar concerns about developments near them.
543	

LDP Rep: 544

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	There seems to be a large focus on housing development within Bridgend which I feel is not the most important area to be looking at. Vale of Glamorgan has less population and a much larger area (135,000 approx to the 335km2 compared with Bridgends 150,000approx to 246 km2) Bridgend is suffering from a lack of employment opportunities, lack of businesses trading in the town, lack of spaces in schools and long waiting times in doctors, dentists and hospitals. These points alone show that there is not a lack of population in Bridgend but a lack of resources for the people already living here. Housing should not be a focus, the focus needs to be on improving what we already have, and expanding on this to provide a rich and fulfilling area for the 150,000 people that already live here.
544	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Again, the focus is still on housing, rather than first providing the facilities needed for the current population. the increase of 505 houses per year to the 500 job opportunities expected doesn't appear to detail where exactly these jobs are expected to come from. This seems to be what you're hoping to achieve without any guarantees in place(expect for building more houses). I believe that the focus on affordable housing is important but at half the rate , to allow for time to attract more businesses in, provide more further education training opportunities within Bridgend (which is lacking) to tackle the unemployment that is prevalent and the lack of appropriate jobs for degree qualified people, which is also prevalent in Bridgend as many people move away to seek opportunities elsewhere.
544	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Again, the strategy has outlined the importanence of health, education and transport facilities needed, but seems to put emphasis first on building as much housing possible first. Why? the importance needs to be on providing the facilities for the already pressured areas, with a housing development growing at a slower rate, to allow the time needed for the facilities to be established properly. The example of Coity is a demonstration of the pressures that BCB are facing, whereby the school was finished and opened, and almost completely filled before the housing estate was finished from people who were waiting months/years for a place for their child.
544	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Sustainable Placemaking Policies seem rigid and strict which is positive to ensure only high quality and well thought out plans are developed.
544	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	similar to my previous points, I hope that the development of housing is well thought out and that the facilities provided are able to support this.
544	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	The strategy seems to be considerate of the important factors relating to increasing employment. But similar to my previous points, this should not be overshadowed by the want to increase more housing. The Employment opporunities as well the training opportunities (apprenticeships, further education, degrees, and internships) need to be established for the current population to be able to progress. unemployment or low skilled jobs are not low in Bridgend and i think its important to push people and industries into higher skilled jobs etc.
544	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
544	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	renewable energy is extremely important and more important now than ever before. Development of renewable energy sources is a must and the push to make zero carbon by 2030 will require investment and strategic planning. This links into my previous points about housing, and than rapidly building houses will create pressure on the speed in which we can reach carbon neutral and beyond. This links in with waste reduction and mineral resources too, by ensuring that the demand for these is counteracted by the amount of people needing to be provided for.
544	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
544	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
544	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
544	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
544	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
544	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
544	

LDP Rep: 545

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
545	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
545	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
545	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
545	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
545	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
545	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
545	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
545	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

545	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
545	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
545	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
545	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I thought this was a joke when I first heard. I am of course talking about the development plans West of bridgend. 850 homes on green space separating llaleston and bridgend. In West Bridgend we have already had hundreds of new homes added over the last 5 years. These include wood green, Morgan meadow(heol ty maen) and Llys Ystrad by spar and Newcastle surgery. For these developments of hundreds of houses bringing in thousands of new residents where are the new schools, doctors surgery's and hospital facilities...??? Not to mention community facilities. I plead with you to reconsider, I have a young family and already struggle to get doctors appointments when needed. No more new housing without investment in the public services needed to support them.
545	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I thought this was a joke when I first heard. I am of course talking about the development plans West of bridgend. 850 homes on green space separating llaleston and bridgend. In West Bridgend we have already had hundreds of new homes added over the last 5 years. These include wood green, Morgan meadow(heol ty maen) and Llys Ystrad by spar and Newcastle surgery. For these developments of hundreds of houses bringing in thousands of new residents where are the new schools, doctors surgery's and hospital facilities...??? Not to mention community facilities. I plead with you to reconsider, I have a young family and already struggle to get doctors appointments when needed. No more new housing without investment in the public services needed to support them.
545	

LDP Rep: 546

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
546	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
546	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Before any further developments are planned in the Broadlands, Laleston, Cefn Glas area careful consideration needs to be given to the level of pollution on Park Road, Bryntirion Hill etc. Furthermore, infrastructure needs to be considered as the current access / exit points to the Broadlands site are insufficient and further developments in this area will have significant impact on congestion in that area.
546	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
546	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
546	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
546	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Better and cheaper parking provisions need to be made available. Most car parks still operate on a cash only basis, which is ridiculous in the current climate. Furthermore, consideration also needs to be given to commuter traffic as the current parking prices and spaces at the train station are a deterrent and mean that people simply drive rather than catching trains. This results in reduced footfall through the town for this commuter traffic
546	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
546	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
546	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
546	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
546	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
546	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No further development should be approved in the Cefn Glas, Broadlands, Laleston area at this time without improved traffic flow measures. Access and exit from Broadlands site is a problem during peak times and needs to be addressed. The lanes between broadlands and laleston are excellent green spaces areas enjoyed by families, dog walkers, cyclists etc and offer an opportunity to get into the countryside without the need for public or personal transport. Building in these areas will have a detrimental impact on the natural flow of these areas and impact the mental health and air quality within the area.
546	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
546	

LDP Rep: 547

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
547	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	In light of the pandemic with more people able to work from home, will employers be looking to move into Bridgend County?
547	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	This strategy needs to be balanced with ensuring there is adequate educational facilities at the time of the build and not an after thought. It is not acceptable for children to be taught in temporary classrooms now which is happening in some of the areas identified. The traffic within these areas and the carbon footprint impact is a big co concern.
547	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
547	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	The educational provision has to be key. There are oversubscribed schools now which will become worse with further development unless new school provision is delivered at the same time as housing. Open green spaces should be promoted to be kept and not all land grabbed for housing.
547	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	The shift in how many work during the pandemic will impact on employers not requiring as much office space. Bridgend has tried and failed to bring new business in to replace Ford. There is a concern that there isn't the vision or ability to bring different types of businesses into Bridgend
547	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
547	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
547	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	No
547	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
547	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No
547	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
547	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	There is a significant amount of traffic passing through these areas already and concerns are around how this will be managed effectively with further growth
547	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No
547	

LDP Rep: 548

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
548	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
548	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
548	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
548	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
548	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
548	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Bridgend town centre is dying due to all the out of area shopping that forces consumers to use cars to travel to. The town centre is now only charity shops, vape shops and phone shops. There is nothing to attract shoppers not even free car parking.
548	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
548	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Building 850 houses in a green area (Laleston) hardly helps the environment, habitats or anything else
548	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
548	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
548	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
548	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Why are you planning on destroying natural landscape to build even more houses. These developments are never sympathetic. They just destroy any natural habitats and environments
548	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
548	

LDP Rep: 549

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
549	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
549	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
549	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
549	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
549	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
549	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Instead of building new properties in the town centre, make do with the derelict shops that have been abandoned for years (e.g the few on the old bridge, they're an eyesore and in serious need of repairs and refurbishment. They would make such a good retail or housing opportunity but they're left to run into the ground and continue to be abused by graffiti and vandalism)
549	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
549	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
549	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
549	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
549	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
549	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	The farmland around Laleston leading into bridgend (including the back lanes that lead into bryntirion) are one of the only nice nature features in bridgend. If you're insisting on building properties there, please ensure that the tranquillity of those fields and lanes are still accessible to residents. Having a nice place to walk such as those fields and lanes are critical to both the environment and your residents mental well-being.
549	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
549	

LDP Rep: 551

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
551	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
551	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
551	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
551	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
551	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
551	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
551	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
551	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

551	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
551	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
551	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
551	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I think that the infrastructure of Pencoed is already at maximum capacity, it's impossible to get a dental or doctor appointment already, on occasion getting through the town is a nightmare, traffic often backs up, parking in the town to go to any shops is hugely challenging, we have no banks, the one vet practice isn't open, our waste services are sub standard already, there are no recreational facilities for children or teenagers. All of the above should be considered before you build another 700 homes.
551	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
551	

LDP Rep: 552

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Yes, the Council constantly fails to appreciate that people live in an area because they like it as it is. We certainly don't want to see another housing explosion ruining the countryside which is the beauty of the area. The houses are not even for the local population they are now for urbanites wanting to relocate to quieter more attractive areas with good commute opportunities to travel back and fore to cities like London and Bristol because the housing prices are so much cheaper here. Before long the countryside will be noisier and more urbanised than the towns. Why, why, why over develop the countryside. We are ruining it for not only the people who live here but the wildlife, flora and fauna.
552	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	What's wrong with an aging population? How rude, suggesting older folk are not skilled or economically active. Just goes to show people like it where they live and don't want to move away.
552	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Yes, don't build on greenfield sites.
552	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	If a facility is needed and wanted it succeeds and flourishes. Simple.
552	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Lock-down has been a prime example of those who can be bothered will always find ways to keep fit and active.
552	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	In the future it will not matter where a business is sited.
552	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Window shopping is a thing of the past. Retail centres only exist now for people who can't think of anything better to do. Lock-down proved how unnecessary they are.
552	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Bring back incentives for people to purchase renewable energy schemes like solar panels. All new build should be totally sustainable energy. Councils should be renovating old/abandoned/derelict buildings first before even considering new build.
552	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Keep as natural as possible.
552	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
552	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
552	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
552	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	time and again the houses come first then (perhaps) the schools and medical facilities follow. It's a disgrace that already in Pencoed children cannot be accommodated in Pencoed primary schools. Have you tried getting an appointment in the doctors lately? Getting into an NHS dentist is like winning the lottery. Put your house in order first.
552	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
552	

LDP Rep: 553

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
553	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Your growth strategy is based upon removing established families from a place they have lived their entire lives, and replacing with 'younger' people, who will come for what? Bridgend - particularly this area between Bryntirion and Laleston - is not exactly overflowing with wealth and opportunities. To change the actual age range of the area is rather narrow-minded and encroaching on discrimination for older people as a whole, and established families.
553	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	There is absolutely no need to destroy this piece of land (Bryntirion and Laleston) other than money. Not money for the area and its residents, but for the developers. If it happens - and I pray it doesn't - it will be yet another area filled with people who have to commute to get to work. Do not pretend for even a second that jobs can be found for 850 units of people here.
553	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	If you wish to achieve something that 'supports a sustainable community' then look elsewhere for your unnecessary development. This land already is well designed and sustainable, in the way all green spaces are. Stop trying to force more and more people into Bridgend. Already we struggle with school places, doctors appointments, etc. Adding more housing to Bridgend will only worsen the problem, and destroy established communities in the process.
553	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	'The planned increase in housing supply will also act as a key driver of economic growth across Bridgend and the wider regions.' This is an absolute fallacy. It will do no such thing. Bridgend is not attractive to people simply because it's a midpoint between Swansea and Cardiff, it is because we are still a rural village borough. You are seeking to join two very distinct areas into one by this proposed development between Bryntirion and Laleston, which shows absolutely no regard for the areas in question.
553	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	

553	There will not be a plethora of employment generated by you destroying green space and building too many houses on an already struggling borough. You are lying by even putting this claim on paper.

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Bridgend town centre is struggling badly due to crime and poverty AND excessively high rents for commercial properties. It needs help and support, not to have someone say that they have a solution to further harm it. A new health centre is already being built in Bridgend town to cover patients of Newcastle and Ashfield surgeries. This development will in no way 'encourage linked trips'.
553	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Leave the area West of Bridgend alone. Carving this land up will in no way protect minerals for future generations.
553	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	The land West of Bridgend is a beautiful natural space. There are lovely walks to be had, dating back hundreds of years. It houses ancient trees and hedgerows, birds and wildlife with increasingly few places to live safely. If you want to 'conserve and enhance' this area then leave it alone. The 'circus field' is another part of this area. For years it has provided a fun experience for children and their families to attend. It is part of the year in Bridgend. Wouldn't taking away the place that the circus pitches their tent constitute as depriving travelling performers of their livelihood?
553	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
553	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
553	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
553	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	See all my previous comments in regards to the development of land West of Bridgend. the whole plan is pathetic and destructive to a community and its way of life.
553	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?

ID	
553	Concentrate on regenerating Bridgend town by helping businesses work from there. Drop commercial rents, support them. Not everyone has a car, many older and disabled people rely on a bus to town to do their shopping and meet up with others, visit the leisure centre and library, access medical help. Focus on that, not forcing even more housing into an area already with far too many people in compared to the services and employment we have.

LDP Rep: 555

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
555	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	It is all good and well building houses however you need to have well paid and sustainable jobs to back them
555	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	More investment in local infrastructure and jobs is needed as schools in the area are already oversubscribed, also more well paid jobs are needed
555	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
555	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	There needs to be more partnership working
555	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Yes more investment and jobs need to be brought into Bridgend this will then improve the overall area and make the populace more affluent
555	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
555	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
555	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

555	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
555	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
555	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
555	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Land east of pencoed would be better suited as a retail park which would bring in more jobs and infrastructure.
555	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
555	

LDP Rep: 556

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	In Pencoed you are wrecking SOBJ4 to create SOBJ1. I know it's council land but it's not even linked to Pencoed
556	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No ambition
556	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	How is Pencoed a sustainable growth area when you are creating 770 houses by stretching the Pencoed boundary further. Counter intuitive? Is this a handshake to ensure RCT take the school places. At least Woodlands is off the LDP.
556	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No support for young people. Not enough houses in Pencoed which is pushing younger people out. No Ambition.
556	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	The quality of life for the younger generation is that they won't be in Pencoed, they won't be part of a community and it will be an affluent area like Broadlands. The plan for BCBC land in Pencoed college is laughable- it is not Pencoed.
556	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No ambition
556	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
556	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
556	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	You are planning to build on Greenfield space in the Pencoed college. These sites are used regularly. It is clearly a rushed plan that has been agreed as it is BCBC land! Also they are not attached to Pencoed. There is clearly some plan to improve transport to RCT.
556	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	-
556	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	-
556	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	-
556	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Land East of Pencoed is not Pencoed! You are creating a Broadlands type effect that benefits RCT more. The housing is for the rich, it is being built on green fields and will be separated from the Pencoed community. I assume it is still BCBC land otherwise that wouldn't have gone in. Surely there is better options for sustainable growth in the village.
556	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Lacks ambition, does not consider the younger generation, creating split communities and seems to have been linked in with big building companies.
556	

LDP Rep: 557

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	There is too much development destroying our green spaces. It is one development after another with no end insight until all green space has gone. As a result skilled workers will be moving away rather than being attracted.
557	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Over development will have the opposite effect to CARM. Bridgend is not Cardiff or Swansea. Those that want to live in either of cities live there. People choose to move to Bridgend or those skilled people with the finances to move elsewhere continue to live in Bridgend due to its "Semi Rural" nature of the out lying villages. Over development will just create a concreate jungle which is only attractive to those that want to live in cities. Over development and transforming green spaces into developments doesn't seek a counter balance it is just a shift in one direction. I have read nothing in the plan regarding the redevelopment of current residential or commercial land to green spaces. So I am finding it difficult to understand the balance.
557	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	There is no meaningful infrastructure detailed The continued building of housing in the Bridgend is shocking. and the roads are struggling to cope. I have a 2.8 mile commute to work and this will often take me 20mins when I moved to Bridgend several years ago this same commute would take less than 10 mins. Yet more housing is planned which will put even more strain on the roads. I cannot see the sustainability. I understand as time moves on things have to change and change is good. However I feel that problems are trying to be solved that either don't actually exist or being solved in a way which is just going to create more problems.
557	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	It doesn't matter how well thought out a new development is destroying green space and inviting more cars to an already over burdened area is going to have a negative effect on peoples health and well-being. Getting rid of meadows, woodland and hedgerows and replacing it with housing and some nice landscaping is the complete opposite of improving the environment.
557	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Improved education provision, leisure facilities and transport links need to come first before any more developments. I have witness many new developments in my area and I have yet to see any improvements in education provision, leisure facilities and
557	

	transport links. In fact 18 months ago the council was trying to close the local 6th Form. With regards leisure in the last 4 years the dog walking areas I can easily get to from my house have all gone. I used to be able to leave my house and in 5 minutes enjoy a "walk in the countryside". It is now a least a 20 minute walk up a narrow country lane which on times is quite dangerous or a 40 minute walk to cross the A48. A lot is said about improved education provision, leisure facilities and transport links but it never materialises but new new houses keep coming. Trying to create balanced communities is a fallacy. There is very little chance of a first time young buyer buying a house in Merthyr Mawr. House prices are simply too expensive. However there is a good chance that your skilled workers as their families age will be able to and want to move to Merthyr Mawr, Laleston or Porthcawl. Also the communities that make the south of the Bridgend county are so close to together than an imbalance doesn't hurt the county as a whole. If the transport links were better then it would matter if a young person lived in Brackla or Cefn Glas and worked in Porthcawl. The aging population of Porthcawl wouldn't be an issue as the young person doesn't need to live there. Furthermore instead of trying to force and create a utopia of balance embrace communities that fit certain demographics rather than all demographics. This would mean that development and improvements could be made to niche areas meaning those smaller communities would be more attractive to the wider community of Bridgend they serve.

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
557	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
557	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	I am in support of the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies
557	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Conserving and enhancing the natural and historic environment is therefore a key function of the Replacement LDP? I cannot see how this is key function when the housing developments proposed around the Laleston, Bryntirion and Cefn Glas areas will directly contravene this key function.
557	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
557	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
557	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
557	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	The Land west of Bridgend is already over utilised. Before any new housing is added the provision of education, community facilities, public open space, improved walking cycling routes, improved public transport and improvements to the local highway network all have to come first. Once this area of development has been addressed for the new developments that already exist then additional housing can be considered.
557	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	One of the biggest issues facing Bridgend is private landlords snapping up houses. If the council clamped down on this area of the market there would be more houses available to purchase. When I moved into my street 20 years ago all houses were owned by the people living in them now 40% of the street is rented. My sister sold her 1980s 2 bedroom starter home 2 years ago and the day it went on the market she had 3 viewings from private landlords before the sign went up. I can't believe this is an issue continued to our little area of Bridgend. Private renting is the biggest killer of communities these levels are not sustainable and it is not addressed in this plan.
557	

LDP Rep: 558

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	This should not be done you are taking to much green space away
558	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	This should not be done you are taking to much green space away
558	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	This should not be done you are taking to much green space away
558	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	This should not be done you are taking to much green space away
558	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	This should not be done you are taking to much green space away
558	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	This should not be done you are taking to much green space away
558	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	This should not be done you are taking to much green space away
558	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	This should not be done you are taking to much green space away
558	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	This should not be done you are taking to much green space away

558	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	This should not be done you are taking to much green space away
558	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	This should not be done you are taking to much green space away
558	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	This should not be done you are taking to much green space away
558	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	This should not be done you are taking to much green space away
558	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	This should not be done you are taking to much green space away
558	

LDP Rep: 559

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	none
559	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	business not must be encouraged to grow. All town centres in the county must be helped for jobs and the importance to maintain a good image to visitors
559	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	better transport links are required if maesteg is being redeveloped as well as the llynfi valley. Bridgend already has new housing being built, so i need for new development not being required, as usually being built on green land.
559	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	keep the green spaces earmarked for development. As these spaces on your doorstep are reducing and stopping communities having there own identities
559	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Most of these new houses are being bought by people from outside the area, with local people being priced out and out of these new houses how much will be allocated to social housing which is needed. Also transport links need to be improved and prices reduced to encourage use
559	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	what is the council doing to encourage big business as this is a major plan which will fail at the first hurdle. We have lost big companies throughout the years. so more must be done
559	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Highs streets have been left to die for many years by the council, hence bridgend is a dying town centre. out of town have increased and taken all the business off the town. so major improvement is required for all towns in the county eg free parking, help for shops large and small and making the town more attracive
559	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?

ID	quarry for minerals offer high paid jobs which must be helped.
559	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	How can we sustain this policy if we are looking to build new houses on green site eg west of bridgend. This policy says one thing but we still look to build on green sites?
559	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	The transport links rail and road needs to be improved. With the bus and trains prices needed to be made attractive as if people travel by car the roads will be a nightmare
559	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	none
559	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	none
559	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Land west of bridgend is already high with houses eg broadlands, cefn glas and llangweyyd court. so why are we looking to build on green land between bryntirion and Laleston. We will be destroying green spaces which the council says we are promoting and have become a much needed space for people to enjoy
559	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
559	

LDP Rep: 560

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Objection to the planned site SP7(2) for a permanent 3 pitch gypsy/traveller site land adjacent to Bryncethin Depot. I feel it is wholly inappropriate for the area as it abuts several private dwellings within the village. From my experience as a retired police officer, I know that when there is an established site such as the one proposed, then there is a significant increase in crime and antisocial behaviour. I appreciate that the majority of the gypsy/traveller community are generally law abiding but there are a significant minority who have no regard or respect for the law or rules and regulations of the community. How this equates with one of the stated aims of the Council in making communities in Bridgend safer and more cohesive, I am at a loss to know. Ironically, this reason is what the Council have used in rejecting other, unspecified sites that were under consideration. Why is this proposed site acceptable for Bryncethin and not for the other sites? Also the location of these other potential sites should be published for comparison purposes. As a member of the Council's Citizen Panel, I appreciate the need to accommodate the aforementioned people. However, given the size of the County Borough I am sure that are other more suitable rural locations, where such a site would have minimal or even zero impact on the area. Returning to the subject of lack of information on this matter I feel the Council are fully aware of the contentious nature of this proposal, and as such are using the Covid 19 situation to minimise and stifle debate. I must admit to being disappointed by our local Councillor, Mr Gary Thomas. He initially claimed to have no knowledge of the proposal, despite being on the planning committee and the small amount of feedback that we have had, has come from Councillors in adjoining Boroughs. If there is any conflict of interest on this matter then Mr Thomas should stand aside, just for this particular issue and let another Councillor properly represent us. From discussions with another local resident we feel that we have been marginalised and that our opinions are being ignored. I am given to understand that there was a recent meeting on this issue held at the Bryncethin RFC Pavilion. However, due to Covid restrictions it was limited to 15 specially invited persons only one of whom as far as I am aware is resident anywhere near the proposed site. How is this representative of the communities feelings on this issue. If the Council's aim of encouraging local democracy is to have any credence, then all of the residents views on this matter should be properly heard and considered. The current situation where we are effectively being ignored and have to rely on snippets of information gleaned from the internet is unacceptable and is treating local law abiding tax paying residents with contempt. For example, we have information that the Council have already decided who they are going to house at the site, even before the planning application has been heard. I would hope that this proposal will be considered openly and objectively with due regard to the opinions of affected residents, as required by the planning rules and regulations.
560	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?

ID	
560	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
560	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
560	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
560	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
560	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
560	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
560	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
560	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
560	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
560	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
560	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
560	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
560	

LDP Rep: 561

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): Pixel & Print

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Heavily focused on residential development as being the solution to the needs and views of the community, which ignores the needs of its current residents. These measures contradict other objectives - airborne pollution from increased traffic, reducing the need to travel, transport emissions. Out of town retail development takes footfall away from the town centre and high streets, and does not support the county's small businesses and independent retailers despite objectives outlining the creation of a self reliant economy and business environment, nor the focus on start up businesses. Zero carbon homes sound like a compensation for developing on green spaces not identified as historical or significant in this document - as does multifunctional green infrastructure. Counterbalancing age of population in individuals disregards factors such as income and working patterns, skillset and education, and employability / employment. As ever, the wording of these proposals are not designed to be consumed by the general public and require time spent cross referencing the information. Even the existence of this survey was something found largely by accident, presumably to push plans through without any objection by the residents it affects.
561	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	The counter-balance argument appears to be highlighted as a perceived problem in few areas; Bettws for its young population and Pothcawl, Laleston and Merthyr Mawr for its older residents. The need for such balance is explained by creating sustainable communities; this doesn't take into account earning potential at stages of career development which would reflect earnings, and so the property purchases of these buyers. Also, the rise in private rental properties in the area has pushed first-time-buyers into a higher price point; often this is the case where young people rent for a period of time instead of buying their first home. Houses on newer housing developments are sold at prices which exceed their salary, which might contribute to the shifts in pattern of these two extremes - purely down to house prices in the areas. It is logical to assume that the younger population relatively new to their working life would expect lower wage brackets than when they have reached a more advanced stage of their profession, where higher income could be expected. But looking purely at income isn't a true reflection of these communities, since raising families may lead to career breaks or part time working patterns. These result in a lower recorded income for these individuals across potentially a 16-year period. As listed in the consultation document, the economy in Bridgend shows it as a hub for employment, with 70%+ employed.
561	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Sustainable growth areas defined as Bridgend encompassed neighbouring villages such as Cefn Glas, Bryntirion, Broadlands - all areas that have had significant housing
561	

	development in recent years. As a result, schools in these areas are short of space. These areas have lost much green space to these actions and loss to biodiversity in the area. These green spaces are valuable to communities of all ages, noted especially during lockdown and home working practices during the pandemic. As well as obvious exercise benefits to local residents, they contribute to air quality and counter balancing pollution in high traffic areas such as the town centre. Most recently, a housing development in Cefn Glas received opposition by residents due to additional traffic on main routes and loss of local green space. Given that these areas close to Bridgend town centre are marked on the strategic diagram as 'sustainable growth areas', it is a concern that further development will impact these remaining green spaces. They are not designated as special landscape areas or of historic value, but valued by residents in the area and contribute to standard of living. Developing brownfield sites is more appealing, instead of further green space loss - but with planning for developing additional health, education and social facilities. Considerations must be made about the increased pressure on services by adding additional residents into an already underserved area. The recent proposal to close post-16 provision at the local comprehensive school was outlined as a necessity to manage increased admissions to the school. The increase in students directly correlates to the housing development; more families moving to the area with school aged children. Communities grow when they are deemed as a suitable place to 'settle' and raise families. For the students themselves, the stability of knowing their advancement through education at post-16 levels locally adds to their potential. Although this was opposed, the threat to the schools that supply post-16 education and lead to the more skilled workforce that BCBC wants living in the area, show that the proposals aren't considering the impact of their decision making on a longer term basis.

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Developing brownfield sites is more appealing, instead of further green space loss - but with planning for developing additional health, education and social facilities. Residents of Barnes Avenue area, Cefn Glas have been subject to heavy building work traffic on the main route. Emissions and debris falling from works vehicles accessing routes that children who are encouraged to walk to school use daily. This, and the choice of location for the recent housing development, was ill thought out. The community suffered the loss of a local, natural landscape in favour of additional residential development in an area that already struggles with heavy traffic. However environmentally friendly the schemes that housing developments comply with for new sites, they cannot replace the existing natural environment. Brownfield sites are the better alternative, alongside these new 'green' measures as they would positively impact the sites with sustainable improvements.
561	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	New developments aren't providing the affordability for first time buyers. The private rental market benefits from the fact that FTBs do not earn enough to manage a mortgage, and instead the only option is to rent their home from private landlords. Building new homes isn't meeting the needs of newly forming households. In Cefn Glas / Bryntirion, the increase in housing developments is putting strain on the local schools, with more parents wanting to send their children to a local school that has
561	

	limited spaces for students. One proposal recently put forward to address this demand was to move post-16 provision from the comprehensive school to merge with another school which had places for students. Whilst this was opposed, it shows that new opportunities stated as 'improved education provision' aren't serving the local community in this area. Moving the post-16 provision to the other site would put further strain on traffic and transport, another benefit listed here as an opportunity provided by more residential development. In this proposal, another comprehensive with a low 6th form intake was also at risk of losing it. If the aim is to retain a younger workforce, the foundations must be in ensuring that before these individuals reach working age, they have accessed education which provides the skills needed in their future vocation. If Cefn Glas, Bryntirion and Broadlands are deemed as 'high need areas' then the need is not for more housing. Therefore, other sites within BCBC where school places aren't under such pressure would attract families looking to settle in Bridgend. Availability of school places should be a factor when considering where suitable locations are for these new housing developments, in communities that would gain from additional uptake of existing services, which in turn can be improved and developed for health and social benefits.

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Assuming 'established households' refer to families and households with more than one occupant, the skilled workforces start with access to education and employment. Appropriate and sustainable locations are logically areas that would benefit from development, and have the means to support the future impact on services. Services must be local to the residents, otherwise they impact on traffic and movement within and outside of the communities. Support for rural enterprises would be welcomed, as recent developments appear to be focused around Bridgend town. Again, with the choices of these sites for development, the impact of losing local green spaces for employees and residents must be considered and brownfield sites favoured, to minimise this loss.
561	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Out of town retail centres discourage shoppers from town centres and editing high streets. Many of the independent retailers have experienced difficult times during the lockdown and pandemic, plans for yet more out of town retail sites is not only insensitive, it contradicts the aim of wanting to encourage employers to the area. The high street does not enjoy the benefits of free parking provisions, often directly outside the store as is the case with out of town centres. Whilst the access to chain stores / national brands are appealing to shoppers, it's the proximity to these locations which independent businesses benefit from. Independent businesses and the indoor market lend their identity to a diverse and vibrant town community. Or, to use working from the consultation document, a 'self reliant economy and business environment'. Instead Bridgend is under threat of becoming a carbon copy of other ghost towns, with dying high streets. These smaller businesses will also benefit from the heat networks proposal due to their town centre presence. Given that the employment breakdown of the county is largely manufacturing and technical industries, the addition of further out of town retail parks is at odds with other policies aiming to attract business to the area, retail isn't either of these sectors nor does it require the education and specific skill set of academics and professionals.
561	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	The heat network in the town centre could benefit existing businesses, both larger national 'chains' and smaller independent businesses. The suggestion of out of town retail centres could result in empty retail stores within the town centre. Whilst this would indeed conserve energy, these vacant properties would not generate the employment, income and communities that the policies outline. As the high street empties of shops and shoppers, a new problem emerges. Kerbside recycling for residents could be expanded to offer more opportunities to recycle other materials not currently facilitated e.g. batteries, crisp bags, in mixed recycling bags or boxes. Targets for food retailers using non-plastic alternatives is another improvement, as many continue to use plastics and polystyrene packaging. Bridgend's green waste collection is a seasonal subscription service; other counties in South Wales offer this without any fee. Removing this subscription would undoubtedly reduce fly tipping instances and increase the uptake of using this service offering.
561	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	New builds in Cefn Glas have meant the loss of a large green space close to the Barnes Avenue / Llangewydd Court area. This is a huge loss, as it was very much used by residents during lockdown as their daily exercise. For residents in Cefn Glas, this pushes the green spaces further away from our communities and is affecting air quality through additional traffic. I am concerned about the remaining green space which forms a link between Cefn Glas and Laleston which will be the next loss to both our communities. I understand this location has historic roots - There are burial stone ruins at this location, due to neglected signage I know very little. I fear these will also be overlooked in favour of new builds, appearing promising growth to an area that has had significant changes in even more recent years. Our village's proximity to the town centre makes land here an attractive prospect for developers, but comes at a cost to the wellbeing of existing residents.
561	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Similar to the Bridgend town centre, out of town retail parks detract shoppers away from the high street which has had a tough 18 months of trade. These independents (and in some cases larger chains) are employers, and benefit from non-car transport links, whereas out of town parks require travel by car on already busy routes. However smaller units made accessible for small businesses and independents to grow their businesses and offer employment would be welcomed.
561	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Mixed use development - if this is a retail park, it will negatively impact the town centre's regeneration plans and future appeal and retail operations on the high street.
561	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
561	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Land west of Bridgend - public open space is already there. Its natural, undeveloped land is used by residents and is both a walking and cycling route for communities in Cefn Glas, Bryntirion, Broadlands and Laleston. South of Bridgend - residential and education need careful planning together, to not repeat the problems experienced in Cefn Glas / Bryntirion / Broadlands / Laleston.
561	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
561	

LDP Rep: 562

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Please use plain English. What does 'place making' mean? I think you use gobbledegook so people don't know how to answer the question.
562	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
562	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
562	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I am so busy laughing at that last paragraph. What on earth does it mean? 'Provide development appropriate to the local context' that states is rubbish when you want to build blocks of flats on Salt Lake. The only context BCBC is interested in when it relates to Salt Lake us how much money they can squeeze out of the development.
562	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No
562	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
562	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	I do believe the high street has to change and perhaps have more older/closed properties converted to residential (as McDonalds in Bridgend) .
562	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
562	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
562	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
562	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
562	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
562	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
562	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
562	

LDP Rep: 563

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I wish to object to the proposal to development of 850 houses on the greenfield land between Laleston and Bryntirion. I believe the development would be a mistake and detrimental to the ecology of the area. Langewydd Road is a favourite walking route for my family and our grandchildren. We regularly go "squirrel spotting" and enjoy the fresh air. This volume of housing will also put incredible strain on the already stretched NHS services and schools in the local area. There will also be an environmental impact on terms of pollution from additional vehicles during construction and in the longer term when the homes occupied with two car families. We live in an age of global warming and efforts should be concentrated of reducing our carbon footprint and not building on an industrial scale. I think the development has many more disadvantages than advantages for the local area and it's environmental impact.
563	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No, just the Proposed 850 house development in Bryntirion.
563	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
563	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
563	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No
563	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
563	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
563	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Moving forward our Renewable Energy strategy is vitally important to us all. I don't think housing developments of this scale will make a positive contribution to the reduction of our Carbon Footprint in Wales.
563	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	The natural environmental is vital to the development of our local area. A development on the scale proposed will only serve to harm our natural environment.
563	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
563	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Developments in Porthcawl are exciting for the area.
563	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
563	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	See comments on Laleston & Bryntion Housing development
563	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No
563	

LDP Rep: 564

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
564	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
564	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
564	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Would simply like to see designs which will last for many years to come
564	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
564	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
564	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	For Bridgend town we need to see it back as the major retail centre for all people in town and the three valleys in the borough as it always was
564	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
564	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

564	In the built environment empty buildings- many of which have been neglected for many years- need to be addressed urgently

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
564	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	As we have seen from this summer so far, car parking must be addressed if Salt Lake car park is built on
564	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
564	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	What concerns me with continuing residential development is that services such as police, ambulance,hospital,surgeries,schools etc continue to be stretched beyond safe parameters and all of these things need careful scrutiny when approving residential developments
564	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
564	

LDP Rep: 565

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
565	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
565	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
565	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Current policies are lax- little or nothing done. Within Cefn Glas, Llangewydd Court - House hold garden waste is still burnt in 2021 when we have excellent local recycling centres. Creating air pollution, lowering ones ones enjoyment and quality of life. Noise levels have increasd due to already high level population density.- not helped by the councils decision to allow Cockeral/s (clos-y -Erin) that sound off LOUD as early as 4.30 am EVERY DAY.. an extremly selfish act given we are not living in the country side but in a densly populated estate upsetting so many people many of which do not not complaind in fear of repercussions and in my case lack of response.Tthat is the society we live in today. Some of many examples : My wife works in the Princess of Wales with Covid but always goes to work tired as I do.Its so bad Ive even told her to retire early- but that would be selfish would it not when hospital staff are needed in these times. We also appear to be on a regular helicopter flight path flying quite low directly over our house and many others- with the loud thud thud thud sound, Living opposite a swing park does not help-Im not against it but for it - its the job factor- we have no police at night of course. When they do arrive its a quick word but are still left to comntinue the noise- that is shouting and screaming. Many more examples etc.. In short quality of life is reduced enough already with popuation density. Young mothers with prams forced to walk on the roads due to cars parked on the pavements in many areas- a rule not enfored at all is a given accepted norm.- many other examples. etc. Recent large scale housing building projects have already saturated the area. Futher developement will lead to more problems and crime. Crucially the Llangewydd lane and what little green spaces are left must be preserved now and even made better ie cycling paths, safer pedestrian paths, These spaces have found new importance with covid. It really has been a life saver for young and old especially those with no transport.. So no this is not Sustainable- tipping point has been reached. Yes building must go on but equally the protection of what exists must be
565	

	enhanced not destroyed for harmony, peoples happiness, quality of life, reducing crime etc.

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Previous covers points of High population density- lack of sleep allowing cockerals/s in densly populated housing estate-worse than irresponsible dog owners-tipping point exceeded -little enforcement of household backgarden fires lower air quality affecting health-one must go back indoors- lower quality of life and health. In 2021 should not be happening, current policy is to send a large form to complete. What is required is an immediate response to the fire etc. During covid ive seen the enjoyment of all ages getting away from covid ie Llangewydd lane- woods - and the green spaces in this area- a direct walk to the cemetry also-.dangerous as the lane has as no pavenment - These areas must be enhanced not destroyed- cohesion is being undone as it is.some points precviosly said. Capacity has been exceeded. Golden rule when tipping point is reached crime only increases with lower quaility of life in all areas. Building policy currently appears to sacrifice an area to the advantage of others- not equitable. All new building should take in the unique surrounding green spaces as a priority - over what it can get monetarily and not build on pristine greenbelts as said should be enhanced- protected- a happy society is a better society and equals lower police costs in the future, One of many examples-that amazes me personally given the EXPENSIVE schemes costing millions in Bridgend is no one has put forward the most obvious changes in something as simple as a public foot path say from Pen-y-fai to Brytirion comprehensive school. For a great many years since when the school was built in the 1970's- today no safe path exists for pupils walking the dangerous road which has blind spots- a single lane humped bridge and 90-degree blind bend railway bridge- muddy verge on steep hill (direcctly to the school at the top). No community policy since the 70's.here. Foreign policy not Local policy possibly regarding Bridgend Council with the river Ogmore suspended walkways conviently sited opposite the council offices.
565	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Free parking and the high street vibrant success would help via tax help etc - It appears the shops struggle to stay open-taxes too high etc.- thus lower employment- The employment strategy certainly not working in the area. I suspect many travel to Cardiff to work. While as a result many shoppers shop in Cardiff and elswhere.and other areas to shop- where many things could be bought in the town centre- I like the pedestrianised areas - great improvements have been made- but few customers. Creating jobs here is an obvious area,.employment should be linked with the environment in this strategy as local is better for the environment- the worker has less travel expenses, more time also. More money to spend in Bridgend is better for Bridgend. How many work in Cardiff who could have worked in Bridgend. Online shopping of course plays a part in the decline of high streets across the country. Its not a level playing field that should change.
565	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	I touched on this previously- But to add something that may not be considered is the TOTAL power of the likes of Amazon- The UK Gov etc appears not do anything about
565	

	its blatant marketing strategy and methods upon the average citizen and what is really going on and is partly responsible for the decline of high streets while contributing to the lack of employment in High streets- (plus high street taxes are too high. lack of free parking) Case in point :: As a previous Amazon customer for many years- Amazon became more and more agressive.- culminating in their deliberate placing of a PRIME accept button for same day/next delivery service strategically placed in ones way on the screen- In your face so to speak. This was so irritating plus thousands accidently pressed it - meaning they were agreeing to Netflicks etc plus I recall it was around £85 .per year.contract. I had no need for next day delivery and continued to refuse to join. I also accidently pressed the PRIME button always in ones way However I never used a single facility like Neflicks and when I realised what had happened calling them I was not charged and hence then found out I was one of thousande who had done the same- it was reported as an incident at the time. But the powerful Amazon did not stop there they analized what I was buying. I happened to buy a lot of canon printer inks.So on an approximate £300 Christmas order of various items they blocked the inks on the order. Quering this Amazon stated it was because I was not a PRIME member and could not have them. So Amazon expected I would 1/ Join Amazon or 2/ Just but all the other items. My response was to immediately close down my account. Amazon got away with this tactic and while a great many wanted next day delivery - some now are not so happy as Prime prices wentr up. My point about theis true story is Amazon used nothing short of Blackmail- I consider illegal but due to its power completely got away with it Globally. I feel quite angry to this day. I now support the high street when I can. High street retailers are not happy. The message is to level the playing field for fairness against these giants from a government level. In the case of Amazon I knew a young person working at the site in Swansea- he had to run so many miles a day- they wore him ragged and he had to leave due to ill health. So they are not even a good employer. Talk of Amazon taxes should go directly to help the high street not in some other coffers.?

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Yes im so sick of Welsh water. For four years ive had marketing from them, I asked for them to stop sending the overseas marketing for which I felt im paying for this marketing out of my water bill.. Instead of acting upon my request. This Monopoly so called not for profit organisation responded by stating " We think its information you need to know." I responded again and have been ignored to date as still recieve the marketing.- I had also invoked articel 21 of GDPR.I complained to WTRS , while the ICO contacted Welsh water I still recieve the marketing. It appears these quagos are all working togther. I have a right to stop marketing. Its waste ON A BIG SCALE the majority of the two language printed marketing complete with a direct debit form goes straight into the bins across the whole of Wales. Looking at the waste I made further comments about the 20 page two lanquage booklet with full page colour photos ie pebbles on a beach -that tell us not a single thing about the quaility of the water we are actually drinking - yet even bottled water a basic analysis.label. This is not about Politics the right to have literurature in ones language is as given- its about the obscene paper waste across Wales. These booklets mostly go straight into the bin.Welsh water will not send a language of ones choice which is political over common sense and the environment one is supposed to support. Still on the subject of Welsh water who spend a lot of money on self appraisal - ie radio adverts "Not for
565	

	Profit" "We work very hard" etc Im paying for these adverts as they are a monopoly. The" We work very hard literature" I received grates on me as very recently my collection of full 1 litre plasic bottles of waste paint cleaner -I had dutifully taken to the local Thygeston recycling tip at my expense instead of tipping it all down the down the drain which is widespread. I say that as there was no facility to dispose of this contaminated at the site.This site ive said before is excellent ive even given them them a 5 star review on the web site some time ago. But they had no way to take the the used thinners off me.I was told to contact the council and I did but no response at all but I expected that Im not impressed with the council in general.That should be on record as well. However I returned to the tip some days later helping an 86 year old woman living alone unable rip up her large cardboard boxes as wont be collected unless they are in the orange recycling bags etc as having an amputaed hand and severe arthritis- The helpful gentleman at the site recognised me saying if i recall the exact words . "Hi you've started something we now have a small container for your waste paint thinners" I said wish I had known I could have brought the used thinners with me- council not answered my email. So coming back to Welsh Water who purport to do this and that. It should NOT be down to me to contact the council on these matters- that is the duty of Welsh water. Given that people cannot dispose of thinners in Bridgend - is this the sanme across Wales.?. It certainly should not go down the drain. But the reality is it does and those that do dispose of it down the drain could be the majority having a good excuse "well theres no facility to dispose of it." Way to fo for the environment. Its obviously beneath the council to respond to my email. But shockingly it must have been going on for years and years. As this is imflammable- harmful vapour if split. I still have these bottles - But waiting till I go to the tip next time. What waste management ? Its too much of a coincidence I email AND SUDDENLY the recycling centre can now take it..again its not down to me to tell the council how to correcly store this flammable liquid it appears to have been convienient to ignore this serious problem for years- much like welsh water who do not give us water quality info. but saying they work very hard. which I paid for via my bill. I think this is a good account of a specific current waste management situation.Equally includes Welsh Water as could not have been any liason in this matter with the council- regarding waste management.

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	I can only repeat some of the things already said previously- but given the tipping point of two adjoining high density populated housing estates having reached tipping point in my opinion-over many years.of living in the area. Covid has amplified what we have to cherish- there is dilapidated history which should be restored not destyoyed. Lungs of woods / greenbelt areas once gone are gone forever. Quality of life can only be reduced. Crime can only go up.Its short sighted.not to see the future.This is most definatley not NIMBY. Houses have to be built its a difficult equation. The value of protecting these spaces - Llangewydd road etc cannot not be understated-indeed improved ie a path, pleasant walks etc. All building in excess has a tipping point.- People are less happy, lower tolerance, crime goes up among many other things In short look at Brackla. at one point it was classed as the biggest housing estate in Europe. There was a crime wave and part of it is known even today as Beirut with all its escape allyways etc for criminals. There are now protected green spaces and I believe crime has reduced in general the tipping point may have been averted. Im sure the powers that be know this. Other building areas have to be spread out in a
565	

	careful way. crucially with permanent inbuilt green spaces, walks. The best example in Bridgend and there's always room for improvement.is Broadlands.with good paths cycling strips.and inbuilt parks tree lines etc. It was a pristine site that enabled this of course. Llangewydd court ,cefn glas is an old but very large densley populated site.lacking in what broadlands has to offer in green spaces. So the Llangewydd court lanes etc are far more important to preserve and improve. Cefn Glas - Llangewydd court, West house, westward place, ystrad fawr etc are all one estate in effect with little green space between them all. The nearest open spaces being Newbridge fields. But too far for many to walk- without transport etc. How many people are depressed when measured against those living in Broadlands as opposed to cefn glass and Llangewydd court..You wont have to do much research. Im actually only able to type this in a tired state as been up since 5am due to the Cockeral allowed by the council. Im told by a reliable source this would not be allowed on Broadlands. Bridgend does has different rules for different areas why is that.? Ill leave with one example- dogs and fouling are allowed in the Barnes avenue Swing park, gates open (reported nothing done)- dogs freely roam the area.Due to no signage ie NO DOGS ALLOWED, NO SMOKING..The dog waste bin is even inside the park. how stupid is that. Dogs foul the park. danger of toxocara canis among other things.. Whereas DOGS are definately not allowed in the enclosed Newbridge fields swing park -Self closing gates have worked every time ive used the park, Plenty of signage NO DOGS permitted except blind dogs. Signs are allways obeyed as far as ive seen. No smoking also.. Maybe its the CCTV as is close by. . I have to take the grandchildren to Newbridge Fields due to smoking of others and the fouling. Some men bring in Alsations. I believe a councillor brought one in with another owner of an Alsation.while this particular observation was quite some time ago. Many dogs brought in are off the leash- and poop while the owners are chatting unaware. Some women have fun allowing dogs to climb up the slide and go down it - but actually have no regard for the children. It is a purpose made park and supposedly safe area for children but it is not. Luckily I have a car to take my grandchildren elsewhere safer ie Newbridge fields. Perhaps im coming across as cranky I make no appologies this is what lack of sleep does to people (the cockeral). But I am giving facts. I would definately move if was in a position to- Remember always that vaste majority of most people do not complain for fear of repercussions, or will just be ignored etc. I could go on with many more examples. his is all a snap shot of the Bridgend the environment we live in. More building = Tipping Point exceeded = more overall problems= increaed crime = more long term drain on council revenue. More housing (cram it in philosphy) may bring in more council tax but that will evaporate in the long term- ie police budget has to go up, other agency costs etc. I said in short awhile back - ill leave with one shocking recent example - I and others have been affected-But ill only give my example.- While im not against hafod housing principle - its not working-apart from the screaming and shouting with the hafod house opposite me-several visits by the police over a period of time, people coming and going, man without helmet doing a one wheel wheely with very young child no helmet sitting on the handle bars. neigbour having to invest in CCTV. unkempt garden rubbish not taken in etc. I went to to pick up my wife recently who works at the Princess of wales hospital she works a long day with covid. Of course she left tired in the first place (the cockeral) She cant sleep with the window closed.nor can i for that matter on hot nights. I have to carefully reverse into my drive- the Hafod children are playing in the area unsupervised. We exit the car and go straight into our house- thats because they keep pressing the door bell on various houses.- the poor children don't appear to be taken anywhere for fun except

	on a motor bike without a helmet,. the large dog never leaves the front window having no walks..Seconds later entering our house there is a bang on the door. We were still in the hall barely taking off our coats. Upon opening the door the youngest boy who can't be more than five years old holds up his fist waving it back and forth and says to my wife " [redacted] ". What can you say or do -I just shut the door. No good complaining as nothing has been done so far.as other nearer nighbours got nowhere. The built environment is not good - can only get worse, the natural what remains is more important than ever. This is a glimpse and just some examples. .

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	not my area
565	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	not my area
565	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	not my area
565	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Ive given examples previously - for Llangewydd area which is one very large estate as there are no boundaries as such from Newcastle ,cefn glas,west house llangewydd court , westward place etc..ie few green spaces. only two or three childrens parks.Keyproposals cannot involve the destructiojn of what green spaces and tree line walks that still exist up to Llaleston cemetry from Llangewydd road. If any thing this vital lung should be improved. the very narrow lane should have a proper path as is dangerous and used by all ages whether walking or family cycle groups. Plus the history is also in disrepair in this area..Some previous comments may be applicable. Population density as said is at a tipping point or exceeded already with major housing projects.traffic increase ie extension to chelsea ave etc.Serious road accident recently.
565	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Not hopefull comments will be taken seriously. but I was awake very early and this was something to do. (cockeral)
565	

LDP Rep: 566

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
566	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
566	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
566	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
566	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Who will be responsible and accountable for the infrastructure, the council or the developer as there appears to be a mismatch between the two, when it comes to snagging issues or who is even responsible for roads, pavements, green spaces and even litter collection .
566	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	There appears, according to media reports a shortage, in some cases a severe shortage of key workers - builders, carers and skilled technicians. Will there be adequate provision for the training and retention of these people by the council? Will there be support for local art and craft businesses that can bolster the local image and attract more people to the area? Promote the area via social media and through coordination of other developmental / redevelopment agencies. Reliable broadband is also essential.
566	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Use of "pop-up" shops for local business, and art and crafts to promote their goods and services in neighbouring towns or valleys. This approach could also be used for business recruitment, community and volunteer groups to highlight the work they are doing. Convert existing retail premises into multi purpose units with a variety of
566	

	stakeholders. liaise with other towns that have adapted to the new retail environment with innovative methods, what obstacles did they face and have they overcome them?

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	This will count for nothing if the rivers aren't properly monitored and the highest level of water quality maintained.
566	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Encourage the residents, businesses and tourists to become stakeholders in the natural environment through a programme of events - tree planting, wildflower areas, litter picking and guided/self guided walks. I already see this happening but I think it requires greater promotion, it is overshadowed (in my opinion) with the endless focus on diversity and inclusion. The environment belongs to everyone.
566	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
566	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
566	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
566	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
566	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	It all sounds very positive.
566	

LDP Rep: 567

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): n/a

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	All the strategic objectives focus on places or communities without specifying areas between which may be neglected in the consideration and planning of any developments. For example when creating productive and enterprising places, consideration should be given to travel corridors to such places, over and above current infrastructure, so as to prevent negative impact on surrounding areas with increased footfall. It would be better to see these considerations captured at a strategic level.
567	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
567	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Echoing my comments on the strategic objectives, any development needs to consider impact of increased use of travel corridors. Infrastructure improvements to travel networks cannot be limited to improving roads already in place but when considering developments in the valley areas, transport network improvements must provide alternative routes. Residents already living alongside the main arterial roads have seen a significant increase in traffic density due to residential and industrial developments "up river", and increased traffic density is likely the cause of damage to buildings, increased pollution and raises safety concerns. The increase in traffic density coupled with a single main route through the valleys has shown to cause significant problems in case of accident/incident closing the road, showing that there needs to be redundancy in the road network to support the development of any sites further up the valleys.
567	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
567	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
567	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	

567	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Consideration should be given to how we attract people to the high street. A survey of high street use will invariably see retail use by the mainly older generations, with younger generations using it as place to 'hang out' but not significantly contributing financially. Middle generations will generally use the internet or out of town retail centres to purchase goods. My suggestion is to focus on making the high street an entertainment centre primarily with retail as a secondary revenue source, rather than retail as the primary focus and entertainment as the secondary focus.
567	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
567	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	A key part of maintaining natural environment will be the control of invasive non-natural species (INNS). The county has a significant problem with plant species such as the himalayan balsam and japanese knot weed. These plants damage infrastructure, reduce value of land and prevent development. The council should consider investing (more) in the treatment of these plants to ensure prevention of further spread.
567	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	As previously stated, these developments will increase traffic density moving through the valleys only arterial route. There must be significant investment in road infrastructure to support the proposed growth and provide redundancy in routing options.
567	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
567	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
567	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
567	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?

ID	
567	

LDP Rep: 568

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
568	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
568	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
568	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
568	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	PLA5 SP3 Land East of Pyle; 15% proportion of affordable housing seems low. PLA3 land west of Bridgend, stringent protection of existing natural habitats required.
568	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
568	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	I support proposals to develop town centres in preference to out-of-town facilities.
568	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Energy efficiency must have high priority; demands for renewable production have to be balanced with preservation of valleys landscape.
568	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

568	Conservation of natural and historic environment must not be suppressed by economic and development considerations.

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
568	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Proportion of affordable housing is low in proposals for land east of Pyle.
568	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
568	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Land west of Bridgend; concerns over preservation of natural habitats.
568	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
568	

LDP Rep: 569

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Wish to see more social and community hubs in the area for younger children and for young families. More health opportunities and clubs available for all ages. I do not believe there is a need for residential allocation on all places listed.
569	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	To do this you need to consider the transport links. It is an ageing population but actually many younger people do return when they start families. However the links to swansea and Cardiff are poor (once an hour is not suitable for commuting). The rail links need to be accessible throughout the valley to allow the more disadvantaged areas of nantyffyllon and Caerau to take opportunities in the city areas.
569	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I agree that Maesteg needs sites regenerated and to provide new work and social opportunities within the area. I do not think current green areas should be removed in order to sustain any new development (eg pont rhyd y cyff). Spend that money on making the roads safer by resurfacing the absolutely abysmal potholes throughout the valley.
569	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Sustainable design is a must. The children in the community are working with a curriculum that wants to see children become ethical, inspired and responsible. Any planning for areas in the community need to ensure they help the younger generation realise this and see the importance of these attributes.
569	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	The area has lots of empty properties that have been pushed into disrepair. These need to be developed and repurposed as affordable property. If prosperities are being constructed, it seems one and two bed properties to give younger single people staying or returning to the area an opportunity to purchase.
569	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	After this year, employment is scarce and anything to support more jobs in the community would be hugely beneficial. Many young people are uncertain about university in the current climate and need opportunities on their doorstep due to the delay in driving tests. The area needs more collaboration with other areas of the community; schools and businesses working together. As the world of shopping is changing, how can the main town centre be revived?
569	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	As in previous statement, the purpose of the town needs to cast a wider net. How will this be regenerated? What areas in the UK have bustling town centres? What do people feel like the town is missing? Could you survey the local schools and businesses to see what developments they would like to see in the area?
569	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	There is concern in the community about the wind farm being proposed on Bryn side. Will the town benefit, first hand, from these windmills? Recycling is more difficult in bridgend than my current accommodation. Green bags need to be provided, the bridgend based recycling sites are always busy and a new site should be considered. Or timetabled slots that can be booked in advance. Is the town ready for electric cars? Not an owner myself yet, but where are you proposing electric charging points are placed? Is the old petrol station opposite the Welsh school a space that could be developed for that purpose?
569	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	The whole area has lots of natural and historical value. Top Llan needs to be maintained and developed to support the interest in Welsh history that should come from the new curriculum. Is there space for a local ‘look into the history’ space that could encompass the mining, the traditions, the folk tales? To support local education and perhaps provide a place for tourists to gather
569	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Can you pinpoint the area in Llangynwyd you wish to develop? This is a busy area with lots of traffic and can be difficult to manoeuvre when the road has witnessed a collision. The Valleys hub is needed but, as previously stated, you need to enquire with schools, businesses and residents about what the currently value and what they wish to see. Could you send people into the comprehensives and primaries to take suggestions? Even if all were done by video link. Staff could complete an email survey, but pupil voice is required if you hope to retain the younger generation. Improved walking and cycling routes would be hugely beneficial. Park and ride or extended rail for Caerau and llangynwyd.
569	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Generation of this area is needed. The town has lost many restaurants and eateries that would draw others to the town. The fairground could be regenerated and updated to encourage younger people to go here (lots do consider this is a place for rich or older people).
569	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Transport links are high priority.
569	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Brackla station needs to be reconsidered. Expansion is this area is ongoing and yet the transport links do not match the required need. Town centre needs to be regenerated to match the needs of the local community and younger generation. It is quite a miserable place to walk through with many shops shut or closing. How can you draw a mix of people here?
569	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Listen to the younger generation. If you wish to make this an area they want to stay in, speak to them on their level. Face to face. Even primary school pupils have ideas that are wonderful to consider and have an idea of what would benefit them by the time you complete any proposals. Include the communities and then the plans will work, not be wasted millions.
569	

LDP Rep: 570

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Lack of infrastructure, no regard to public health and wellbeing, lack of green spaces, damage to ecology and without thought to future generations.
570	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Again, Lack of infrastructure, no regard to public health and wellbeing, lack of green spaces, damage to ecology and without thought to future generations.
570	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Again, Lack of infrastructure, no regard to public health and wellbeing, lack of green spaces, damage to ecology and without thought to future generations.
570	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Again, Lack of infrastructure, no regard to public health and wellbeing, lack of green spaces, damage to ecology and without thought to future generations.
570	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Again, Lack of infrastructure, no regard to public health and wellbeing, lack of green spaces, damage to ecology and without thought to future generations.
570	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Again, Lack of infrastructure, no regard to public health and wellbeing, lack of green spaces, damage to ecology and without thought to future generations.
570	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Again, Lack of infrastructure, no regard to public health and wellbeing, lack of green spaces, damage to ecology and without thought to future generations.
570	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	May look good on paper, but in reality at what cost to the environment?
570	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

570	Again, this is all words, in reality it will be the environment that will suffer irreparable damage under this LDP

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	The Maesteg Road cannot cope with the volume of traffic generated as is, waiting times at peak times in Tondu are already unacceptable, more development will only make matters worse.
570	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Once again lack of infrastructure and medical facilities makes all future development of this area unacceptable.
570	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Once again lack of infrastructure and medical facilities makes all future development of this area unacceptable.
570	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Once again lack of infrastructure, medical facilities and public amenities, makes all future development of this area unacceptable.
570	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Lac of infrastructure, medical and destruction of green spaces makes this LDP totally unacceptable.
570	

LDP Rep: 571

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Dunraven street doesn't need any more traffic. It doesn't need any more cars or houses. The woods behind danycoed flats are perfect for walking. Why do changes have to be made. More people in Dunraven street is not welcomed
571	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
571	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
571	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
571	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
571	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
571	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
571	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
571	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	
571	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
571	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
571	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
571	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
571	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Can you make it completely clear what you intend to do behind Tondu primary school
571	

LDP Rep: 572

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I am in support of the proposal to build houses between Bryntirion and Laleston village. I feel that homes are desperately needed for people and provided the infrastructure doctors surgery, schools etc can cope then the development should be allowed. allowed.
572	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
572	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
572	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
572	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
572	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
572	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
572	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
572	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
572	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
572	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
572	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
572	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
572	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
572	

LDP Rep: 574

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
574	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
574	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
574	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
574	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
574	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
574	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
574	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
574	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

574	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
574	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
574	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
574	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I am against the proposed planning between bryntirion and laleston
574	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
574	

LDP Rep: 575

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I am against the proposals for 850 houses on the greenfield land between Bryntirion and Laleston.
575	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
575	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
575	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	All developments should include detailed plans for any impact on infrastructure, medical and dental facilities , schools and transport. It seems that these are only considered after the developers have got their permissions .
575	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I have already commented.
575	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
575	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
575	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
575	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	All development proposals should only be granted if these things are a top priority.
575	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
575	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
575	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
575	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Only on the development between Laleston and Bryntirion.
575	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No
575	

LDP Rep: 576

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	We have had to put up with heavy vehicles and more traffic in the last year and feel that the area is densely populated now and a further large sit on prime land merging Bryntirion into Laleston is not in the interest of public, wildlife and various lines that are being used during these tough times. It would be good to know that you listen to public opinion which did not seem to be the case in the latest development in llangewydd court
576	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
576	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
576	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
576	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
576	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
576	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
576	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	We live in an area where we see large numbers of solar panels but surely the most consistent form of energy would be tidal which is readily available 24hrs a day
576	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
576	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
576	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
576	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
576	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
576	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
576	

LDP Rep: 577

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	As much as homes are needed so are green spaces places of beauty history & wildlife
577	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Some homes yes what's wrong with ageing population we all age
577	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
577	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No high rise buildings .they would spoil the outlook for most people
577	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	We need to keep our green spaces for health mental & physical for all ages
577	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
577	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Less out of town complex more local stores
577	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
577	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

577	Places like our beautiful wilderness area on Heol y Goedwig need to be untouched for future generations to enjoy, no buildings houses or otherwise or skateparks should even be considered for that area, it needs to be kept as a wildlife haven where people walk & sit relax & de stress each dy

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
577	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Waterfront needs development & transport hub is very much needed ,especially for people like us who do not drive
577	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
577	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
577	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Please keep our green areas just that, we don't want Porthcawl being turned into a concrete jungle
577	

LDP Rep: 578

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I believe that the proposed development to West of Bryntirion is required. The provision of much needed infrastructure and community facilities is essential along with the proposed erection of new homes.
578	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Laleston is a wealthy village which needs to attract an influx of professional people who will drive and support the council's long term development strategies.
578	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	As long as the provision of space and community facilities is adhered to, this will form an integral part of infrastructure improvements and will certainly develop the county borough.
578	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Good design needs to be compatible with the existing buildings and facilities within the borough. To support and enhance the health and well-being of its citizens it is essential to provide a sustainable future for all.
578	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Homes need to be affordable for all and this will in turn encourage infrastructure growth aligned with the aspirations of the count Borough Council.
578	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	This needs to ensure that high quality and high paid jobs are available across the county
578	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	The retail policy should focus on the regeneration of Bridgend Town Centre as this has been sorely neglected over the last 20 years - it is the responsibility of the council to invest and manage a growth strategy which will benefit not only Bridgend Town Centre but the surrounding areas.
578	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	

578	The strategy needs to court carbon neutrality by 2030 and also it needs to provide facilities and infrastructure that will enable all of its citizens to have access to low carbon transport energy, transport and energy facilities.

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	The development needs to be sympathetic to existing natural habitats and places of public and scientific interest.
578	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	None
578	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	All proposed developments are supported
578	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Public transport improvements needs to be introduced
578	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Support given for proposals previously outlined
578	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No
578	

LDP Rep: 579

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	To be honest the infrastructure around the development of 850 new home in the area will stretch the roads which are to capacity already and already very poorly maintained. Plus the schools in the area cannot cope with added numbers.
579	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
579	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
579	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
579	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
579	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
579	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
579	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
579	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	
579	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
579	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
579	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
579	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
579	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
579	

LDP Rep: 580

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	yes
580	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	yes, with the advent of the broadbands estate, and the number of house thereof, surly the balance between houses and a place for recreation ie.green space that surround Bridgend is becoming more limited,therfore I would reject any proposal for further development between Bridgend and Laleston .
580	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	if the council is looking for sites for redevelopment ,why can't the council consider build more house adjacent to the new village that's been built between Pyle and margin,this area excellent access to the m4 both west bound and east bound
580	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	this is not what is being proposed, you are seeking to develop area where people want to live within an aesthetic area, but you are proposing to take ref glasses aesthete area away, by flooding it with new housing, this area has been used more than ever for recreation due to the recent pandemic, and without it people would have to use transport to get closer to any area for recreation.
580	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I think The Deposit Replacement LDP focusses on delivering well-connected, cohesive communities that are active, healthy and social. In addressing wider community needs, it would suit the community if all the shops that arena the pines shopping centre were to be relocated back into the centre of Bridgend,therefore ensuring the principality of Bridgend would thrive ,and therebywouls an area of land for redevelopment ,which would be served by access to the m4 motorway.
580	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	yes,consisder the my last paragraph.
580	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	I feel that although you seem considerate of your own values ,you are still purporting to build on a very important green area that has a very beneficial need to the local
580	

	population, which is ageing ,but surely green recreation areas are of great benefit to an ageing population in keeping them fitter, both physically and mentally .

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	no
580	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	no
580	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	no
580	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	no
580	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	no
580	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	there is always a need for more footpaths for walkers and pedestrians
580	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	none
580	

LDP Rep: 581

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
581	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
581	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
581	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
581	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
581	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
581	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
581	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
581	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

581	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
581	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
581	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
581	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	More and more wildlife havens are getting destroyed in the name of money- because that's all this plan is about. Money. These fields and hedgerows have been around longer than so many people have been alive and are greatly needed to provide safe places for wildlife to thrive in a world where the environment is constantly being torn apart. You can build homes elsewhere with ease- but where will the wildlife you evict go? Another field and hedgerow that will eventually get torn down as well as these? Please, don't do this. These fields are important to people's wellbeing, too. Being surrounded by concrete constantly is suffocating. I hate how grey our world is becoming. These homes are not needed and will just create more problems instead of solving any. Schools which are already overwhelmed will become even more so, traffic will become worse and so will pollution- both air and noise. Instead of creating more homes, maybe think about how to solve the issue of many homes sitting empty because landlords, banks and "second home owners" are holding them while so many people are homeless. And instead of building more houses that nobody can actually afford and destroying the environment, maybe focus on renovating the existing features. Building on top of a crumbling structure is not going to help anybody.
581	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
581	

LDP Rep: 582

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I think it is great to see that local development plan is long term although I a mindful of the lack of transport infrastructure which is in place to support travel in the county.
582	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I appreciate that there is a lot of smaller communities within the county within each of the developments however with the continued growth it may be problematic. There are not enough high skilled jobs in the area to retain a lot of talent so often these people will need to leave the area. I also think with this redevelopment and villages becoming more connected we are likely to see Bridgend Town grow exponentially into eventually in 50 years potentially being a small city. This needs to be managed appropriately. Transport is key.
582	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	The regeneration of areas has been great to see.
582	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
582	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Although the housing will act as an economic driver. The transport infrastructure is very old and not suitable for the economic growth plans laid out. Green spaces need protected from redevelopment, otherwise you will be reliant on flood land and hill space for activities opposed to current flat recreation spaces such as Newbridge and Pandy Park
582	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
582	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Needs to be more high end stores in the town centre to attract people.
582	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
582	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
582	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	We need more information on your proposed development of Pandy Park especially around the asset transfer to Tondu RFC. It says residential / recreation. Where is the planned residential going or is this a category chosen by the planning team. We need transparency here.
582	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
582	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
582	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
582	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
582	

LDP Rep: 583

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Not only will they be taking away great walking routes but traffic will be a massive issue as already is
583	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
583	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
583	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
583	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
583	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
583	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
583	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
583	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

583	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
583	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
583	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
583	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Bridgend is loosing lots of wildlife areas and walking routes. The extra traffic will cause issues coming through Laleston & broadlands when it's already over populated
583	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
583	

LDP Rep: 584

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I wish to object to the current proposals for regeneration in Porthcawl. They will not enhance the area in any way and will, in fact, cause serious issues in BCBC's 'flagship resort'. The plans are shortsighted, unrealistic and will definitely not enhance the area - unlike the improvements made by fotewatd thinking local authorities either side of Porthcawl. Recently, during the warm weather, Porthcawl was in gridlock with visitors unable to find a parking space - many resorting to parking along grass verges. Porthcawl could not cope with this influx, with traffic queuing to South Cornelly to get into the town and join the traffic jams. Removing 1500 car parking spaces on Salt Lake and adding 1,350 new homes will dramatically escalate the situation and inevitably deter many from visiting here. The exclusion of Porthcawl residents and councillors from regeneration discussions is both unfair and undemocratic. Many here are concerned our town will be irreparably damaged by this regeneration plan, which is more aptly described as urbanisation. Having been born and bred in Porthcawl I have seen so many plans fall by the wayside. Surely BCBC should be looking to the future and not simply trying to make money out of the sale of prime land. Porthcawl needs all weather facilities for tourists on Salt Lake not housing. Please consult with the people who live in Porthcawl who want to protect our town for future generation before making decisions purely from a financial point of view.
584	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Porthcawl is a seaside resort. Invest in facilities to attract tourists - not building houses on our prime land
584	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
584	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Design attractive tourist facilities on Salt Lake and along the sea side of Porthcawl. Build houses away from the prime land that can he used for all weather facilities for tourists
584	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
584	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?

ID	Main employers in Porthcawl are related to tourism industry. Investment in facilities to enhance the experience of visitors will create more jobs.
584	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Not against another supermarket but Salt Lake car park is not the place to build it.
584	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
584	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
584	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
584	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Build leisure facilities on prime land in Porthcawl not a supermarket and housing - the opportunity to enhance this area of Porthcawl is a one-off chance. Once this land is ruined by housing the opportunity will be list for future generations.
584	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
584	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
584	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Listen to the people who have lived in Porthcawl all their lives. Please! We really do understand what is needed here and want the best for future generations. Other local authorities have had the common sense to enhance their flagship resorts. Surely there is somebody in BCBC who can see that Porthcawl needs the right type of investment and is not a cash cow for investment in the valleys.
584	

LDP Rep: 585

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Leave green spaces alone.
585	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Certain areas such as Newton and Porthcawl can not take any more houses as the infrastructure is just not big enough. Expansion is not sustainable.
585	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Can not see how the expansion of Porthcawl is going to benefit the community.
585	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Please nothing like thst hideous "bottle bank" on Porthcawl seafront!
585	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Afgordable homes do not sit well within developments with really expensive properties.
585	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Employment opportunities will be short lived. Will end once building has ended.
585	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Out of town centres for larger businesses will leave room in small towns for smaller shops if BCBC keeps rhe rates low for them.
585	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Green is always more expendive than other sources. Green is not always the right way to go!
585	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Do not build on current green belt land

585	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
585	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Leave salt lake as a car park. Supermarket is not needed but a car park for visitors is. We fo not want houses built there.
585	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
585	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
585	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Just leave green spaces alone. Porthcawl does not need more houses. Council should make good any properties that have become run down instead.
585	

LDP Rep: 586

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
586	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
586	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
586	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
586	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
586	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
586	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
586	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
586	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

586	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
586	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
586	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
586	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site.
586	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
586	

LDP Rep: 587

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
587	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
587	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
587	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
587	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
587	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
587	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
587	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
587	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

587	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
587	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
587	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
587	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council's objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims' way, Ffordd y Gyfraith ("The Way of the Law"), and a drovers' road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. In times such as these with the pandemic, it seems bizarre that the Council would consider removing or reducing the access local people have to green space. These areas have been a life line for people during lockdown, particularly those in shared accommodation or without garden space of their own. Given that we are likely to be in the space of potential lockdowns for the foreseeable future this development seems very short sighted. The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit
587	

	from this development. I am not sure how this development fits with the WG declaration of a climate emergency in Wales given the impact it would have on air pollution, traffic and the removal of green space. The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a“natural” boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. Please please let us keep our green spaces - we NEED them!! The ability to be outside and connected with nature is more than important than ever!

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
587	

LDP Rep: 588

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
588	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
588	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
588	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
588	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
588	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
588	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
588	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
588	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

588	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
588	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
588	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
588	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site.
588	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
588	

LDP Rep: 589

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
589	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	To maintain youth not only do you need work both here and within a commutable distance you also need the place to be nice to live with activities within easy reach suitable for them and their young families
589	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Growth is necessary but should be done with a good mix and without using all the space for revenue generating housing. A good balance of mixed use is required to enhance the area and bring those not living there to also use the area
589	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Agree good design is necessary. That should include green space and leisure where possible.
589	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Housing brings people. But what will they donif all the land is housing and nothing is done to improve the area and I don't just mean. Playground I mean good schooling sport leisure. No one wants to live somewhere with nothing to do and covid shows areas like porthcawl have plenty to offer those living there and this can only be enhanced.
589	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Good internet infrastructure improves employment. Not all need not travel to Cardiff or Bristol to work. Also local business can grow in the virtual space. Large chain restaurants and shops also enhance the area and provided employment.
589	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Co working and shared office space is a must ongoing with good internet facilities.
589	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?

ID	Heat can be gained from the sea as well as tidal source for electricity.
589	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	History and maintaining history is imprtNts but not at the expense of development and protecting resource. For instance it appears the sea decencies or some of them in porthcawl are listed. Simply put why would you list something and make it difficult to maintain something as important as a sea defence.
589	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
589	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	I would suggest a good balanced mix of housing retail and leisure in porthcawl. Housing need not be on ground floor. Or on sea frontage. Sandy bay could be more leisure than salt lake. The bowl in sandy bay could become an asset as effectively a pre built sheltered area and enclosing could be a form a building like center parks or the botanical garden which should ebbthen mixed use leisure. I feel the area also could benefit fro. Enhancing its water sports with for instance. Cable skiing development out of town. Thus could also have indoor wave inflatable water park etc. If in an enclose quarry ared this could tpvoed year round ahelete Rand use.
589	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
589	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
589	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	This is a great time to invest for those living here visiting here and working here. This has been talked about since u did it as a project in primary school 40 years ago and little ha Bee. Done. Please work with local Nd national investors not just pick a large Hosur builder to flood every bit of space with cheap made but expensive to buy boxes. Maybe make areas avaibale for self build / designas was done for rest bay the forty acher in prothcawl and also ensure environmental building Nd infrastructure is key. For instance I wished to build my house to be green but due to limitations in the infrastrure I was limited to what eldvtir Al devices I could use for housing due to single phase to the property. 3 phase is avaible but upgrade makes it overly expensive and would I lay be done because I want to and not for the economics of reducing gas.
589	

LDP Rep: 590

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	It all seems to be about housing and removing green spaces currently used for recreation and exercise by the public. Also car parking spaces taken from a seaside resort with too little parking puts undue pressure on residential areas.
590	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	This doesn't need to happen at the expense of the current population. Where are these employers supposed to set up businesses?. I feel we have a good mix of ages and the community feels balanced.
590	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Porthcawl, has need of wild and open spaces. We need our car parks to cater for visitors which bring much needed income. Porthcawl is overcrowded and the local roads become blocked, more houses and fewer car park spaces will only add to the problem.
590	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
590	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No, no, no. Porthcawl is a small seaside resort. We do not need more housing. The medical centre can barely cope with the residents already here. The leisure facilities are poor and yet the council is seeking to remove areas currently used for exercise and recreation. Council tax in Porthcawl is very high and most residents feel we get poor value for money. Stop trying to steal open spaces to build houses for the benefit of bcbc.
590	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Try replacing lost employers and skilled jobs. Concentrate on skills training and growing the workforce rather than just building houses.
590	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	The town centres of Bridgend and Porthcawl are dismal. Business rates are too high, car park charges make out of town centres more attractive. Learn from your mistakes and listen to people. Stop making it harder to shop local.
590	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
590	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	You are seeking to land grab green areas all around Porthcawl and develop housing on them. This is removing spaces for exercise and recreation which visitors and residents enjoy and use, also losing valuable wildlife habitats and bio diversity of the area.
590	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
590	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Salt lake car park is adjacent to the sea front. This proposed development spoils the whole area. Losing valuable car parking spaces means the houses would be overwhelmed with people using residential areas to park. What leisure facilities. We have plenty of bus stops close to town, less able visitors or residents face a walk to the town centre which is struggling, if you drop people at this new retail development town shops will suffer even more.
590	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
590	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
590	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	It's all income driven and not local needs. The amount of new housing in the borough isn't helping the local area, most new residents work outside the borough adding to commuting hell.
590	

LDP Rep: 591

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	These things need to be morally correct not just legally ok
591	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Put all the spin on it you like but if it's morally not right then you need to look at another project
591	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Growth is good amd very much needed but not at the cost of local amenities which are needed more than housing. Bcbc need to find the correct balance amd think of Porthcawl first not puns signs
591	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	All frill and knickers, means nothing when people are homeless and people with homes have no say on there home town
591	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
591	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
591	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
591	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
591	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	
591	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
591	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
591	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
591	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
591	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
591	

LDP Rep: 592

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	More consultation with the public required, and to take views on board.
592	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Must have job opportunities first and foremost
592	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Houses need to have infrastructure ie more doctors, schools etc. Porthcawl in particular needs more tourist attractions to promote jobs.
592	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Good in theory, not usually put into practice.
592	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Our local health Centre us already stretched to capacity. Especially in summer this should be assessed before the housing developments
592	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Welsh Government don't seem to be good at this, they are losing more than they gain eg Ford's.
592	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	We already have a retail centre at McArthur Glen to get her with ridiculous parking options has ruined Bridgend Town Centre concentrate the on the obvious.
592	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Waste management and recycling seem to be on target. Fares are too high on public transport, this should be looked at, perhaps charging everyone £1 a trip would get cars off the roads
592	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	The archaeological site of the John Strret toilets needs to be looked at urgently. Byal means keep the building but make the facilities fit for purpose.
592	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	N/a
592	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Porthcawl sounds good in theory, do we need a bus terminus there are less and less buses.
592	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	N/a
592	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	N/A
592	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No
592	

LDP Rep: 593

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No development should be allowed on green field sites or outside the settlement boundary.
593	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No development should be allowed on green field sites or outside the settlement boundary.
593	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No development should be allowed on green field sites or outside the settlement boundary.
593	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No development should be allowed on green field sites or outside the settlement boundary.
593	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No development should be allowed on green field sites or outside the settlement boundary.
593	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
593	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No development should be allowed on green field sites or outside the settlement boundary.
593	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No development should be allowed on green field sites or outside the settlement boundary.
593	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

593	No development should be allowed on green field sites or outside the settlement boundary.

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No development should be allowed on green field sites or outside the settlement boundary.
593	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No development should be allowed on green field sites or outside the settlement boundary.
593	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No development should be allowed on green field sites or outside the settlement boundary.
593	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No development should be allowed on green field sites or outside the settlement boundary.
593	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No development should be allowed on green field sites or outside the settlement boundary.
593	

LDP Rep: 594

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
594	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
594	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Porthcawl has enough houses and not enough leisure facilities so I object to housing
594	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
594	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
594	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
594	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
594	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
594	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

594	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
594	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	We don’t need houses we need leisure facilities Porthcawl needs green space
594	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
594	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
594	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
594	

LDP Rep: 595

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
595	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
595	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
595	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
595	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
595	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
595	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
595	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
595	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

595	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
595	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
595	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
595	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Further housing is not necessary at this location or any further development in the Bryntirion/Cefir Glas area. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses. To make this site profitable, even so called affordable housing would be beyond the means of most first time buyers. The Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school is bursting at the seams and has not caught up with the house building of the last l0 years. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is in doubt due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose, unless the developer builds a new Comprehensive at their cost. Bussing children to from the proposed site to other schools is against local making principles. Other aspects of infrastructure including Sewerage, drainage, Doctors and the NHS services, Dentists have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. As there are waiting lists for Doctors, Dentists and schools. 850 house's would generate further traffic and put a strain on A473 at the junction of Elm Crescent and Heal yNant, the traf;fic lights at Bryngolau and the A48 Broadlands roundabout which is already bumper to bumper at peek times. Extra traffic would mean more cars using the A473 along Park Street the most polluted area in the county. The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Criterion and Laleston contrary to good planning principles. This site has an inherently rural aspect, it forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural and a ward that is offrcially urban. The over effect is urbanisation of the whole area which against the council's aim of maintaining and enhancing natural resources and biodiversity, The site is also the site of many wild birds, animals and plant life which would be lost. The green wedge is the location of the Allston Stones Trail and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, medieval pilgrims way Ffordd y Gyfraith (The Way of the Law) and a drovers road. Also there is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been maintained by the Community
595	

	Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors alike. During this age of Coved they have been a god send to mental health of people. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect and the developers proposal for so called corridors would not mitigate and who would maintain these "corridors" after the developers have left would the cost fall on the community? The Loss at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. As was said before the loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this site. This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent foot further urbanisation to south, north and west, it would move the builtup area's boundary, making further Greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence. With Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north and towards Pyle in the west. The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. Undesirable because this lane is popular as a walking and cycling route and vehicular traffic coexists without diffrculty on this stretch. Altemative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users ofthe lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a natural boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to further applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of slightly larger size than this proposal grew from hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. In a nutshell this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made andthe proposal should be set aside and not progressed in LDP. In my mind developers are only intrested in building houses to make a profit not to live in them, if they did they would insure the infrastructure would be first in their thoughts. I would ask planner's why is not more brown land built on than tearing up green field's. Also I would ask the Council how many house's have empty for over 4 years and why have they not been brought back into use. Then there would be no need for this development at all.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
595	

LDP Rep: 596

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	It's seems to have no vision regarding the development of the towns tourism or the obsolete leisure facilities the town and its youth deserve from our highly taxed town. Just more new builds generating Council revenues and overloading our already fully stretched infrastructure.
596	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	This is purely a strategy to increase the towns population which it already has overstretched infrastructure, medical social mental and leisure facilities.
596	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	More housing more tax revenues
596	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	BCBC has failed time after time in this area, no vision, enthusiasm or care given for the town apart from generating more housing and building over our green spaces.
596	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	More excuses for increased development and tax revenues.
596	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	BCBC has done nothing on this in the town for decades.
596	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	You have given permission for a supermarket on prime seafront land which should be earmarked for leisure not retail. You are planning to displace over 100+ Carpark spaces used all summer and have no plan to replace them whilst planning thousands of new homes which will have cars and families who will deserve leisure facilities. A hopeless situation.
596	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Waste management locally is pitiful and under resourced.

596	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	How you can talk about this whilst planning to decimate our local woodlands with housing is beyond me.
596	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
596	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	I will have to see what's actually planned but on historic proposals I'm not holding my breath.
596	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
596	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	More development more green land built on.
596	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	BCBC seems to be intent on building on every green space we have in the Borough. It's a sad uncaring greedy route which shows no foresight regarding our children's future, health and wellbeing and a complete lack of duty of care for our environment and the flora and fauna we hold so dear.
596	

LDP Rep: 597

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
597	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
597	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
597	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
597	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
597	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
597	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
597	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
597	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

597	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
597	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Severely reducing car parking space in Porthcawl, as appears to be the plan, will I think adversely affect existing tourism, especially that of day visitors and stifle further growth. Can schools and other infrastructure support further residential development. It's already almost impossible to obtain GP services.
597	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
597	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
597	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	It's a civil servant's dream document. Largely empty sentences against which almost no deliverable could be measured.
597	

LDP Rep: 598

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Yes
598	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Yes
598	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
598	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
598	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Yes
598	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
598	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
598	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
598	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Yes

598	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
598	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No
598	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
598	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No
598	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Stop building on green belt land , and stop destroying the landscape
598	

LDP Rep: 599

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
599	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
599	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
599	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
599	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
599	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
599	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
599	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
599	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

599	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
599	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	I think the waterfront needs to have quality public open space. This could be a pump track or high quality children's playground. With more investment a saltwater lido for open water swimming. We attract lots of open water swimmers and previously park runners to the town. The promenade at the moment has nothing for children and would really benefit from a park (see Mumbles or Aberavon). Retail could be food vendors like the Fish Shack in Mumbles or vendor in the beach un Saundersfoot. These are destination food vendors. The bike provision to cycle along the waterfront is important since the road is not safe enough for children. There are many flats at The Rest and new houses in St Johns so the housing seems of secondary importance to community facilities. I like the idea of having an Aldi in town in theory but the impact on local retailers needs to be kept in mind. For example, the Pantri Box and Fishmongers Cat, along with Maple are excellent independent retailers who deserve to be supported. One also hopes that the excellent location for the Aldi means that it will be tastefully designed and nicely landscaped. Parking provision for day trippers also needs thought.
599	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
599	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
599	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
599	

LDP Rep: 600

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Leave it alone
600	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
600	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Leave it alomr
600	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Leave it slone
600	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Why you only build rabbit hutches
600	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
600	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Sa A load of rubbish
600	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
600	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	You only spoiltheland scape

600	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
600	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
600	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
600	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
600	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Why can't you just leave things alone
600	

LDP Rep: 601

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Why build more business developments and destroy essential green areas when so many premises are left empty after closures from Covid 19.
601	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Again so many units and premises are empty from Covid and you are determined to build more to sit empty and continue to destroy green areas
601	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	The green by Marlas and Cilgant y lein is constantly used by dog walkers and should be kept in developed when like I keep stating other premises sit empty
601	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	As previously answered
601	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	There is very little for children in the area and little health and facilities so maybe rather than factory or office units let's look into other options
601	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Why build more when half the units in industrial estates are empty
601	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	We need more shops, we need more parks maybe a pub.
601	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Do way in a residential area should these even be considered
601	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

601	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
601	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
601	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
601	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
601	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Please concentrate on keeping some green spaces and maybe make the most of the numerous empty premises around the county
601	

LDP Rep: 602

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	The plan will destroy good green land and future use of it. This is in the Bryntirion to Laleston plan.
602	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	no
602	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	no
602	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	no
602	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	We need to think about the well being of local residents in relation to open spaces and well being created by green open spaces.
602	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	no
602	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	no
602	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	no
602	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	This will destroy the natural environment around Bryntirion and Laleston.

602	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	no
602	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	no
602	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	no
602	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Land west of Bridgend- this residential development will damage the green and healthy environment for residents and i believe there is not enough facilities like Health services, schools, shops and public transport to support this development.
602	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	no
602	

LDP Rep: 603

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I do not feel that these key issues are an issue for most people who currently live in bridgend. We need to improve existing issues, not just start new plans and developments
603	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I dont think we should be encouraging more people to this area. It is huge as is, and people are not being adequately supported that are living in this county. We need to focus less on cardiff and swansea and build ourselves as our own town and county
603	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I completely and wholeheartedly disagree with plans to build new houses in the pyle, and north cornelly areas in stormy down. There are woods in that area that need protection for the sake of the environment and our planet. There will be little green space left for nature if this goes ahead. Additionally 15% of said houses being affordable is an absolute disgrace! There is a homelessness crisis and many young people like myself, will never be able to afford to buy my own house, so we continually have to rely on housing benefit to pay over priced rental charges! The majority of the housing should be affordable as so little of it is in this county
603	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	In principle, I support this, but I would need to have more details in future to make final decisions
603	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
603	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
603	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
603	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
603	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
603	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
603	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	I strongly oppose land east of pyle being used for development. If this does get approved, I will likely move out of this county, as there will not be enough green spaces left
603	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
603	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
603	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
603	

LDP Rep: 604

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
604	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
604	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
604	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	We have had expansion in Cefn glas and currently bryntirion but no improvements to infrastructure.
604	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	All new build homes that have and are currently under construction across bridgend and surrounding areas as far as Cardiff involve green field sites, previous agricultural land not brown field sites. Vast amounts of new build estates and new villages appearing , also not affordable to many first time buyers but I see no redevelopment.
604	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Continuing to build new homes while bridgend looses major large industry. Convert and redevelope what we have laying idle to start with should be proposed.
604	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Bridgend Town shopping centre has many unused units,property empty for years above shops, could be converted to affordable flats, definitely needs regeneration
604	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
604	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	You cannot preserve any of the above by continually granting permission to build on farm land and country side
604	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
604	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
604	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
604	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
604	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
604	

LDP Rep: 605

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Did you not learn from the last new homes built in Cornelly by persimmon? Are there still not outstanding issues? Housing market is booming at the moment and will take a down turn where people will be unable to more. Building causes mess (I work for a new homes builder). The doctors cannot see the people in the area already without adding more to the list. The open spaces are never maintained and get run down. Another waste of money!! I
605	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Where will all these people go for a doctor? The surgery is at full capacity now!! House prices are more than people can afford. Your just adding to it!!
605	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	How can you improve transport links with new houses? Your just adding to more cars on the road!!
605	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	New builds are now a sustainable design. They are timber frame and thrown up in 12 weeks. I work for a new home builder and the work is not sustainable and neither are the open spaces the say will be done!!
605	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Spend the money on LEA department and the children you consistently fail to give proper education to and fail on their statements!! What about a new doctor surgery?
605	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	What employment?
605	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
605	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	

605	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	This will not happen with a new homes builder. They do what they want and nothing gets done. I've seen it many times before!! They will take away protected hedge lines etc and nothing gets done and it gets replaced with sub par planting.
605	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
605	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Land east of pyle- spend the money on Porthcawl and get town centres back up and
605	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
605	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
605	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
605	

LDP Rep: 606

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
606	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I would recommend that Bridgend bus station is moved to tremains Road behind the train station, especially now with the college being built at cheapside, Better cycle path link's between towns with the borough, every town needs to be linked in safely
606	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Porthcawl would benefit from the building of a pump track and a splash park along the seafront
606	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Any new town centre new buildings should blend into the original architecture of the town.
606	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Better medical facilities health centres rather than single surgery's.
606	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Lower business rates and better access to the areas that are too be targeted
606	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No more out of town developments in the county focus needs to be on the town centres to encourage shoppers and tourism back.
606	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
606	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	More native trees and wild flowers in grass verges along with native hedgerows
606	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
606	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Agree with the plans along with a pump track and a splash park along the seafront
606	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
606	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Move the Bridgend bus station to tremains Road behind the train station to ensure seemless travel
606	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
606	

LDP Rep: 607

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	It concerns me that of the 4 objectives protection of natural spaces is last on the list. I am very concerned that essential natural environments are going to be destroyed.
607	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	The ONS survey Dec 2020 shows Bridgend County Employment figures in a stronger position than the rest of Wales already, with a lower % of people unemployed than the Wales average. I therefore query the need for further development.
607	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I believe more focus should be on areas 1 and 2. Development on green spaces, areas currently used for farming, nature, should not be developed. The environmental impact could be devastating, increasing traffic, waste, pollution etc in addition to decimating the area's wild species.
607	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	If development goes ahead this needs to be a critical consideration. Traffic problems already exist during peak times around Pyle roundabout and the entrance to Pyle industrial estate. All housing should be powered with alternative environmental options like solar energy etc.
607	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Perhaps if there was improvement on current facilities, roads, infrastructure, schools etc, and new housing created on current brown spaces rather than green spaces, communities would be more inclined to stay in the area, hence encouraging a young skilled workforce to grow without development on environmental delicate areas.
607	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
607	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Yes, please lower rates in current centres to encourage new businesses!
607	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Renewable energy is a must given the state of the world now. I'm concerned about waste management given the new recycling centre on Pyle industrial estate has yet to establish and the impact on traffic etc around this area has not been monitored yet. A large residential and commercial development on top of this in the vicinity could potentially cause havoc on the roads and would the new centre have the capacity to cope with the excessive waste produced by these new homes and businesses?
607	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	There is a lot of history based in Stormy, my own late father Barrie Griffiths researched and wrote about it and there could be potential important historical sites being disturbed by developments. Perhaps, in this day and age of our planet being destroyed by human development, is the environmental impact on wildlife.
607	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
607	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	As stated in previous comments
607	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
607	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
607	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
607	

LDP Rep: 608

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
608	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I feel that urbanisation at the expense of greenfield ares is pandering to developers They should develop derelict sites vacated by failed/ closed up business premises removing eyesores across the Borough When one looks at an aerial view of Bridgend one must concede that green areas add to a persons well-being. As it is BCBC has "filled in " the landwith buildings from Litchard to Coychurch, from the A473 to Heol Spencer John and the prison Building between Bryntirion and Laleston is an antisocial act designed to benefit no one but the builders Develop run down properties before destroying national habitat
608	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
608	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
608	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
608	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
608	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
608	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?

ID	
608	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
608	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
608	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
608	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
608	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
608	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
608	

LDP Rep: 609

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): [redacted]

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Not a lot. Not much thought put into much of the plans, and most will never be completed.
609	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	The Welsh Government are intent on destroying the transport links to Wales, so NO employers will be interested in locating to the Bridgend area, since the traffic congestion in Newport, which should have been addressed many years ago, will ensure no employment growth here. Until that issue is addressed, there is little to NO chance of attracting employers.
609	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	You seem intent on building on almost every spare patch of green that we have available. NO provision seems to be made for sufficient healthcare and education premises, and the facilities we currently have are totally over stretched with the current population.
609	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Seems like a load of rubbish. You are mixing modern structures with older buildings and they simply don't look right or fit in with the surroundings.
609	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Seems like you need to actually talk to other sectors, to ensure sufficient infrastructure, health and education systems are being put into place at the same time as future developments are being carried out. Currently, houses are being built when the roads cannot cope with the increased volume of traffic, and NOTHING is being done (case in point - Tondu - with the new housing development and shops). This area is a nightmare most of the time, and absolutely horrendous the rest of the time. Many accidents have happened there, and STILL nothing is being done, yet building of a further 450 houses will soon commence. That is just madness. No provision for further Doctors surgeries/Dentist etc, and the current ones in Sarn and Aberkenfig simply cannot cope. Situations such as these NEED to be addressed right through the Borough as this is not an isolated case.
609	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Prospective employers are being put off relocating to Bridgend, due to the absence of the "proposed" link road scheme in Newport, as the congestion in Brynglas Tunnels makes it impossible to try to run transport from Bridgend/Cardiff areas or further west,
609	

	to England. Until the Welsh Assembly Ministers realise this (which they refuse to do), there will be very little chance of attracting new major employers to this area. This means that there will not be any new jobs created here. People need work in order to afford to buy and run their homes, so this is all a domino effect. Pressure needs to be put onto WAG to rethink their decisions. Too much time is lost in traffic hold ups. Time is money. Time lost is money lost. Better road links for transport will ensure manufacturers will come here and provide jobs. No road links, no jobs.

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Far too many shops standing empty in all of the towns. No point in building new retail centres until the original shops are being used. If they cannot be used (parking causes a LOT of the issues) then close the town centre down and convert all shops to flats/houses, and build new retail centres with sufficient FREE parking. Council Tax is far too high on Retail property, so making changes to that could ensure more businesses open up in the empty town shops.
609	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Waste management is currently a joke in Bridgend Borough. The Amenity centre in Brynmenyn is too small, badly designed and has far too long waiting times to get in. The area is growing vastly, and the facilities for getting rid of waste/unwanted items is not fit for purpose. You expect us to play our part and segregate the items for household recycling, but then make it so hard to get rid of anything not collected by the recycling collection, it is hardly surprising many people fly tip. A larger site for the Amenity centre would be best, with room for 2 cars to drive through, side by side, with designated bins on either side of the drive way. This would mean that waiting times could be drastically reduced, as a 3 hour wait is simply NOT acceptable. Otherwise, the most convenient idea would be to situate a large skip at various street locations on a regular basis, so that householders could take their unwanted items along and place them in the skip. These could then be taken to a designated area and sorted through, so creating more employment in the area, and avoiding the costs of clearing up from fly tipping. Also, the staff at the Amenity centres could actually help people unload their cars, instead of all standing around watching people struggle to lift things. In Wiltshire, they have recycling centres that ANYONE can use, public or businesses, and they have designated areas for stone, brick, concrete, wood etc. The items taken in (e.g .builders rubble) is then smashed down to make hardcore, which is re-sold, to help cover the costs of the equipment etc. Wood can be chipped and sold as ground cover, grass cuttings/tree clippings turned into compost. You get the picture. This could be an amazing way for Bridgend to operate, and create a mass of extra employment. Try to encourage people to reduce waste, recycle more, and help them to do it in a sensible and cheap way, and this could be a win/win situation for everyone. As for renewable energy, we are surrounded by water, lots of water, so why are we not trying to harness power from the rivers and sea? This worked well many years ago and could be even better now, with new technology and some thought put into it.
609	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

609	Don't make me laugh. Anything of historical interest or natural beauty tends to be knocked down or built on in Bridgend Borough. You only have to look on some of the sites showing pictures of old Bridgend, which was once a beautiful market town, and see what we have lost, thanks to modern day thinking. This has not improved the towns, only showed how careless and thoughtless the Town Planners are and have been. Some hideous monstrosities have been built where beautiful structures once stood - The Bottle Bank block of flats in Porthcawl is a classic example, and there are many more. We simply do not appreciate what we have in the area and most certainly do not promote the area to attract tourists. We have some of the most fabulous scenery, beaches, lots of history, eating places and beautiful walks, but BCBC seems to want to trash all of that, and simply ignores tourism, FULL STOP! Porthcawl should be the Jewel in our Crown, instead we have crazy sculptures and stone artifacts to signify what exactly? This could be a Welsh Blackpool attracting thousands of people each year, instead we have nothing. The Elvis Festival does attract many people, but that is no thanks to the LA. If private individuals can thinks up such schemes and get them off the ground, why can't the Local Authority, or is that too much like hard work?

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Health service issues not mentioned? Every new road should have a cycle track alongside. Include as part of the costing.
609	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Re-introduce the rail links to Porthcawl. Every new road should have a cycle track alongside. Include as part of the costing.
609	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Re-introduce the rail networks from the valleys to Bridgend and beyond. Health service requirements need to be improved. Every new road should have a cycle track alongside. Include as part of the costing.
609	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Health service issues not addressed. More houses means more people, more people means health issues, which means more GP surgeries/dentists/hospitals. There is NO point in continuing to build homes when the people living in those homes cannot get health issues resolved. Liaise with the Local Health Board and see what their plans are, first. Every new road should have a cycle track alongside. Include as part of the costing.
609	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Far too many homes stand empty and neglected in the Borough. These should be done up and occupied BEFORE any further new homes are built. If the owners cannot be persuaded to do this (or owners no longer alive/cannot be traced) then surely a CPO could be used to update these properties to a standard fit for occupation? Empty homes don't give any revenue via Council Tax. Start charging CT on these empty premises, ALL empty premises, and then see them fill up.
609	

LDP Rep: 611

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I do not believe that the LDP takes proper account of SOBJ4.
611	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
611	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	The proposed developments do not appear to have prioritised brownfield sites as indicated above. The majority seem to be proposed on farmland and countryside which is hugely disappointing.
611	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Many plans note a buffer zone between the new development and existing settlements but in my view these buffer zones are so small that that most people would not even acknowledge them as a buffer zone. This will have a detrimental impact on existing settlements, particularly Laleston which would be only separated by a very small lane and effectively be joined to the new development. The village of Laleston would cease to exist.
611	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No
611	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
611	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
611	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No

611	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	PLA3 and PLA4 do not achieve the goals of the LDP to preserve and enhance the natural and historical environment. The countryside close to Merthyr Mawr should be protected. This small village is unique and provides a beautiful place for residents of Bridgend County to visit. It also brings in tourists to the ares. Many people walk and cycle through the village and this has been particularly important during the pandemic and has helped improve wellbeing for many. The surrounding countryside should be preserved. Similarly for the lanes near Laleston which connect to Bryntirion, which have been well used by walkers, runners and cyclists. If the proposed development is adjacent to this lane with no buffer zone, the feeling of being in the countryside whilst walking these lanes will be totally lost. This will have a detrimental impact on both people and wildlife.
611	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
611	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
611	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
611	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I strongly object to the proposal for developments PLA3 and PLA2. For the development adjacent to Laleston, there appears to be no effective buffer zone with the development maps showing the planned build right up to the lane. The words in the plan note a buffer zone but this is not shown as such on the map. The lanes between Laleston and Bryntirion are frequented by many walkers, cyclists and runners. This is good for our health and well-being and people can enjoy the local countryside and be close to nature. During the pandemic more and more people have been using these lines and I believe this will continue into the future. A new development in this area would destroy the local countryside and take away the enjoyment for many. The impact on nature will also be detrimental. Laleston will no longer have a village feel and will be effectively swallowed up by Bridgend. I also strongly object to the development at Island farm. This development is very close to the village of Merthyr Mawr. This village is unique and should be preserved. Many locals and visitors enjoy walking, cycling and running through the village and this is excellent for our wellbeing. It also brings in tourism. The surrounding countryside should be protected to ensure this village retains its unique character.
611	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I do not believe that the words in the plan regarding the prioritisation of brownfield sites for development and protecting the countryside and historic places are reflected in the proposed development plans. There seems to be a mismatch in my view. It seems that the plans on the maps have not taken any note of the words in the document.
611	

LDP Rep: 612

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I wish to object to the:- The private developer who wants to build 850 house between. Bryntirion and Laleston village. This is open space need by local people for recreational use. Are you lot out of your mind, to even believe this as good idea. Now pull your finger out, and respect what local people want. Brian Oatley.
612	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
612	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
612	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
612	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
612	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
612	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
612	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
612	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
612	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
612	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
612	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
612	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
612	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I wish to object to the:- The private developer who wants to build 850 house between. Bryntirion and Laleston village. This is open space need by local people for recreational use. Are you lot out of your mind, to even believe this as good idea. Now pull your finger out, and respect what local people want. Brian Oatley
612	

LDP Rep: 613

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): N/A

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	N/A
613	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	N/A
613	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	N/A
613	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	N/A
613	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	N/A
613	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	N/A
613	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	N/A
613	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	N/A
613	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	N/A

613	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
613	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
613	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
613	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
613	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No further employment/office development for the land to rear to Bocam Park Reg1 23. I have lived in my property for 14 years and have endured 14 years of anti social behaviour from boy/girl racers screeching cars, shouting amongst themselves and playing football from 9pm till 1am within Bocam Park (outside Fields Data). Adding additional unit's/offices only creates further unoccupied car parking spaces at night for more cars to congregate making our lives a misery. The police have tried to actively stop this from happening but the anti social behaviour is ongoing and getting worse over the years. Taking into consideration the mental health implications this is having on residents within the Westbury Homes Development over looking Bocam Park and also it's impact/cost for South Wales Police (police reports should be obtained). Important: Please ensure you keep my name and address confidential as I do not wish to have any repercussions from these youngsters congregating.
613	

LDP Rep: 615

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	There has been inadequate consideration of our remaining green belt areas, in particular, the parcel of land between Bryntirion and Laleston. The development of this land for housing will effectively combine :Laleston with Bryntirion removing an essential green buffer which currently provides essential biodiversity and natural habitats for many forms of wildlife
615	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	The emphasis on growth appears to focus purely on housing, this area already suffers from a lack of support infastructure, The emphasis on housing just attracts people from outside the area such as Cardiff, which is already turning Bridgend into a commuter suburb. A substantial number of Broadlands residents for example, do not work in Bridgend.
615	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	The emphasis on housing appears to be centred on Bridgend rather than uniformly distributed throughout he Borough
615	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Residential development should be encouraged in areas where office, shops and factories have become vacant rather than taking the easy option of building on green belt land
615	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	There is not enough information to comment on this at the moment
615	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	I fail to see how building houses will attract more jobs to the area, apart from the construction industry workers who will be building the houses, who will probably be brought in from outside the Borough anyway.
615	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	While it is accepted that an attempt has been made to introduce residential accomodation to the town, it has been totally inadequate so far, leaving many empty shops in the town
615	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
615	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	No
615	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
615	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No
615	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Why not build more housing in the Garw, land is cheap and will provide affordable housing
615	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	As stated , the emphasis on Bridgend is just housing, which, if this plan is followed, will become just one large housing estate wil inadequate infastructure support.
615	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	As usual, there appears to be little imagination with the LDP. it appears that a decision has been taken to increase housing stock on green belt land as the easy option rather than utilising existing sites such as empty prperties in the town and on surrounding industrial estates, or on the common land around junction 36. IF mcarthur Glen was built there, why cant houses be build as well, avoiding building on green belt land
615	

LDP Rep: 616

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I am against the proposal of a private developer building another 850 houses on greenfield land between Bryntirion and Laleston. We are a highly populated area now with all the recent and on going developments throughout Cefn Glas and Bryntirion which is putting massive strain on infrastructure and public services including schools, doctors surgeries and access roads. Plus it will destroy wildlife habitat, encroach on Llangewydd road lane which is an important and very popular walking and cycle route for local residents. Speaking as a disabled person with mobility difficulties I'm finding it increasingly problematic to get across roads with the amount of extra traffic that has been generated by the increase in housing developments now without adding to it. I'm also sure that you are aware of the backlog of traffic on Park Street during peak times as it is the main road for this area for gaining access into the town centre adding another 850 residential homes will cause absolute chaos. On top of this you also need to consider the emergency services, in the past fortnight alone there has been been three urgent situations requiring helicopter assistance, ambulance, first responders and police where time is critical in saving lives. The response time of these services is already under pressure not to mention how the helicopter crew are struggling to find a place to land and even then the attendees, like today's incident, have a long trek on foot with all necessary equipment which again can be the difference between life and death. So yes I strongly oppose any and all future housing developments proposed in this area.
616	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Even before recent events, that is Covid, there are insufficient job opportunities in and around Bridgend. The so called ageing population are being made to work longer thanks to the government so your "so called" skilled and youthful population will not have sufficient job opportunities. More and more people are switching to on-line services Bridgend is becoming a ghost town like many other areas throughout the country as I'm sure you are aware.
616	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Regeneration? Local councils say they are under funded now with many public services suffering, just look at the state of our roads alone. All this money is coming from where??
616	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I haven't seen anything in recent years that has improved the environment or people's health and well being. Over population yes, concepts and ideas are all well and good but practically is totally different.
616	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I believe I have given my thoughts on this previously.
616	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	See previous comments.
616	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Visions, dreams, concepts and ideas. Then there is reality and no funding.
616	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
616	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
616	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
616	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
616	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
616	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	See previous comments
616	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
616	

LDP Rep: 617

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
617	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
617	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
617	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
617	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
617	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
617	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
617	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
617	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

617	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
617	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
617	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
617	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Draft Local Development Plan Proposed site: PLA3, LAND WEST OF BRIDGEND Locally known as the “Circus Field” (and surrounding) site Proposal for 850 houses, etc. To: whom it may concern at Bridgend County Borough Council I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network
617	

	have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims' way, Ffordd y Gyfraith ("The Way of the Law"), and a drovers' road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Draft Local Development Plan Proposed site: PLA3, LAND WEST OF BRIDGEND Locally known as the "Circus Field" (and surrounding) site Proposal for 850 houses, etc. To: whom it may concern at Bridgend County Borough Council I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate
617	

	site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer’s proposals for “corridors” would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area’s boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to

	eliminate Llangewydd Road as a “natural” boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

LDP Rep: 618

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I am against the proposal to place massive windfarms in the Maesteg area. Also, it would be more helpful to the General Public if these survey forms were easier to understand instead of incomprehensible GOBBLEDYGOOK!!!
618	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I am against the proposal to build 850 homes on greenfield land between Bryntirion and Laleston with no plans to improve infrastructure, please make these surveys easier to understand or do you not want the opinion of the people who put you in power???
618	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I am against the proposal to place windfarms in the Maesteg area, They are not carbon footprint friendly and have not re sulted in lower electricity bills - our bills are increasing every year!! Why are we not investing in geothermal energy and the Bristol Channel barrage to produce all the energy we need in Wales???
618	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Your ambitions as stated above have been totally ignored when designing local development in the Bridgend area e.g. the new housing estates in the Coity area. Why are you not following your own criteria???
618	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	The growth in house building has NOT resulted in growth in infrastructure in this area, the Senedd has just put a stop to any new road building, not everyone can walk or cycle to work or for socialising!!!
618	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
618	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Better to spend money on helping small businesses to take advantage of empty shops and building in Town centres, where the profits will be kept local
618	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
618	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
618	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
618	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
618	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
618	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
618	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	These forms make it very difficult for the average man in the street to read and understand. Surely in the 21st Century these forms could be simplified if you really want the opinion of the General Public
618	

LDP Rep: 619

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I am 100% against building 850 houses on the greenfield land between Bryntirion and Llaleston. Bridgend does not have the infrastructure to keep expanding at this vast rate. We are supposed to be looking at maintaining trees and hedgerows to slow down global warming, and flooding, not ploughing through them so that a small number of people can make massive profits, that they don't put back into the area. I'm disgusted that this is even being considered. There are now very few jobs in the area, which means commuting to Swansea and Cardiff, and pumping out even more gases, whilst sat idling on the M4, because of the sheer volume of traffic. LEAVE OUR GREEN SPACES GREEN!!!
619	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I am 100% against building 850 houses on the greenfield land between Bryntirion and Llaleston. Bridgend does not have the infrastructure to keep expanding at this vast rate. We are supposed to be looking at maintaining trees and hedgerows to slow down global warming, and flooding, not ploughing through them so that a small number of people can make massive profits, that they don't put back into the area. I'm disgusted that this is even being considered. There are now very few jobs in the area, which means commuting to Swansea and Cardiff, and pumping out even more gases, whilst sat idling on the M4, because of the sheer volume of traffic. LEAVE OUR GREEN SPACES GREEN!!!
619	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I am 100% against building 850 houses on the greenfield land between Bryntirion and Llaleston. Bridgend does not have the infrastructure to keep expanding at this vast rate. We are supposed to be looking at maintaining trees and hedgerows to slow down global warming, and flooding, not ploughing through them so that a small number of people can make massive profits, that they don't put back into the area. I'm disgusted that this is even being considered. There are now very few jobs in the area, which means commuting to Swansea and Cardiff, and pumping out even more gases, whilst sat idling on the M4, because of the sheer volume of traffic. LEAVE OUR GREEN SPACES GREEN!!!
619	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I am 100% against building 850 houses on the greenfield land between Bryntirion and Llaleston. Bridgend does not have the infrastructure to keep expanding at this vast rate. We are supposed to be looking at maintaining trees and hedgerows to slow down global warming, and flooding, not ploughing through them so that a small number of people can make massive profits, that they don't put back into the area. I'm disgusted that this is even being considered. There are now very few jobs in the area,
619	

	which means commuting to Swansea and Cardiff, and pumping out even more gases, whilst sat idling on the M4, because of the sheer volume of traffic. LEAVE OUR GREEN SPACES GREEN!!!

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I am 100% against building 850 houses on the greenfield land between Bryntirion and Llaleston. Bridgend does not have the infrastructure to keep expanding at this vast rate. We are supposed to be looking at maintaining trees and hedgerows to slow down global warming, and flooding, not ploughing through them so that a small number of people can make massive profits, that they don't put back into the area. I'm disgusted that this is even being considered. There are now very few jobs in the area, which means commuting to Swansea and Cardiff, and pumping out even more gases, whilst sat idling on the M4, because of the sheer volume of traffic. LEAVE OUR GREEN SPACES GREEN!!!
619	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	I am 100% against building 850 houses on the greenfield land between Bryntirion and Llaleston. Bridgend does not have the infrastructure to keep expanding at this vast rate. We are supposed to be looking at maintaining trees and hedgerows to slow down global warming, and flooding, not ploughing through them so that a small number of people can make massive profits, that they don't put back into the area. I'm disgusted that this is even being considered. There are now very few jobs in the area, which means commuting to Swansea and Cardiff, and pumping out even more gases, whilst sat idling on the M4, because of the sheer volume of traffic. LEAVE OUR GREEN SPACES GREEN!!!
619	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	I am 100% against building 850 houses on the greenfield land between Bryntirion and Llaleston. Bridgend does not have the infrastructure to keep expanding at this vast rate. We are supposed to be looking at maintaining trees and hedgerows to slow down global warming, and flooding, not ploughing through them so that a small number of people can make massive profits, that they don't put back into the area. I'm disgusted that this is even being considered. There are now very few jobs in the area, which means commuting to Swansea and Cardiff, and pumping out even more gases, whilst sat idling on the M4, because of the sheer volume of traffic. LEAVE OUR GREEN SPACES GREEN!!!
619	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	I am 100% against building 850 houses on the greenfield land between Bryntirion and Llaleston. Bridgend does not have the infrastructure to keep expanding at this vast rate. We are supposed to be looking at maintaining trees and hedgerows to slow down global warming, and flooding, not ploughing through them so that a small number of people can make massive profits, that they don't put back into the area. I'm disgusted that this is even being considered. There are now very few jobs in the area, which means commuting to Swansea and Cardiff, and pumping out even more gases,
619	

	whilst sat idling on the M4, because of the sheer volume of traffic. LEAVE OUR GREEN SPACES GREEN!!!

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	I am 100% against building 850 houses on the greenfield land between Bryntirion and Llaleston. Bridgend does not have the infrastructure to keep expanding at this vast rate. We are supposed to be looking at maintaining trees and hedgerows to slow down global warming, and flooding, not ploughing through them so that a small number of people can make massive profits, that they don't put back into the area. I'm disgusted that this is even being considered. There are now very few jobs in the area, which means commuting to Swansea and Cardiff, and pumping out even more gases, whilst sat idling on the M4, because of the sheer volume of traffic. LEAVE OUR GREEN SPACES GREEN!!!
619	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
619	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
619	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
619	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I am 100% against building 850 houses on the greenfield land between Bryntirion and Llaleston. Bridgend does not have the infrastructure to keep expanding at this vast rate. We are supposed to be looking at maintaining trees and hedgerows to slow down global warming, and flooding, not ploughing through them so that a small number of people can make massive profits, that they don't put back into the area. I'm disgusted that this is even being considered. There are now very few jobs in the area, which means commuting to Swansea and Cardiff, and pumping out even more gases, whilst sat idling on the M4, because of the sheer volume of traffic. LEAVE OUR GREEN SPACES GREEN!!!
619	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I am 100% against building 850 houses on the greenfield land between Bryntirion and Llaleston. Bridgend does not have the infrastructure to keep expanding at this vast rate. We are supposed to be looking at maintaining trees and hedgerows to slow down global warming, and flooding, not ploughing through them so that a small number of people can make massive profits, that they don't put back into the area. I'm disgusted that this is even being considered. There are now very few jobs in the area,
619	

	which means commuting to Swansea and Cardiff, and pumping out even more gases, whilst sat idling on the M4, because of the sheer volume of traffic. LEAVE OUR GREEN SPACES GREEN!!!

LDP Rep: 620

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
620	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
620	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Along with many others I have huge concerns with the use of The Bryncethin depot to be used as a potential gypsy/traveller site. Living in the rural Heol Laethog area just a few seconds from the proposed site I am concerned with a rise in the problems that often come with the gypsy community. This is also detrimental to the people living directly next to the site. How can such an site ever be considered as a permanent area when it's so close to residents? This is unfair. I am concerned in the rise of crime and antisocial behaviour in the area. Especially living in quiet country lanes. It makes us extremely vulnerable.
620	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
620	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
620	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
620	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
620	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?

ID
620

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?
ID
620

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley
ID
620

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill
ID
620

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys
ID
620

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed
ID
620

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No to the proposed gypsy site in Bryncethin or any other area which is directly next to residents.
620	

LDP Rep: 621

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
621	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
621	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Bridgend has become totally saturated with all the new houses being built. It cannot cope with any more developments.
621	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Bridgend Town centre is in desperate need funding to bring it back to the vibrant town it once was. The way it is at the moment, this will not encourage people to to socialise or shop there, such a shame its been left to disintegrate.
621	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	How will the increased housing built a healthier community with the traffic pollution.
621	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	With no town centre, and very few social places, the only jobs being created are labourer jobs on the building sites.
621	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	I would be very interested in seeing plans for improvements made to our town centre.
621	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
621	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	You are allowing all our green spaces, land scapes and natural habitats to be destroyed by building new homes on them.
621	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
621	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
621	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
621	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Please no more residential houses, I feel enough has been built. There are very few green spaces or natural habitats left. Bridged is being destroyed. You say will improve cycling routes and improve walking areas, but the only think you will be walking or cycling around is houses.
621	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No more housing developments, the road infrastructure needs urgent attention due to all the traffic from new housing developments, its takes three times longer to make a short journey.
621	

LDP Rep: 622

Representor Name: N/A

Organisation (if applicable): N/A

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Roundabouts in some area's are too big and need to be reduced in size, some area's require a pavement and some require the pavement to be reduced in size. Lower taxation for local residents is key to run a successful council and the head of the council is currently not a welsh speaker? and is over paid
622	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Better bigger car parks, you demolished the old cinema giving an ideal opportunity to build a two story car park across the land and next to the river, but you failed to deliver this. the town needs to have its foot fall increased, cheap and free car parking is key to this and will drive people into the town to spend money
622	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	some of the roads are quite small going into the valleys? some of the payments are too big and you need to make extra room for vehicles to park in these area's giving easier access to the valleys and increased footfall into these area's, so people can spend money their
622	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Better clear leadership is required to build better policies starting with the head of the council taking a pay cut followed by all Councillors taking a pay cut after all you are a Councillor not for the money but to build a better town, valley, area.
622	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	As Labour are back in charge it looks very likely that they will continue to waist council tax payers money funding silly projects in Wales. We need lower council tax, better infrastructure to all area's right up to the valleys. everyone must play their part including greedy landlords who own quite a bit of Bridgend town but who do not keep their buildings up to a high standard and instead let them fall apart so that the council can give a few back handers to get the buildings back to normal! don't think you are not being watched.
622	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Lower council tax, every one must pay something towards local services even if they cant be bothered to get a job, every one must pay council tax to live in this area!
622	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?

ID	Better infrastructure is require, some buildings in Bridgend town nee to be knocked down, Maesteg needs another free car park, PorthCawl needs a free car park. Reduce the size of the local councillors in these areas to save the tax payers money, reduce their pay.
622	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Solar Panels for all council buildings across the borough, Solar panels on all schools, Solar Panels on all College buildings and a nice wind turbine on college sites.Possibly look at thermal heating for all council buildings across the borough, not sure if the technology is quite their yet but please check. Solar Panels on all council buildings by the end of this year please.
622	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Plant lots of trees next to the M4, Compulsory purchase some land next to the M4 and plants trees their. Plant trees in all schools, in all collages, in all library grounds, Plant trees is the answer for a cleaner future and the Mayor car should also go.
622	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Better infrastructure is required to future prof our borough, better links to all valleys, tree planting and solar panels on all council buildings and also on all houses that are owned by the tax payer.
622	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Better infrastructure is required to future prof our borough, better links to all valleys, tree planting and solar panels on all council buildings and also on all houses that are owned by the tax payer.
622	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Better infrastructure is required to future prof our borough, better links to all valleys, tree planting and solar panels on all council buildings and also on all houses that are owned by the tax payer. you need to make some of the pavements smaller and also make some parking bays along the road to make driving into this valley easier for all so that visitors can go their.
622	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Better infrastructure is required to future prof our borough, better links to all valleys, tree planting and solar panels on all council buildings and also on all houses that are owned by the tax payer.
622	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	You need to ask the Labour looneys in Cardiff bay for more money, if i was head of the council id take a big pay cut first then id make all my collegues take a pay cut along with a £4 thousand a year pay cut for all Councillors across the borough. You
622	

	must contact the first minister on a daily basis for extra money and i mean daily,not a once a week call or email, but daily and failling to do this means you are letting down the tax payer and trust me after this last year we have all had enough of money being wasted and are sick and tired of local councils not being head accountable for wasting money on for example blinds for council offices which did not fit of having the outside of the building cleaned! STOP WASTING MONEY

LDP Rep: 623

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): [redacted]

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Your are [redacted] on the population of Porthcawl AGAIN.
623	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	BURRIED UNDER [redacted]
623	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	AS PER PREVIOUS COMMENTS EXCEPT THAT THERE IS MORE THAN SUFFICIENT "SPACIAL SEPARATION" BETWEEN THE EARS OF THOSE MAKING DECISIONS.
623	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	KEEP LIDL AND ALDI AT BAY - FOIR THOSE WHO DO NOT UNDERSTAND AT MAY MEAN WAY
623	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	wHO WROTE THGIS [redacted]? IT IS MAKING ME ILL.
623	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	MORE MONEY FOR DOING NOTHING
623	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	THIS IS THE MOST EXTRAORDINARY [redacted] EVER
623	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	MORE RENEWABLE ENERGY AND LESS [redacted] TO MANAGE
623	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	"These goals need to be balanced alongside the need to facilitate sustainable economic growth." SOMEONE SHOULD COME BACK AND READ THESE WORDS IN FIFTY YEARS AND ASK WHAT THE [redacted] HAPPENED.
623	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	!
623	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	THE PIE IN THE SKY WILL LAND SOMEWHRE INCONVIENIENT.
623	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	!
623	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	CENSORED
623	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	THE MOST INCOMPREHENSIBLE DOCUMENT WITHIN WHICH THE AVERAGE INDIVIDIAL'S VOICE BECOMES COMPLETELY MEANGLESS AND LOST. BADLY DESIGNED; ILL THOUGHT OUT AND ILLOGICAL. IF YOU (WHOEVER YOU ARE) REALLY WANTED INPUT FROM THE PEOPLE WHO'S LIVES WILL BE AFFECTED YOU WOULD HAVE HAD THE INTELLECT AND INTELLIEGENCE TO HAVE GATHERED INFORMATION, OPINIONS AND IDEAS WITH SOME CLARITY AND EMPATHY. SAD, SAD, SAD THAT THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF THE DESIGN AND THINKING BEHIND THE FUTURE OF THE INHABITANTS WHO'S FUTURE THIS DOCUMENT AFFECTS. MAY FUTURE GENERATIONS FORGIVE YOU
623	

LDP Rep: 624

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
624	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
624	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
624	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
624	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
624	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
624	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
624	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
624	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

624	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
624	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
624	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
624	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site.
624	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a"natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
624	

LDP Rep: 625

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
625	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
625	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
625	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
625	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
625	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
625	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
625	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
625	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

625	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
625	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
625	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
625	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
625	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
625	

LDP Rep: 626

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
626	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
626	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
626	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
626	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
626	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
626	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
626	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
626	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

626	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
626	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
626	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
626	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
626	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. • • Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. • Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
626	

LDP Rep: 628

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
628	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
628	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
628	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
628	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
628	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
628	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
628	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
628	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

628	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
628	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
628	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
628	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
628	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. • Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. • Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
628	

LDP Rep: 629

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
629	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
629	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
629	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
629	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
629	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
629	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
629	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
629	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

629	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
629	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
629	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
629	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I write to strongly object to the above proposal being include in your Draft Development Plan and request it be deleted from the final LDP on the following grounds:- While I acknowledge you are obliged to provide new housing year on year I feel the infrastructure here in West Bridgend is already stretched beyond capacity with all the recent developments we have had. The Barratt site off of Barnes Ave is still ongoing and causing considerable disruption from construction traffic let alone the environmental damage already inflicted. A new site off of Llangewydd and Cefn Gals roads adding yet more traffic, now and in the future. I feel we as residents of Cefn Glas and Bryntirion have already shouldered more than our fair share of new housing. 850 new homes in inevitably going to lead to well over 1000 more cars on already full roads as public transport in nowhere sufficient to fill the travel requirements of working families. As I am sure you aware, at certain times of day road congestion around West Bridgend is severe, access to and from the Broadlands either at the A48 or A473 junctions is appalling. Likewise many other roads around Cefn Glas for example during school run times, are almost grid locked. Yet more development is only going to make this far worse. As stated, infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school has not caught up with the previous and ongoing housebuilding. Further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is doubtful due to already stated started road sacks constraints. Section 106 contributions (a weak cop out) from a developer would therefore be futile. I cannot image they will build a new school, at their expense within their proposal! Sending children from the proposed site to other schools would violate the local place making principles started in the LDP Air quality. You will be aware of the high profile of this subject internationally let alone here in Bridgend, Park St, the A473, is proven to be one of the most polluted locations in the country. Generating more traffic with approval of this proposal violates the sustainable development principle contained within the LDP! Further development at this site would seriously impact on ancient woodland, and a loss of rich and varied flora and fauna. The green spaces along Llangewydd Rd have been a life line for people's mental health during lockdown providing relatively safe walking and cycling. Any widening, resurfacing or general improvements to permit increased traffic, or worse, closing will rob people of this
629	

	amenity, not to mention the wildlife habit destruction. Alternative vehicle movements along the lane north of the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with these walkers and cyclists No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits (for a few) of building in this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value (for many) of the site in it's current condition. Overall there would be a severe loss of visual social, and public amenity The site would coalesce the boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles The further urbanisation of the area that this proposal puts forward would violate the council's objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough This proposal, along with the sheer volume of the others under consideration is effectively making Bridgend a dormitory town for the benefit of Cardiff and Swansea employers In conclusion this proposal puts the wrong development, with the wrong (high price) houses in the wrong location I urge you to drop it from the LDP at the earliest opportunity

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
629	

LDP Rep: 630

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
630	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
630	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
630	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
630	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
630	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
630	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
630	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
630	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

630	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
630	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
630	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
630	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
630	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
630	

LDP Rep: 631

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
631	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
631	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
631	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
631	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
631	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
631	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
631	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
631	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

631	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
631	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
631	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
631	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	A philosophical observation. I have perused the current situation the world is in at this present time. It will be foolhardy to consider any development plan on greenfield land in our country or countryside. The time has come to seriously contemplate conservation and keeping clean our greenfield areas, rivers, lakes and seashores. Our environment is getting dangerously toxic through bad selfish human behaviour. As a philosophical warning, you would not have 15 years or so to conclude your Development Plans at the pace of global warming and environment damage which is occurring at this present time.
631	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
631	

LDP Rep: 632

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
632	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
632	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
632	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
632	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
632	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
632	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
632	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
632	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

632	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
632	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
632	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
632	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site.
632	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
632	

LDP Rep: 633

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
633	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
633	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
633	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
633	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
633	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
633	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
633	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
633	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

633	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
633	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
633	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
633	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site.
633	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
633	

LDP Rep: 634

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
634	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
634	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
634	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
634	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
634	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
634	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
634	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
634	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

634	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
634	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
634	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
634	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site.
634	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
634	

LDP Rep: 635

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
635	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
635	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
635	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
635	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
635	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
635	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
635	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
635	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

635	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
635	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
635	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
635	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site.
635	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
635	

LDP Rep: 636

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I have already made observations on the LDP vision and objectives.
636	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Consideration has to be given as to where the 500 potential workers will arrive from. There has to be a balance between attracting skills and not leaving valley communities short on both economic investment and short on the skills to capitalise on this investment much as Bridgend itself is proposing.
636	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Regeneration objectives should not lose sight of developing brown field sites in the Bridgend area. Not simply ploughing up green field sites that will never recover from an extensive new build programme, simply because this is the easiest development choice.
636	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Town centre residential proposals should be considered. These fulfil all of the peacemaking strategies outlined above. Done properly this could provide low cost, sustainable and high quality, not always the case with green field developments where build quality has without doubt declined over recent years.
636	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Continued building on the outskirts of the town will take away opportunities for individuals to take advantage of green, healthy and exercise promoting routes, otherwise all that happens is the population jump into their cars to seek out these opportunities, creating other pollution issues. Social communities are not necessarily exclusive to houses built on green field areas.
636	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Many existing sites exist within the Bridgend area but are far from fully occupied and are either derelict or require substantial investment to make viable. Let's make sure we provide prospective employers few negatives about investing in the area.
636	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	

636	This is exactly the broad mindedness needed to develop our town centre. Let's make sure this part of the policy gets pushed before any other and does not lie at the bottom of the heap of priorities within the policy.

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No comment
636	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	This is very true. The natural environment around Bridgend is relatively untouched, flourishing in plant and animal life. This has to be protected at all costs. Sites around Bridgend remain of key historical and ecological interest and should not be built on simply to achieve a glossy strategy.
636	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	I am not familiar with this region of the borough
636	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	I am not familiar with this part of the borough
636	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	I am not familiar with this part of the borough
636	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Land west of Bridgend whilst undeveloped,remains one of the most ecologically important areas of Bridgend. Currently there are very few places that are untouched like this area is. Very popular for both exercisers and opportunities to view wild life for our younger generation, the area also has historic interest from Medieval and even pre historic times as a key route for travellers in commerce. We should not concrete over this very important area of the borough simply to ensure we have met our housing growth numbers for the area and take away the natural future of our children and grand children. Many consider this to be one of the most unspoilt areas of the borough and not easy to replace. Development will discourage public access (who wants to get exercise through a housing estate when green field is the alternative). It is critical brown field sites are prioritised for housing (in addition to economic development options), and not be accepted of concrete quite so readily. Finally, increased housing activity in the area will also increase the infrastructure requirements already under pressure for not achieving air quality targets (Park Street in particular).
636	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	None
636	

LDP Rep: 637

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
637	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
637	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
637	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
637	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
637	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
637	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
637	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
637	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

637	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
637	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
637	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
637	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
637	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	The development plan envisaged will have significant benefit for the llynfi valley, to include leisure, transport , education, retail, and health and well being. My proposal consists firstly of a new English medium speaking primary school plus a Welsh medium primary school, given that the 3 English medium schools are very old and require a significant capitol investment to bring them into the 21st century. Likewise the Welsh medium school, plus the traffic problems caused by the location of these schools are causing frustration and getting to a stage of absolute grid lock, the schools being nanty, plasnewydd, Garth,English primary schools. And the Welsh primary located at school road and pen yr ysgol Maesteg. With a new school located either on old llwynderw site, and the CPO of squash club, old glan llynfi , and former petrol station this could accommodate a new school with all services to hand. Also the remaining site adjacent to the new comp in Maesteg, hence making it a life long learning facility, meaning from 2yrs until one decides they no longer require to learn or pursue and educational interest. All this would free up land owned by BCBC and offer an income to offset costs or put forward as a match funding grant bid etc, secondly the removal of swimming pool onto site of plasnewydd, elevating all problems and frustration associated with pool location at present, new location adjacent to free car park, and solve school children problem of bus parking on main road and children walking with damp wet hair from the pools present location to the bus. Also relocate the existing bus station also too front of plasnewydd site hence having a straight north south bus route on main road as opposed to the narrow access and egress associated with its present location. This would free up the existing bus station and have great benefit for the Anwen trust and the town hall allowing area to be used as a care park for. Patrons deliveries etc right next to the building encouraging both patrons to the venue, along with traders etc allowing access to market Square and accomodating mobile traders revitalising an area quieter than the cemetery . Thirdly
637	

	reverse the catastrophic design of both Talbot st and commercial at by making it a niche area similar to cowbridge for shopping, place Victorian street lighting and furniture, and a clear canopy over pavements, place bay windows and bull glass where practicable, great a sense of both beauty and unusual shopping experience. Similar to other olde world towns tweksbury for instance. All this presented on a similar basis, and preferred option by the residents many years ago, but yet again ignored by those in power in Bridgend, but we do have a large useless metal egg in the square of the market,along with no electrical supply or uplighters , that was placed during the destination of the marked, all electric in under floors ducting not working. Along with a stone cold bus waiting room with door locked open for 10 hrs a day as it stopped working several years ago and despite numerous requests has never been fixed. This leave all those waiting sitting on steel seats shivering and awaiting heamorides. I know this will take a considerable investment and time, but a promise of committment and the will it can be achieved. The valley like many others has lost all its local industry, and has become a place where you travel FROM AS OPPOSED TOO. WE HAVE A WEALTH TO OFFER, BUT LOOK TO OUR UNITARY AUTHORITY FOR THE LEAD AND COMMITMENT, WHILST THEY ARE STILL IN EXISTENCE, We have seen the development of the comp that is likens to a prison or aircraft hanger, this is no reflection on the staff, pupils head or the education being delivered, but what a monster not the one proposed 20yrs ago, included the a mini wind turbine solar energy. And a swimming pool 4g pitches and an inspiration to others, but what have we got a cheap and nadty shed with frost in winter due to it always being in shade at car parks and enterance, plus a cost that will see developers and investors quadrupling their investment at education's expense and the taxpayer. Please consider the retention long term plan, happy to talk with Charles Huw, or cabinet anytime. Thanks.

LDP Rep: 638

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): Tanio

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	If the numbering of the strategic objectives is any reflection of their importance or the weight they were and will be given, SOBJ4 should be swapped with SOBJ3.
638	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	This is a good strategy, so long as every measure is taken to support the youthful population who currently live in the County Borough who would not be classified as 'skilled' or 'economically active.' Economic growth is important and must be accounted for. But it cannot be done by means of gentrification, and it cannot be done at the expense of people already living in the Borough who are disadvantaged, have low job prospects, limited means to travel into larger commercial areas to get a job, etc.
638	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	It is important to create a strategy that allows for future housing requirements, like this spatial strategy does. I think there is a need to develop and invest in properties that already exist for housing, and not necessarily to just develop new housing. A spatial strategy should include views on how to make the best use of spaces that already exist for these purposes.
638	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Again, this is an excellent goal. Please ensure it reaches to all parts of the Borough, including the poorest wards.
638	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Please ensure that the development of housing to meet future housing needs includes assessing the state and location of housing that already exists. Is there housing that can be refurbished/repurposed, or areas that can be financially supported for renewal, which would reduce the overall number of houses that would need to be built? Focussing on providing the amenities and facilities to promote active, healthy, cohesive, and social communities is where this strategy should begin, and let the need for housing be determined by the outcomes.
638	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Please make sure there are incentives to place businesses in the poorest wards of the county. They need employers. Focus on providing ways to make it easier for businesses to set up and run in poor areas. And make sure businesses are strictly regulated in their environmental impact, and in employment law.
638	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
638	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	The portion of the LDP on waste management is well-written and includes excellent goals and methods.
638	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	I am pleased to see how the LDP speaks of the County's natural and built environments as assets - they are indeed incredibly important to every aspect of life in the Borough, whether its citizens realise it or not. The prioritising of natural spaces can not be placed highly enough. Likewise with historic environments. As I mentioned earlier, if the placement of this portion of the LDP at the end is any reflection of its importance to the Borough, I believe it should be moved higher.
638	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
638	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
638	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	I believe Ogmore and Garw Valleys could accommodate significant growth - it just has to be the right kind of growth. Growth cannot only be measured in the number of houses built, or the number of people who wish to live there, or the number of businesses beating down the door to move there.
638	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
638	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Thank you for your hard work in developing the LDP.
638	

LDP Rep: 639

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
639	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
639	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
639	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
639	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	More affordable housing needed in pen y fai
639	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
639	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
639	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Recycling bins in the area
639	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

639	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Maesteg Rd is extremely busy as it is without increasing the road usage.
639	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
639	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
639	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
639	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Travelling site better suited to the pen y fai/Court colman area. Less homes
639	surrounding the immediate area. Which won't directly impact the residents

LDP Rep: 438

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
438	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Internet nationalised nationwide.
438	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Internet nationalised nationwide.
438	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Internet nationalised nationwide.
438	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Internet nationalised nationwide.
438	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Internet nationalised nationwide.
438	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Internet nationalised nationwide.
438	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Internet nationalised nationwide.
438	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Internet nationalised nationwide.

438	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	positive.
438	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	positive.
438	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Must include Pontycymmer.
438	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Ewenny, Llanharan and Pontyclun must be included for retail, entertainment venues and Better transport links
438	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Internet nationalised nationwide.
438	

LDP Rep: 640

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	SOBJ2- It is crucial that leisure and facilities for young people is factored in. The town needs all weather facilities. The pump track is an excellent idea, climbing walls, outdoor gym facilities, a lido. We have a fabulous location and opportunity to do something transformational and future proof the town for generations. Let's have some vision and be brave. The town wants more than a supermarket and housing which will take away the views. It would great if we could get more independent businesses here too like Goodsheds have done in Barry. The beach fronts at Barry and Aberavon should inspire us to make our seafron even better. This is a coastal jewel in South Wales, and deserves proper consultation with the public about what is needed here.
640	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I'm not clear what the strategy is? Young skilled professionals want resources, we have none. There are no places here that a youthful skilled population can go to, to work or facilities to use? Building houses does not create long term employment. People may well live here but they don't stay here to work. We need to provide better places for people to meet up, work from and socialise which is where leisure becomes a big factor too as well as creating nice environments with plenty of green space and good transport networks.
640	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Parking will be a major consideration, it's not inspirational but necessary. I would hope that prime real estate is not necessarily used for this but existing sites are used to abd improved where necessary. The town is desperate for health and leisure facilities. We are a coastal town and can reinvent our entire economy if we become an environmentally friendly, leisure orientated town. Residents are dick and tired of the plastic, rubbish and the type of people that visit us currently. We need to relaunch our look and feel, lose the chips, cans of beer and disposable BBQ mentality and make the town and surrounding sea fronts areas aspirational and inspiring. If Porthcawl was in Cornwall or Devon, it would be an incredible seaside destination rather than a tired, rundown seaside town that still attracts generations of uneducated people who come here to sit and eat chips on the beach and leave their litter behind. We need better transport, green areas - futuristic, greener technologies and leisure is key to making it work. Healthier populations are more productive. We have a real opportunity. I hope local initiatives like the pump track, swimming facilities like a lido or some lanes, outdoor climbing, gyms and play areas are taken seriously bingo consideration. It would criminal not too. Pls think outside the box, travel, research what's out there. This is an opportunity not to be squandered.
640	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I hope the designs allow Porthcawl to retain a seaside feel and atmosphere and have enough green areas and spaces for people to sit, exercise and socialise.
640	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	We are desperate for a pool whether that is indoor or outdoor. You only have to look at the growth in swimming here to understand that. Pyle pool is not a solution - it is not close enough and it's tired and ugly. Build something in Porthcawl and it will be used by 1000s of people every week. We will need improved infrastructure, extra houses will affect the entire town. How will the local medical centre cope? It's already impossible to get an appointment. Parking?people park on double yellows and illegally already but never get tickets. The majority of people who get tickets are those in car parks.
640	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	A Goodsheds (Barry) style of smaller, independent businesses would be perfect for Porthcawl. We need the artisan brewers, pop up food stalls, and nice places for people to visit and socialise here & an area for events, concerts etc.
640	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
640	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	We need bigger bins throughout the town akin to places like st Ives. Rubbish is a real issue in the town and will only get worse as more houses are built and the population increases. More recycling centres are needed and proper booking/ appointments put in place to avoid long queues to municipal sites. Smaller renewable initiatives for housing developments is needed.
640	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Agree, the natural beauty needs enhancing and tidying up. Let's start by removing all the rubble off Porthcawl point and Trecco, clearing all the rusted twisted metal off our beaches, rebuilding broken steps, removing old posts and concrete and making our beachfronrs world class. Porthcawl take aways need to be plastic free. Let's have bigger bins, more signage for reaching points, proper bike lanes, nice cafes, beach huts, litter wardens, etc.
640	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
640	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Leisure is key and protecting improving green areas for residents and to attract younger people to live and stay here and grow the economy long term.
640	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
640	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
640	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
640	

LDP Rep: 641

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	The creation of urban sprawl that links Bridgend to Laleston with only the width of a lane to separate these two distinct communities is not aligned to SOBJ2, or (what should be) the priority to build on brown-field sites rather than what is in effect green belt.
641	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	As stated in response to question 1, the proposal to subsume Laleston into Bridgend risks unnecessarily destroying the character of the village, and promotes urban sprawl rather than supporting communities and retaining the valued green spaces around Bryntirion and Laleston.
641	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Laleston and Bryntirion should not in any way be considered as priority areas for regeneration under the spatial strategy. It is not credible that a robust scoring system for regeneration would place Laleston/Bryntirion above other areas in the borough.
641	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	As per responses to the previous questions, the proposal to effectively join Bridgend to Laleston takes no account of context, with respect to maintaining the separate communities of Bryntirion and Laleston, and retaining important, green-space amenity.
641	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
641	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
641	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
641	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
641	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	As per responses to previous questions, promoting urban sprawl that destroys the distinctive character of the Borough's historic villages is not consistent with protecting the existing natural and built environment. Any developments around historic villages should maintain a reasonable degree of 'green belt' separation. With an abundance of land in the Borough - especially brown-field and regeneration-priority sites - there is no excuse for destroying character and promoting urban sprawl.
641	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
641	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
641	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
641	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Laleston meets neither Regeneration nor Sustainable growth criteria and, as per responses to earlier questions, should not be subsumed by unnecessary urban sprawl, with the associated loss of distinctive character and green-space amenity.
641	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Please think again!
641	

LDP Rep: 642

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
642	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
642	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
642	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
642	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
642	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
642	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
642	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
642	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

642	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
642	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
642	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
642	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
642	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I object to the proposal of the housing development on greenfield land between Bryntirion and Laleston Village. I feel as though we currently can’t cope with the number of people as it is without further housing being built. Schools, Doctors etc are already full to capacity and struggling to cope with demand.
642	

LDP Rep: 643

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	should include to improve existing Bridgend infrastructure which is very poor
643	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Growth strategy does not include more doctor surgeries, dentists and quality care homes. Expansion without consideration to include these essential services is foolish. Future plans need to improve and implement better social and health care, not just houses and a few schools. Additional homes must be accompanied by supporting health and welfare services.
643	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Bridgend is already oversubscribed with massive housing estates in Broadlands, Brackla, Litchard and Coity. Where are the supporting doctors, dentists, care homes in these conversations? Just one surgery in Brackla which covers Bridgend and Laleston patients, and 1 dentist in Broadlands, which is well oversubscribed and appointments very difficult to get for existing patients. Bridgend will look as if it joins up seamlessly to Pyle - where will the jobs come from?
643	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Good design is overdue. Tree planting along polluted roads should be supported throughout the Borough. No mention of this.
643	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Again no mention of increased health and elderly care provision. More homes nearer jobs. What jobs? More places closing than opening. Consolidate what's existing and provide jobs, green spaces, health provision to a very ugly town. Get off the train in Bridgend and its an horrific dump! How can that impression attract new jobs and businesses?
643	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Yes - its failed miserably so far. Funding large companies who then leave. The only good jobs are in the bloated public sector. The previous Ford site wasn't even in Bridgend - so why hasn't there been more focus on sites within the Bridgend boundary to benefit from the revenues and jobs? Why should any large employer come to Bridgend? What employment prospects? Coronavirus has promoted working from home - where are the enterprise hubs in Bridgend providing drop in facilities? The town lost its cattle market, town hall, tax office - no excuses for the mess up - why
643	

	make it worse with overcrowding an already crowded town with this ridiculous plan? The poorer valleys need most help with jobs, infrastructure, and supporting facilities - not more large housing developments, when all have to travel to work in mainly low skilled low paid jobs.

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	If you think there will be reduced travel demand, that's nonsensical. Existing housing estate roads are totally congested. Money has been wasted on needless extra traffic lights on roundabouts that profligate. How do people get from their homes from these vast estates? Long queues and traffic jams to get out to get to and from work and school. This is not London with an underground system. There are hundreds of families on these estates mostly with 2 cars necessary for each parent to get to work. Rural communities don't have regular buses. How does an old person living alone get to hospital appointments? For example a neighbour with no car from Laleston/Broadlands? 2 buses! Bridgend town shop streets are closed or full of second hand shops, and has no incentive for shoppers to go there. Not even a Marks and Spencer. Its a dump. Bridgend's only saving has been the out of town outlet and out of town supermarkets - why would you go to Bridgend town centre? Take a walk any day - it's depressing, and like an outdoor ashtray. Any eating outdoors is hampered by smokers. Future planning is unlikely to make it improve as most large retailers are abandoning the high streets in favour of online shopping or outlets. Bridgend has lost most of its individual retailers: department stores London House, Lees, and specialist retailers, nice clothes shops, baby shops, china shops, 3 nice hotels often fully booked and competing to host weddings - all individually making a visit to Bridgend a treat. Now Bridgend is ruined and a derelict ghost town. Look around - the most recent building is really ugly - the town multi storey car park. No wonder no one want to be there. Shopping ares in the vast estates have many empty premises - where is the architectural beauty around these areas?
643	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Target all retailers to limit their packaging - moreso now that online sales are increasing exponentially, too much cardboard and plastic. Improve access to waste tips - huge queues. Penalise dumping in the lanes - make it easier to dispose of large household items
643	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Laudable, but what are the goals? How does joining up Bryntirion to Laleston, and Pyle to Stormy Down improve the environment? Bryntirion Broadlands Llangewydd Court Cefn Glas are already oversubscribed. Where are the environmental improvements in these areas? Llangewydd /Bryntirion fields to Laleston are going to be developed - not enhancing green areas.
643	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
643	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Why a bus terminus on the front? Link from Broadlands to Pyle new rail station?
643	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
643	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Yes, these areas are already heavily populated without the supporting health or welfare facilities. Bridgend is already overpopulated for employment and the services available. A new school is mentioned but not health centres or increased care home facilities.
643	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Re: Bridgend Start again and be realistic in respect of the current overcrowding and massive estates in Cefn Glas, Llangewydd Court, Brackla, Bryntirion, Broadlands, Litchard, Coity - not enough medical centres , care homes, too many run down areas - start with enhancing what's here, and make it a more green and pleasant environment to live in. Re: Valleys - improve the housing stock and transport links
643	

LDP Rep: 644

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Environmentally friendly, community minded and should promote healthy lifestyle
644	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Community projects to employ local young people, farmers market to support local produce, good and unique facilities to attract tourists to the area
644	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	We do not need more industrial/business parks. More affordable housing is needed. Please please please we need better cycle routes like Holland. I would love to see a safe cycle route all the way from Pyle train station to Porthcawl. Families could get the train to Pyle and cycle to Porthcawl. Park & Ride would be good too, to decrease the car traffic in Porthcawl. Community spaces for pop up, unique, local businesses
644	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Raised beds in community areas with edible plants, see “edible Bristol” protect. Spaces should be for everyone, focusing on the environment and local produce and businesses. Think like Totnes!!
644	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Affordable housing for families and first time buyers. There are enough luxury properties around. First time buyers need a helping hand
644	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Think like Totnes in Devon. Less big corporations and multi international companies. We need healthy, unique, local businesses. We want Porthcawl to stand out. Devon and Cornwall have made some amazing changes of the last few years and have some really unique place that draw people to the area
644	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Unique, local, environmentally friendly, supporting local businesses
644	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?

ID	Think sustainable
644	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Embrace and make the most of the fantastic coastal environment. Promote water sports and activities. Have a bike pump track. Have a skate park/ramp… all these things draw people to an area
644	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
644	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Better cycle routes between Pyle & Porthcawl please, park & ride, skate park/ramp, bike pump track, promotion of water sports
644	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
644	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
644	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Please take the opportunity to make these areas unique and an example to other areas with regards to sustainability and supporting local businesses and community projects
644	

LDP Rep: 645

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	no
645	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	no economic growth in the Ogmore valley
645	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	doubtful
645	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	judging from the recent work on the washeries site in Ogmore, no vision, poor design and lacking in class and sustainability.
645	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	increase in housing stock, good idea but poor services in Ogmore would preclude it without clear and hard evidence of positive change.
645	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	The Valley taskforce promised employment investment, it hasn't happened.
645	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Too late for Bridgend without major investment and clean up.
645	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	each authority seems to be different, why is that? Removal of the tip in Ogmore was a major mistake. The proposed new one is too far away and the current one is very poor against others.
645	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	If in the vicinity have a look at the Mosaic on the washeries site in Ogmore, proposed to be a site of interest. Promotion of tourism in Wales has been poor for years and in South Wales where is the preservation of culture?
645	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
645	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
645	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	currently the bus service stops at 8.30 pm to Ogmore Vale. How can you develop tourism hubs with such poor transport links. The cycle route is an asset that is not supported or maintained as it should be to become a tourist attraction. Rubbish is everywhere, not enough bins or benches and motivation is at an all time low. I could go on.....
645	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
645	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
645	

LDP Rep: 646

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
646	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
646	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Whilst obviously more housing will be needed and can't be fulfilled by reducing the number of empty properties in the county, Bridgend is chocking. Long queues on the roads, insufficient public transport, insufficient provision of schools, medical facilities, … all have to be addressed urgently. The authorisation of yet another fast food outlet and enlarged shop in the A48 by-pass area near MacDonalds does not inspire confidence in the planning authorities. The residents of Picton Gardens for example already have sever problems. The road out of the retail area onto the A48 by-pass is totally inadequate. The second lane immediately before the roundabout is completely useless and with the building work in progress clearly this problem will not be addressed.
646	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
646	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I am very happy with the development of cycle and pedestrian friendly routes which was long overdue. Having seen the terrible birth of the Brackla estate I'm happy to see that lessons were learned in the design of Broadlands (if not perfect). All facilities including transport, local shops, schools, medical facilities, … have to be included in new developments from the start.
646	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
646	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	

646	Clearly more needs to be done to rejuvenate Bridgend town centre but this is true of many towns across the U.K. Some towns seem to buck this trend. Many Bridgend residents will go to Cowbridge for a day out but wouldn't consider going to Bridgend. Why? The architectural changes are welcome. Pedestrianisation (unpopular with many) is a benefit to the town but has to allow businesses to be serviced. Parking is inadequate. Consideration might be given to using park and ride with improved bus services. A road train (similar to Porthcawl) might be considered to transport less able bodied people around the town without resorting to allowing these people vehicle access.

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
646	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Bridgend has already lost so much of its historical heritage. What is left needs to be retained for future enjoyment.
646	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
646	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
646	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
646	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I'm happy with the progress of cycle and walking routes. This needs to continue. Consideration needs to be given for securing bicycles in the town. The large-bore bars for securing bicycles currently are too thick to allow the use of many high security locks. Public transport is inadequate at present.
646	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
646	

LDP Rep: 647

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Climate change mitigation activities should be explicitly called out within objectives
647	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Agree with the approach
647	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Green field sites should not be considered as viable options for expansion.
647	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Too vague. I'm not clear on a practical level what this means. I would support a more sustainable community with access to green spaces for all.
647	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Agree with the principle.
647	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	There has been a significant switch to working from home in the last 18 months. How will this plan consider requirements for improved infrastructure ie super fast broadband to support this?
647	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Lifestyle habits are changing. I agree with areas for the community to meet for well being purposes but less focus should be on retail centres. Trends indicate that online retail activities are the growth area.
647	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	None
647	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	This should be a key focus for the LDP. Improving access to local open spaces and cultural landmarks will contribute to improved well being in the region.
647	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	None
647	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	None
647	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Public transport must be the priority.
647	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Road network (including railway crossings) issues must be resolved prior to any development.
647	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
647	

LDP Rep: 648

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	The development would destroy the greenbelt land and the long held access to local walkways / cycle paths, it would also have an effect on the habitat of local wildlife, all this without taking into account the lack of local infrastructure even prior to the development.
648	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
648	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
648	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
648	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
648	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
648	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
648	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
648	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
648	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
648	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
648	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
648	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
648	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
648	

LDP Rep: 652

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Please see my letter sent by e-mail to ldp@bridgend.gov.uk, consultation@bridgend.gov.uk and planning@bridgend.gov.uk on 02/07/2021.
652	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Please see my letter sent by e-mail to ldp@bridgend.gov.uk, consultation@bridgend.gov.uk and planning@bridgend.gov.uk on 02/07/2021.
652	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Please see my letter sent by e-mail to ldp@bridgend.gov.uk, consultation@bridgend.gov.uk and planning@bridgend.gov.uk on 02/07/2021.
652	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Please see my letter sent by e-mail to ldp@bridgend.gov.uk, consultation@bridgend.gov.uk and planning@bridgend.gov.uk on 02/07/2021.
652	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Please see my letter sent by e-mail to ldp@bridgend.gov.uk, consultation@bridgend.gov.uk and planning@bridgend.gov.uk on 02/07/2021.
652	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Please see my letter sent by e-mail to ldp@bridgend.gov.uk, consultation@bridgend.gov.uk and planning@bridgend.gov.uk on 02/07/2021.
652	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Please see my letter sent by e-mail to ldp@bridgend.gov.uk, consultation@bridgend.gov.uk and planning@bridgend.gov.uk on 02/07/2021.
652	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Please see my letter sent by e-mail to ldp@bridgend.gov.uk, consultation@bridgend.gov.uk and planning@bridgend.gov.uk on 02/07/2021.
652	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

652	Please see my letter sent by e-mail to ldp@bridgend.gov.uk, consultation@bridgend.gov.uk and planning@bridgend.gov.uk on 02/07/2021.

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Please see my letter sent by e-mail to ldp@bridgend.gov.uk, consultation@bridgend.gov.uk and planning@bridgend.gov.uk on 02/07/2021.
652	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Please see my letter sent by e-mail to ldp@bridgend.gov.uk, consultation@bridgend.gov.uk and planning@bridgend.gov.uk on 02/07/2021.
652	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Please see my letter sent by e-mail to ldp@bridgend.gov.uk, consultation@bridgend.gov.uk and planning@bridgend.gov.uk on 02/07/2021.
652	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Please see my letter sent by e-mail to ldp@bridgend.gov.uk, consultation@bridgend.gov.uk and planning@bridgend.gov.uk on 02/07/2021.
652	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Please see my letter sent by e-mail to ldp@bridgend.gov.uk, consultation@bridgend.gov.uk and planning@bridgend.gov.uk on 02/07/2021.
652	

E-mail [redacted]

Consultations (LDP)
Bridgend County Borough Council
Civic Offices
Bridgend
CF31 4WB

2nd July 2021

Dear Sir / Madam

REPLACEMENT BRIDGEND LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN 2018 TO 2033: POLICY PLA3 LAND WEST OF BRIDGEND, BRIDGEND SUSTAINABLE GROWTH AREA

We write to express deep concern in relation to **Policy PLA3: Land West of Bridgend, Bridgend Sustainable Growth Area** of the Deposit Plan prepared to underpin preparation of the Replacement Local Development Plan (LDP) 2018-2033. We also write to object to the inclusion of the policy, and ask for this policy to be deleted from the final LDP.

Our objection is on the following basis:

- Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3,000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. Furthermore, to make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons.
- Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed.
- Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. Traffic on the A473 and High Street of Laleston will be substantially increased, leading to reduced air quality, increased hazards, increased noise, increased emissions not contributing to climate change commitments. In addition, the 30mph speed limit on the A473 is not respected and with an additional 850 households using the road, crossings and combined cycle/footway, the risk of accidents substantially increases. Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity.

- The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. The separation of Laleston and Bryntirion will be removed, leading to coalescence of the two settlements. This would occur even with the proposed provision of mitigation in the form of a strategic green corridor. A small strip of land between Laleston and the development will not prevent coalescence. There will now be no separation between the settlements as proposed development extends to the boundary of Laleston. There would be just a single-track lane now separating Laleston and 'Bryntirion/Bridgend', and immediately across the High Street/A473 is Broadlands. Effectively there will be no separation and Laleston will become part of Bridgend, which is something the previous LDP explicitly sought to avoid. The development will effectively de-designate the Green Wedge (ENV2(4)) policy under the current LDP. We do not feel that this contributes to sustainable placemaking. No evidence has been provided that alternatives outside the Green Wedge have been considered, as there are many areas around the county that do not have a Green Wedge designation that could be considered;
- The site has an inherently rural aspect. It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council's objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough.
- This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims' way, Ffordd y Gyfraith ("The Way of the Law"), and a drovers' road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site which could be destroyed by the proposed development. Cultural heritage assets and their settings within and around the site will also be degraded, including the Remains of Llangewydd Church and Churchyard Scheduled Ancient Monument.
- The development will effectively de-designate the Special Landscape Area (ENV3(7)) under the current LDP. We do not feel that this contributes to sustainable placemaking. No evidence has been provided that alternatives outside the SLA have been considered as there are many areas around the county that do not have this designation that could be developed. The character and setting of the Special Landscape Area (SLA) will be adversely affected by the extensive urbanisation. Views into and out of the SLA will be compromised, and the development will visually intrude in the landscape in the same way that Broadlands, Brackla or Parc Derwen have fundamentally changed the landscape character of the areas they sit within. Views out of Laleston are already compromised by the Broadlands housing estate, which the development under PLA3 will be very similar to. Hard infrastructure is a stark contrast to the surrounding open space and agricultural setting, even with any requirements for screening.
- Valuable agricultural land and rich biodiversity will be lost by the development. The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. This should be viewed in light of the Climate Emergency declared by the Welsh Government[1], the recently declared Nature Emergency[2], and the Environment (Wales) Act 2016[3] which places responsibilities upon public bodies as follows: *"6(1) A public authority must seek to maintain and enhance biodiversity in the exercise of functions*

[1] https://gov.wales/welsh-government-makes-climate-emergency-declaration
[2] https://www.endsreport.com/article/1721069/a-landmark-moment-welsh-parliament-declares-nature-emergency
[3] https://www.legislation.gov.uk/anaw/2016/3/part/1/crossheading/general-duties-of-public-authorities/enacted

in relation to Wales, and in so doing promote the resilience of ecosystems, so far as consistent with the proper exercise of those functions."

- The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community.
- No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity.
- This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Pen-y-fai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west.
- There is a desire in Section 2.3.2 of the deposit plan for Laleston to "*maintain a healthy balance between younger people, those of working age and those who are retired across each settlement*". The proposed development will not contribute to achieving this and will prohibit its achievement as the proposed development will attract predominantly younger residents and so will take those younger residents from Laleston.
- The proposed development is large in scale, effectively a larger settlement than Laleston. This scale of development is inappropriate in such close proximity to a smaller village, in much the same way as the village of Coity has been subsumed by the large-scale development of Parc Derwen, which has affected the nature and amenity value of the village.
- The proposed development is unbalanced in its siting when considered alongside the other strategic policies in the draft LDP. No strategic policies are proposed in the northern half of the county. This will have the effect of concentrating economic development and social mobility in the south of the county, which won't achieve the LDP objectives of delivering for the whole county.
- The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous.
    - Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated.
    - Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards.

- Large-scale housing development of this nature will degrade a sensitive area of Bridgend County that is widely valued for its amenity, rural nature, public access and heritage, and contributes significantly to the well-being of those living in Broadlands, Bryntirion, Laleston and Pen-y-fai. During the recent COVID-19 pandemic, when we were instructed by the Welsh Government to only leave our homes for exercise, the area was heavily used by walkers, runners and cyclists as it so positively contributes to the well-being of residents of the local area – it is a pleasant place to be. This value has not been considered in the decision-making thus far in the development of the LDP.

Overall, the proposed policy puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made, and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

We request to be informed of the details of the examination of the plan by the Inspector, and whether this will be an Examination in Public, and whether there will be the opportunity to speak or make representations to the examination.

Yours faithfully

By e-mail only to:

- *ldp@bridgend.gov.uk*
- *consultation@bridgend.gov.uk*
- *planning@bridgend.gov.uk*

LDP Rep: 649

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	The developments are not sensitive to the area and have no foresight for a seaside town.
649	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	no
649	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Again no foresight on where these houses are to be placed, stop using prime land.
649	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Our opinions never matter so what's the point, consultation has been forgotten
649	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	no
649	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	With business failing locally and towns becoming ghost towns unless manufacturing returns there will still be issues with employment
649	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Stop building retail on prime development land ie Porthcawl putting a supermarket on salt lake is ridiculous this area should be regenerated with leisure and pleasure to suit the seaside tourist resort, this would bring more jobs to the area but this county would prefer to make it a concrete jungle its disgraceful
649	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	no
649	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	no
649	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	no
649	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	There should be no residential areas built on Salt lake or Sandy bay these areas should be for leisure, pleasure and open space for use by tourists and residents, your plan will ruin what Porthcawl is all about regenerate the pleasure park and open it all year round this will bring more jobs to the area. We facilities for our young generation, such as cinema, youth clubs, activities, they are the future, bring nightlife back to the area.
649	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	no
649	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	no
649	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Please do not go ahead will all the non sensical regeneration for Porthcawl without public consultation, this is jewel in the area and could bring valuable revenue to the area if developed correctly.
649	

LDP Rep: 650

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	The development would obliterate irreplaceable historical and ecological resources. That provide areas of outstanding natural beauty and would be greatly detrimental to mental and physical wellbeing of my young family.
650	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
650	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
650	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
650	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
650	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
650	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
650	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
650	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	
650	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
650	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
650	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
650	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
650	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
650	

LDP Rep: 651

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Llangewydd Road is already a busy road with a large amount of vehicles using it. With this new development this amount of vehicles would become much higher.
651	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
651	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	There are already 4 primary schools feeding into Bryntirion Comprehensive School. Would the school be able to accommodate the influx of extra pupils from this developments not to mention the pre-existing primary schools in the area?
651	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
651	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	It would be interesting to know if there are plans to build a new health centre within the locality of this new purposed development. The new Bridgend Practise Group is already over stretched and the chance of getting an appointment to actual see a doctor or at best speak to one is like winning the lottery. With an increase of 850 more households signing up for the existing doctors surgeries that already are over loaded with patients, will be at breaking point. I am sure that this would also be true of the extra pressure that would be put on the Princess of Wales hospital.
651	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
651	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Bridgend town centre is in a very poor state at this moment in time with many shops closed down. It would be interesting to see how this proposed development could change this and what Bridgend County Borough Council are doing to encourage new retailers into the area. As it stands I find Bridgend Town Centre a very hostile environment to be and only venture there when absolutely necessary. You need to be thinking of regenerating these areas.
651	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
651	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	You state that you want to conserve and enhance the natural and historic environment but by building these 850 houses on this beautiful land you will destroy those very things. You would be encroaching on Llangewydd Road lane which is of great historical importance dating back to pre-historic times and in medieval times as a pilgrims ways providing access to Margam Abbey. The green land and hedgerows are of great importance and is essential to local wildlife and bird population, not to mention the many species of plants and wide flowers. Having lived on the edge of this land for the past 27 years we often walk our dogs along the lane and down to Laleston. If this development was to go ahead this would no longer be possible. The amount of traffic pollution would also increase making the crossing of the lane between the Pen y Bont football club and the adjacent field dangerous for the many children that use this route. It would be interesting to know about how this development will affect the carbon footprint.
651	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
651	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
651	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
651	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
651	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
651	

LDP Rep: 653

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	The building of 850 houses inland between Laleston and Bryntirion, I am against this
653	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Agree there is a need for growth but not at the cost of over population in some areas where there is already not enough schools, green areas, doctor's. We need to look after what we all ready have as in maintaining our roads which are in a terrible condition along with pavements, grass cutting, facilities for everyone.
653	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Housing development in areas where schools are already full to capacity, and taking away green areas, lack of doctors surgeries to meet the growing needs, poor road conditions, up keep of green areas, children's facilities, poor lighting, pollution should all be considered first. The proposed building of 850 houses between Laleston and Bryntirion is something I appose
653	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Am apposing the building of 850 houses inland between Laleston and Bryntirion as it will not improve the environment or people's well being. It will only serve to stretch what we have even more affecting all provision of services.
653	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	The planned increase in housing supply between Laleston and Bryntirion will cause many problems unless education facilities are provided to match growth. Social and community services would also need to be targeted, state of roads, lighting, upkeep of the facilities we already have that are being neglected. The impact on road travel / congestion will also be a problem should this go ahead.
653	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
653	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Bridgend town needs a total shake up and an incentive to attract new businesses. As it is now it's not a nice place to visit. I don't feel safe due to people who hang around down under the influence of substances. We need more shops open and bigger
653	

	choice, one shoe shop in Bridgend is not enough. Too many empty shops that need addressing , bringing in retailers that will encourage people to come into town

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
653	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
653	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
653	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Proposals for Porthcawl Waterfront will be beneficial to many
653	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	There is a need for improvement to transport and roads as there is a need for better links to Bridgend and surrounding areas.
653	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	There is a need for improved transport in this area and again to take into account the loss of open green spaces, maintenance of already poor roads, lighting. Any growth regarding building of houses should consider size of schools to accommodate growth, plus ample community, health provisions
653	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No
653	

LDP Rep: 654

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	SOBJ4 - The opposite will be achieved. The huge housing increase in the Bridgend area will damage the nearby places of natural beauty and negatively impact the fauna and flora.Two European protected species are recorded on the Island farm site, which the council proposes to build 850 new houses.
654	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	The increase in housing in the Bridgend area is not in line with other areas of the U.K. It is disproportionate and further increase will put even more pressure on the already overburdened public resources, schools, doctors, hospital etc.
654	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	The proposed development of Island farm is on a green natural area. Why are the council not developing brown field sites instead, such as the disused Ford factory site?
654	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	The relationship with the surrounding area will be compromised if the island Farm development is allowed to go-ahead. Its close proximity to the beautiful and much loved village of Merthyr Mawr and the sand dunes at Candelstin will mean a vast increase in visitors to these areas. The Car park is often at full capacity on summer weekends, and cars then start to be parked throughout the village instead. The incredible range of flora on the dunes, including rare orchids, will be damaged by the huge increase in footfall if the 850 new houses are build, and these adjacent places of natural beauty become their nearest location for recreation in nature.
654	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	The Island farm development should instead of new housing, provide sporting, cultural and community facilities for the already over populated area, to alleviate the already overburdened public amenities. Sports fields and gardens for public use instead of more housing would genuinely improve the objective of enhancing health, physical activity and social cohesion. Re-wilding areas could also improve the connection of the local community with the natural environment, promoting health and wellbeing.
654	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Bringing 850 extra households to the area, as proposed in the island Farm development, will place more of a burden on employment.
654	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	The choice and quantity of retail outside of the town centre in the Bridgend area seems very high.
654	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	The additional traffic that the Island Farm development would create with its 850 new households, would massively increase carbon emissions in the area. The queues at the Stormy Down tip are already significant. This, and waste management in general in the area, will be put under more pressure with a higher population.
654	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Merthyr Mawr Village, the Sand Dunes, beach and Ogmore River are already at very high capacity from visitors from the immediate Bridgend area. Biodiversity is already under threat. Any increase in the nearby population would severely impact these places of natural beauty. I do not consider the proposed development at Island Farm to be sustainable. I believe it does not adhere to the Council's policy on The Natural and Built Environment.
654	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
654	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Porthcawl Waterfront - The promised communication with local residents and groups has not sufficiently taken place. The area is currently a very necessary overflow carpark for busy times. Already the traffic situation is at full capacity, with visitors parking on yellow lines, receiving fines of £50 because they have no choice. Families arrive for a day at the Fun Fair and beach to find there is no where to park. This proposed development is unwanted by the residents of Porthcawl, and will be unpopular with visitors as they will not be able to park to use the facilities. The Transport Hub at Pyle sound like a very good idea. All methods of reducing traffic and carbon emissions like this are welcome.
654	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Improved public transport is vital for the area, as are walking and cycling routes. Improving the highway network to accommodate more traffic will simply increase traffic and negatively impact the natural environment and public health through higher emissions.
654	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Improved public transport is vital for the area, as are walking and cycling routes. Improving the highway network to accommodate more traffic will simply increase
654	

	traffic and negatively impact the natural environment and public health through higher emissions. My previous comments outline my belief that increased housing in the area will be disastrous to residents, fauna and flora.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No
654	

LDP Rep: 655

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I'm very concerned about the proposal to build 850 houses between Bryntirion and Laleston. The impact on the environment and local wildlife would be devastating. Also, the increase in traffic would lead to serious problems for the village of Laleston to enable access to the A48 and the town centre via Park Street. Water and sewage is another problem.
655	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	The growth strategy is very important in any area, but why not build these houses away from an already built-up area. Surely, there are acres of open land, which could be used for this purpose. The infra-structure has to be right - water treatment, gas, electric, schools, surgeries, dentists, etc.
655	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I do not see how this proposed settlement will benefit the area without the proper infrastructure put in place.
655	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I agree that well-thought out design processes, which support the community are essential, but am concerned about this development will not deliver that.
655	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Nothing further to add.
655	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Nothing further to add.
655	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Nothing further to add.
655	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No further comment to make.

655	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	No further comment to make.
655	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No.
655	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No.
655	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No.
655	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No.
655	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No further comments to make.
655	

LDP Rep: 656

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	As a Porthcawl resident I believe development works in the town should be centred around creating spaces that benefit all generations of the community. Flexible, multi-use internal and external spaces would be a real asset to the town and could create opportunity for activities such as; - Youth services - Collaborative working - Exercise - Performance space(s) - Outdoor space that can be utilised for market stalls and pop-up events Leisure facilities should also be a focus such as a Lido and an all-wheel park facility. I believe both facilities would be welcomed by all generations of residents and tourists and become successful in many ways. All new buildings including housing should be examples of sustainable design and construction, maximising opportunities for nature based solutions such as such as green roofs, green walls, rain gardens, trees and other green infrastructure to generate a wide range of benefits. It is exciting that the town has this opportunity to demonstrate how positive regeneration can be and I'm hopeful that this will be realised.
656	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	A flexible space where young professionals can work collaboratively would maximise economic growth.
656	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
656	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	All new buildings including housing should be examples of sustainable design and construction, maximising opportunities for nature based solutions such as such as green roofs, green walls, rain gardens, trees and other green infrastructure to generate a wide range of benefits.
656	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Flexible, multi-use internal and external spaces would be a real asset to the town and could create opportunity for activities such as; - Youth services - Collaborative working - Exercise - Performance space(s) - Outdoor space that can be utilised for market stalls and pop-up events Leisure facilities should also be a focus such as a Lido and an all-wheel park facility. I believe both facilities would be welcomed by all generations of residents and tourists and become successful in many ways.
656	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?

ID	A youth service and flexible spaces for collaborative working would increase employment rates.
656	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Independent businesses should be prioritised.
656	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Porthcawl and the wider region is already powered predominantly by renewable and low carbon electricity. But few people are aware of this. BCBC should do more to celebrate the great work being done by centres such as Park Stormy.
656	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	All new buildings including housing should be examples of sustainable design and construction, maximising opportunities for nature based solutions such as such as green roofs, green walls, rain gardens, trees and other green infrastructure to generate a wide range of benefits.
656	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
656	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	As a Porthcawl resident I believe development works in the town should be centred around creating spaces that benefit all generations of the community. Flexible, multi-use internal and external spaces would be a real asset to the town and could create opportunity for activities such as; - Youth services - Collaborative working - Exercise - Performance space(s) - Outdoor space that can be utilised for market stalls and pop-up events Leisure facilities should also be a focus such as a Lido and an all-wheel park facility. I believe both facilities would be welcomed by all generations of residents and tourists and become successful in many ways. All new buildings including housing should be examples of sustainable design and construction, maximising opportunities for nature based solutions such as such as green roofs, green walls, rain gardens, trees and other green infrastructure to generate a wide range of benefits. It is exciting that the town has this opportunity to demonstrate how positive regeneration can be and I'm hopeful that this will be realised.
656	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
656	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	

656	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
656	

LDP Rep: 657

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
657	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Grow engagement with the over 50's population to share skills with other groups. No bias for older workers joining the local workforce encouraging employers to adopt flexible fair working practices
657	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Invest in the local halo centre, grow the town centre encourage residents to shop in the local bridgend area. Local produce markets more frequently grow the town centre offer
657	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	More parks more routes to walk especially from coity side of Brackla the walk currently is dangerous from b and q roundabout to coity.
657	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Curb ant more building in Brackla preserve the green spaces
657	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Grow local employment opportunities drive businesses from outside wales you invest in the area. Get back to when the Sony factories were fully functioning in Bridgend
657	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
657	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
657	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
657	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
657	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
657	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
657	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Improved walking and cycle routes Brackla
657	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
657	

LDP Rep: 658

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Pencoed is losing far too much grass space and natural beauty to housing that this young generation cannot afford. Schools and Dr's, dentists, are over capacity.
658	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	The majority of houses built in Pencoed are unaffordable to the generation currently looking to buy and stay in Pencoed, forcing them to move into the valleys
658	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Pencoed cannot afford to lose anymore areas of natural beauty. The plan to build next to Ty Merchant needs to stop.
658	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
658	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Pencoed is now over subscribed we do not need more houses, we need bigger schools, dentists and drs as well as more parks and recreational facilities
658	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	There are enough empty buildings on existing industrial estates to accommodate new businesses even if they are knocked down and rebuilt, focus on regeneration of them for new business.
658	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	A well planned town centre needs to happen with recreational areas for children with spacious family themed eateries. Popular brand shops for all ages need to be enticed to Bridgend town with covered walkways, more parking.
658	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
658	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Pencoed cannot cope with it's current population so it doesn't need further housing. It needs thd small amount of natural beauty safeguarded.
658	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
658	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
658	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
658	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I object to the plan for the east of Pencoed, it will take valuable natural beauty
658	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
658	

LDP Rep: 659

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
659	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
659	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
659	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
659	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
659	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
659	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
659	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
659	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

659	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
659	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
659	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
659	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
659	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
659	

LDP Rep: 660

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
660	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
660	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
660	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
660	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
660	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
660	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
660	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
660	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

660	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
660	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
660	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
660	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
660	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
660	

LDP Rep: 661

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
661	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
661	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
661	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
661	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
661	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
661	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
661	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
661	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

661	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
661	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
661	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
661	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
661	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
661	

LDP Rep: 662

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
662	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
662	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
662	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
662	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
662	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
662	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
662	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
662	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

662	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
662	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
662	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
662	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I have recently heard of a major housing development of some 850 houses around the village of Laleston. Such a massive development would mean something like 2000 people who would own approximately 1000 vehicles. This would have a huge impact on the local communities, schools and the NHS services (it is difficult to get to see a doctor now). Also with this huge increase in traffic on our already full roads it would be a serious hazard to everyone. I therefore totally disagree to this proposal and most strongly protect against it.
662	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
662	

LDP Rep: 663

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
663	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
663	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
663	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
663	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
663	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
663	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
663	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
663	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

663	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
663	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
663	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
663	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
663	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. I have problems with change and if this goes ahead it will be confusing for me. I ride my bike up to Laleston and down Llangewydd Road as it is good for my mental health. The countryside makes me feel good and I like the peace. Please don't take that away from me.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
663	

LDP Rep: 664

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
664	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
664	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
664	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
664	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
664	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
664	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
664	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
664	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

664	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
664	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
664	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
664	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
664	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
664	

LDP Rep: 665

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
665	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
665	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Regeneration growth areas. To the best of my knowledge the meaning of regeneration is the enhancement of an area for the benefit of future generations. Can anyone explain why the building of a supermarket and five storey apartments blocks on salt lake car park in porthcawl will give pleasure to future generations. On a sunny day porthcawl is inundated with families wanting to enjoy our beaches. Concreting over our main car park will prevent future generations enjoying our town. Quite often all porthcawls car parks are full to capacity and car owners often have to illegally park on grass verges. Porthcawl will become a no go area if this crazy plan comes to fruition. Surely the mega millions of pounds that the council will acquire when they sell the land at Sandy bay would pay for the resurfacing of salt lake car park.
665	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	The design of salt lake should be 70% car parking and 30% leisure. Just grassed areas with picnic tables for children to play would be adequate.
665	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Housing supply should not come before the welfare of future generations
665	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
665	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	The supermarket planned for porthcawl should not be built on the Seafront. Your development policies are unrealistic. Go and visit port talbot seafront and gaze in wonder at all the facilites they have there for our children and the next generation.
665	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Largest wind turbines in uk planned from margam towards caerau. Area of great beauty, another sell out for profit.
665	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Don’t think building a supermarket and housing on our sea front will encourage tourism. People want to be able to park in porthcawl otherwise they won’t visit.
665	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
665	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	The salt lake development proposed for porthcawl seafront is crazy. 70% of salt lake should be used for car parking and 30% leisure.. on a sunny day all porthcawls car parks are full and people are illegally parking on grass verges. Where are all the residents and tourists going to park when visiting our seafront?
665	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
665	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
665	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	This is a democratic society and porthcawl residents should be listened to, we do not want development on salt lake.
665	

LDP Rep: 666

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
666	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Mo
666	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
666	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
666	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No
666	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
666	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
666	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
666	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	No

666	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
666	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No
666	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
666	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No
666	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Map 22 - the sustainable growth area that cuts through the old parc hospital burial ground, should be redrawn and that plot of land excluded from any development plans in order that the land can be protected.
666	

LDP Rep: 667

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
667	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
667	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
667	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
667	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
667	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
667	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
667	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
667	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

667	The Parc Hospital burial plot on Heol Spencer in coity needs to be removed from any planned settlement boundaries.

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
667	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
667	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
667	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	The parc hospital on Heol Spencer needs to be excluded from any plans
667	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
667	

LDP Rep: 668

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	there has been enough development in this area ,with broadlands being bigger than planned , there is also a new development behind bryntirion foot ball club , and as it stands at the moment the schools are running at full capacity , the area needs the green area as it is a place of local beauty .
668	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
668	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	the schools are at full capacity at the moment .
668	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	this area is steeped in history , the field in question runs parallel the an old coach road originally running from Bridgend to Swansea .
668	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	again the schools willnot be able to cope with the influx of new occupants .
668	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	there is not enough employment in the area as it is .
668	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
668	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
668	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
668	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
668	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
668	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
668	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
668	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
668	

LDP Rep: 669

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): [redacted]

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Save the City Graveyard.
669	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Save the City Graveyard
669	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Save the Coity graveyard
669	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Save the Coity Graveyard
669	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Save the Coity graveyard
669	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Save the Coity graveyard
669	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Save the Coity graveyard
669	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Save the Coity graveyard
669	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Save the Coity graveyard

669	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Save the Coity graveyard
669	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Save the Coity graveyard
669	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Save the Coity graveyard
669	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Save the Coity graveyard
669	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Save the Coity graveyard
669	

LDP Rep: 670

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Not only should the boundary be re-drawn to exclude the entirety of the field but it should also be afforded specific protection.
670	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
670	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
670	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
670	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
670	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
670	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
670	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
670	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

670	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
670	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
670	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
670	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
670	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
670	

LDP Rep: 506

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
506	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
506	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
506	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
506	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
506	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
506	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
506	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
506	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

506	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
506	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
506	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
506	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
506	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. To quote Council Leader Huw David "We're very lucky in Bridgend County Borough to have such a wealth of green spaces around us - you don't need to get into your car to enjoy the nature and wildlife around us. Exercise plays an important part in keeping all of us physically and mentally healthy". Taken from BCBC website "Green Spaces to explore from your doorstep" Feb 2020. Well you are going to take this away from many, many people who walk from Bryntirion to Laleston or up Llangewydd Road into Gypsy Lane. Including me! I have found solace in walking up into Laleston and back home via the lanes and Llangewydd Road. It is something that has helped both my mental and physical health during the covid pandemic. Where else can I take my grandaughter and see cows and sheep? You have given permission for houses to be built at Broadlands, Morgans Meadow, and the town end of Llangewydd Road. Why must we be subjected to more development and less green space? The infrastructure is just not in place to support 850 houses. Pupils have been turned down from going to local schools because there are no places and have to travel further afield without the friends they have been brought up with. Within

	Bridgend Borough there has been a loss of over 250 long term placements for the elderly with the closure of Abergarw care home, Maesgwyn hospital and Maesteg hospital wards. Respite care for the elderly and those suffering mental illness is shocking within the borough putting strain on carers. Please reconsider this proposal.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
506	

LDP Rep: 672

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
672	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
672	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
672	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
672	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
672	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
672	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
672	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
672	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

672	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
672	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
672	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
672	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
672	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
672	

LDP Rep: 673

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
673	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
673	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
673	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
673	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
673	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
673	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
673	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
673	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

673	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
673	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
673	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
673	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I write to strongly object to the above proposal being included in your draft development plan and request it be deleted from the final LDP on the following grounds: While I acknowledge you are obliged to provide new housing year on year I feel the infrastructure here in West Bridgend is already stretched beyond capacity with all the recent developments we have had. The Barratt site off Barnes Ave is still ongoing and causing considerable disruption from construction traffic let alone the environmental damage already inflicted. A new site of Llangewydd and Cefn Glas roads adding yet more traffic, now and in the future. I feel we are residents of Cefn Gas and Bryntirion have already shouldered more than our fair share of new housing. 850 new homes is inevitably going to lead to well over 1000 more cars on already full roads as public transport is nowhere sufficient to fill the travel requirements of working families. As I am sure you are aware, at certain times of day road congestion around west Bridgend is severe, access to and from Broadlands either at the A48 of A473 junctions is appalling. Likewise many other roads around Cefn Glas, for example during school run times are almost grid locked. Yet more development is only going to make this far worse. As stated, infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school has not caught up with the previous and ongoing housebuilding. Further expansion of Bryntirion comprehensive school is doubtful due to already stated started road sacks constraints. Section 106 contributions (a weak cop out) from a developer would therefore be futile. I cannot image they will build a new school, at their expense within their proposal. Sending children from the proposed site to other schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the LDP. Air quality. You will be aware of the high profile of this subject internationally let alone here in Bridgend, Park St, the A473, is proven to be one of the most polluted locations in the country. Generating more traffic with approval of this proposal violates the sustainable development principle contained within the LDP! Further development at this site would seriously impact on ancient woodland, and a loss of rich and varied flora and fauna. The green spaces along Llangewydd Rd have been a life line for people’s mental health during lockdown providing relatively safe walking and cycling. Any widening, resurfacing or general improvements to permit increased traffic, or worse, closing will rob people of this
673	

	amenity, not to mention the wildlife habit destruction. Alternative vehicle movements along the lane north of the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with these walkers and cyclists No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits (for a few) of building in this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value (for many) of the site in it's current condition. Overall there would be a severe loss of visual social, and public amenity The site would coalesce the boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles The further urbanisation of the area that this proposal puts forward would violate the council's objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. This proposal, along with the sheer volume of the others under consideration is effectively making Bridgend a dormitory town for the benefit of Cardiff and Swansea employers In conclusion this proposal puts the wrong development, with the wrong (high price) houses in the wrong location I urge you to drop it from the LDP at the earliest opportunity.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
673	

LDP Rep: 674

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
674	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
674	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
674	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
674	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
674	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
674	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
674	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
674	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

674	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
674	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
674	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
674	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence based case has not been made. The west of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses in this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so called ‘’affordable’’ housing would be beyond the means of most young people. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example has not yet caught up with housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc, have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put added strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol-Y- Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained to capacity. • The site would merge the community boundaries of Bryntirion and laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, it forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the councils objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the County Borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, medieval pilgrims way, Ffordd-y Gyfraith (The way of The Law), and a drovers road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. • The proposed site is criss-
674	

	crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developers proposal for ''corridors'' would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site, transferring corridor maintenance costs to the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from the development. •This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to the South, North and west. It would move the built up area's boundary, making further Greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further merging with Broadlands to the South, Pen-Y-Fai to the North and towards Pyle in the West. •The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because the lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and traffic co-exists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternatively traffic movements, along the lane North from the A473 at Crossways, towards the old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe and have not been investigated. o Disingenuous because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate llangewydd Road as a ''natural'' boundary for the development. Removing vehicle traffic removes his boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Pen-Y-Fai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the old church Field (North of llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a generous and benevolent measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development northwards. There are other considerations including wildlife and habitat loss which seem to take a low priority. Traffic congestion has been a problem for a long time in Bridgend, adding to it by increasing housing stock is simply reckless. Drivers already park wherever they can find space including: • Pavements. • Street Corners. • Bends. • Junctions. Company vans are a bigger hazard and should be left at the place of work, not blocking up the streets and adding to the number of obstructions. The roads are not policed and the consequence is a total lack of respect for other road users and the law. Bridgend used to be a nice little Market Town, it is now an urban sprawl, where surrounding areas all blend into one and the town is a disgrace, adding to the problem by continuing to build has to STOP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
674	

LDP Rep: 675

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	The plan should concentrate on the needs of the residents in regards to leisure facilities and amenities not housing.
675	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	while housing is necessary, the location should be brownfield not greenfield.
675	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	the regeneration growth area of Porthcawl will not benefit the community as it should concentrate on attracting visitors to the town, not filling prime tourist sites with housing.
675	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I don't agree with this explantion.
675	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
675	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
675	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	business has not been helped up to now, and therefore the high rates have left many high streets full of charity shops, bargain shop chains and cafes.
675	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	good
675	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	if this is a primary asset, why do the goals need to be balanced.
675	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
675	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Porthcawl is primarily a tourist town, and due to the covid virus, more holiday makers are choosing to stay in the UK rather than go abroad. Surely we should be concentrating on providing facilities for them not building housing on prime seaside locations. We have lovely beaches and countryside, but very few places for people to stay in Porthcawl and spend their money. Maybe building hotels and holiday apartments would bring the economic growth that you seem to concentrate on. The touring caravan site at Happy Valley has closed, but instead of regenerating Sandy Bay to accommodate them you propose to fill it with housing that many residents do not want or will be able to afford. What about the infrastructure to accompany these houses? The schools, dentists, doctors are under pressure already. New roads bring more pollution and parking problems.
675	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
675	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
675	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	We have had one or two public consultations, but it is clear that no-one from BCBC was listening, and I hope that this was not a paper exercise and you will take notice of people's opinions this time.
675	

LDP Rep: 676

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
676	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
676	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
676	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
676	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
676	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
676	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
676	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
676	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

676	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
676	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
676	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
676	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence based case has not been made. The west of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses in this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so called ‘’affordable’’ housing would be beyond the means of most young people. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example has not yet caught up with housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc, have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put added strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol-Y- Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained to capacity. • The site would merge the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, it forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the councils objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the County Borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, medieval pilgrims way, Ffordd-y Gyfraith (The way of The Law), and a drovers road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. • The proposed site is criss-
676	

	crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developers proposal for ‘’corridors’’ would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site, transferring corridor maintenance costs to the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from the development. •This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to the South, North and west. It would move the built up area’s boundary, making further Greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further merging with Broadlands to the South, Pen-Y-Fai to the North and towards Pyle in the West. •The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because the lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and traffic co-exists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternatively traffic movements, along the lane North from the A473 at Crossways, towards the old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe and have not been investigated. o Disingenuous because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate llangewydd Road as a ‘’natural’’ boundary for the development. Removing vehicle traffic removes his boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Pen-Y-Fai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the old church Field (North of llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it is a generous and benevolent measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development northwards. There are other consideration including wildlife and habitat loss which seem to take low priority. Traffic congestion has been a problem for a long time in Bridgend, adding to it by increasing housing stock is simply reckless. Drivers already park wherever they can find space including: • Pavements. • Street Corners. • Bends. • Junctions. Company vans are a bigger hazard and should be left at the place of work, not blocking up the streets and adding to the number of obstructions. The roads are not policed and the consequence is a total lack of respect for other road users and the law. Bridgend used to be a nice little Market Town, it is now an urban sprawl, where the surrounding areas all blend into one and the town is a disgrace, adding to the problem by continuing to build has to STOP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
676	

LDP Rep: 446

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): [redacted]

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I understand the objectives of the plan
446	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Numbers of housing needed is understood but correct positioning of those houses with infrastructure to cope is quite another Aspect often not fulfilled by local authorities.
446	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Bridgend cannot be allowed to expand at the cost of surrounding villages being swallowed up into its boundaries and consequently those villages losing their identities.
446	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
446	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	We have seen examples of mixed housing in the Broadlands development, pleasant aesthetics but narrow roads with endless roundabouts
446	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	With affluent families and good employment prospects come children who need adequate school places and with each household having one to two cars minimum in each household we need adequate roads. We do not need increased traffic passing through Laleston village to access the A48. It already divides the village in half and traffic is increasing all the time.
446	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	A difficult problem understood
446	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?

ID	Greener the better
446	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Building a large housing development next to a village trying to keep its ancient identity and preserving Grade 2 listed buildings etc does not make sense and the increased traffic through the village will only serve to make this even more difficult if not impossible
446	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
446	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
446	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
446	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	All my comments previously expressed
446	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	The ‘Circus Field’ development has not been considered appropriately in reference to our historic village of Laleston and we the residents will fight this proposal vehemently.
446	

LDP Rep: 677

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
677	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
677	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
677	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
677	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
677	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
677	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
677	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
677	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

677	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
677	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
677	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
677	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. At the edge of the development is a socket for an ancient pilgrim way marker cross. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a
677	

	drovers' road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. • The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors, and important for maintaining physical and mental welfare. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development, and would detract from the environmental benefits of open spaces. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. Overall the environmental effects of increased traffic and the loss of natural amenities would have a detrimental influence on the wellbeing of residents who already are suffering from the increased traffic caused by previous developments.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
677	

LDP Rep: 678

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
678	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
678	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
678	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
678	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
678	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
678	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
678	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
678	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

678	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
678	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
678	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
678	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
678	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. Totally agree with all the objections made so succinctly above. No beautiful greenfield lands with ancient woods and trees should be removed and destroyed in this world of global warming. We must play a part - each and every part of the UK. The infrastructure in all its elements will not support hundreds more homes or open the door to those who would not protect villages like Laleston and Penyfai. Those of us who live in Cefn Glas use these precious areas in many ways and are proud of them. We are also suffering constantly with the current building programme, its noise, dust and disruption. Our town is dying. Why can't we utilise more of the central areas of Bridgend. There are brownfield sites available where other estates have been built. Please concentrate more on the re-development of our town which used to be a wonderful and intimate shopping experience. We need that rather than allow developers who have no love for our area to line their pockets at our expense. Soon no-one will go into town at all especially if completely closed by traffic at all its entrances.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
678	

LDP Rep: 679

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Within the plan you mention residential, but do not state where. My ask is that when you build these properties, please ensure the housing is affordable for residents. Porthcawl does not have "Starter" type homes, usually "executive" homes are built, meaning people who have grown up here cannot afford to buy property here and have to move elsewhere, while older more affluent people, move into the area and buy houses, inflating prices and exacerbating the situation. Thanks
679	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	See previous comments on balancing the ageing population, we should be supporting residents to be able to remain in the area.
679	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
679	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
679	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
679	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
679	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Look at the costs of opening and maintaining a business in town centres. Often the overheads make it virtually impossible for small businesses to sustain them, particularly in the first few years until established. Resulting in many empty retail units. Wouldn't it make more economic sense to allow lower overheads, and have all the shops trading, rather than than higher overheads and 50% of units remain empty.
679	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Ecological renewable energy is important and should be encouraged
679	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Conservation and green spaces are vital
679	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
679	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
679	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
679	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
679	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
679	

LDP Rep: 680

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
680	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
680	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
680	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
680	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No
680	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
680	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
680	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
680	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	No

680	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
680	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No
680	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
680	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No
680	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Why is the poor junction at Singletons just to the north of Coychurch not in the plan? Its dangerous and needs revising urgently. I have several close calls there.
680	

LDP Rep: 681

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
681	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
681	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
681	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
681	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
681	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
681	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
681	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
681	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

681	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
681	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
681	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
681	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
681	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. A total of 850 houses would be larger that the entire village of Laleston and have the effect of swallowing up a village with a separate identity, making it an urbanised suburb of Bridgend. It is already difficult to access social requirements such as, doctors, schools and additional burden on the hospital that is already struggling to accommodate the current population. 850 houses would accommodate approximately 2000 people all of whom would influence the points above. In addition there would be, conservatively, an additional 1400 cars making their way to Bridgend or the A48, at the time when there is already congestion on the current road system.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
681	

LDP Rep: 682

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
682	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
682	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
682	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
682	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
682	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
682	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
682	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
682	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

682	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
682	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
682	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
682	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
682	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
682	

LDP Rep: 643

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
643	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
643	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
643	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
643	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
643	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
643	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
643	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
643	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

643	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
643	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
643	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
643	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
643	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. My further comments in addition to the above, is that there is no additional supporting social care, medical, or educational infrastructure - nor evidence that there is sufficient employment prospects to support what is an already oversaturated housing conurbation - with existing traffic pollution bottlenecks in and out of the huge developments.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
643	

LDP Rep: 683

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I object to the plans to build 850 houses in Laleston (proposed site: PLA3, LAND WEST OF BRIDGEND).
683	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I object to the plans to build 850 houses in Laleston (proposed site: PLA3, LAND WEST OF BRIDGEND).
683	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I object to the plans to build 850 houses in Laleston (proposed site: PLA3, LAND WEST OF BRIDGEND).
683	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
683	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
683	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
683	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
683	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
683	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

683	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
683	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
683	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
683	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I object to the plans to build 850 houses in Laleston (proposed site: PLA3, LAND WEST OF BRIDGEND). Bridgend is losing any green areas that people can use for exercise and spending time in nature. Recently, the two meadows behind my house were developed by Barratt Homes (Morgan Meadows). This area was mature meadows, with a diversity of plants and animals. In addition, many locals, including my family, used these meadows to access public footpaths. Now the access to footpaths is via Llangewydd road. During the lockdown, many people used Llangewydd road for exercise and to spend time in nature. Clearly, with plans to develop in this area, this neighbourhood will become more developed and lose further access to nature. Being able to get outside and see greenery is important for people's wellbeing. I have young children, and I feel that they need to be able to have places to enjoy nature, not just a few patches of green grass in a park. I believe that there are far better places in Bridgend that could be used as land for development rather than destroying the few places of natural beauty left.
683	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
683	

LDP Rep: 684

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
684	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
684	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
684	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
684	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
684	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
684	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
684	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
684	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

684	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
684	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
684	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
684	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
684	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
684	

LDP Rep: 685

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
685	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
685	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
685	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
685	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
685	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
685	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
685	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
685	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

685	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
685	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
685	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
685	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I am writing this letter in response to your information letter I must say that I fully agree with the response from people who disagree with your plans to dig up an ancient area that as a social history, Why 'it would be necessary' to destroy this historical area when there are better areas to make up the quarter of housing ie Yorkshire Dales, the Scottish Islands to name a few etc, I will take this opportunity to mention that instead we should stop uprooting trees to give use more and more urban areas. And instead plant more trees, Finally i'd like to say it is time we seen the Council/social housing start removing weeds i.e. ivy growing on buildings. The problem with weeds/ivy etc is when it takes root it attacks the mortar plus foundations of building that weaken the building causing it to rapidly decay. This can be confirmed be professionals.
685	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
685	

LDP Rep: 686

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
686	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
686	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
686	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
686	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
686	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
686	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
686	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
686	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

686	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
686	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
686	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
686	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
686	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
686	

LDP Rep: 687

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	The LDP does not seem to be well thought out with regard to SobJ2, SobJ3 or SobJ4 it would seem that the housing developments would be more for those commuting to work places outside of the Bridgend area as the chances of new employment in Bridgend are very limited. Although I have read the proposals for improvement to Bridgend town centre it still remains a poor, unattractive and under used town and not an active cohesive or social community. The proposals will certainly not enhance distinctive and natural places but will instead take away one of the last remaining green belt areas in this borough
687	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	We have yet to see employment opportunities improving with some units in the technology park still empty and many shops and offices in the town centre vacant and run down some even in dangerous and unsightly states of disrepair not an attractive combination
687	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	There has not been any improvement on the current infrastructure especially transport networks and maintenance of roads, pavements, public footpaths. An increase in traffic and pedestrians in the area is a disaster waiting to happen. The A48 is impossible to cross at peak periods there are no penalties for those not observing the reduced speed limits. There are several footpaths crossing A48 and those on the South side need to cross to get to schools, retail, leisure and work an increase in housing and 3 schools will Cleary exacerbate the situation with most homeowners now averaging 2 cars and the parents, staff, taxis and buses required to transport children to the schools especially those with special needs in Heronsbridge
687	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	What other additions are being put into place to provide social, economic, educational and cultural
687	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	The increase in traffic, noise and risk to health through increased pollution cannot be conducive to improved quality of life.
687	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Why are there so many empty units and retail shops in Bridgend and surrounding areas job forecasts for this area are 219-266 per year? I would suggest that most
687	

	homeowners in BCBC commute outside the area for work as there are no opportunities here

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	I have read the plans for the redevelopment of Bridgend town centre which are exciting and encouraging for those of us who experience the sad degeneration of what should be a vibrant and enticing place for people to visit and stay
687	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	We have a good record in Wales for recycling and with a new centre in Pyle this should continue
687	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Building houses and schools on one of the last beautiful green areas in the BCBC area cannot be a positive move. As we live in Island Farm road we have the privilege of enjoying the landscape and wild life we have a large neighbourhood hedgehog highway and 5 of us take rescue hedgehogs for rehabilitation from the GH hedgehog rescue centre, the fields are alive with rabbits, badgers, foxes, dormice, bats, frogs and a plethora of insects and wild plants. Why would it be beneficial to anyone to destroy these habitats?
687	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
687	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
687	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
687	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
687	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	It will be a very sad day if the proposed housing developments take place in Bridgend without more due thought to the historical, environmental and well being of those all ready living in this area.
687	

LDP Rep: 688

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I am particularly concerned about PLA3 - this is not in line with SOBJ4, protecting and enhancing distinctive and natural places. The proposed development will remove not only the visual distinction between Laleston and Bryntirion, but also eats up fields and footpaths used by local residents to enjoy the local countryside.
688	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	People value the distinctness of their community - remove that through the merging of villages and communities and you end up with the older, wealthier folk moving somewhere where they can regain that distinctiveness. Smaller strategic developments would be more in keeping with the Laleston/Bryntirion border rather than PLA3.
688	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	PLA3 is marked as part of the sustainable growth strategy "expansion through under-utilised sites" - the fields between Bryntirion and Laleston are not under-utilised as anyone who regularly walks, runs or cycles around the area will tell you.
688	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	As noted previously, PLA3 does not fit into the principles of "creating sustainable places where people want to live" - it will have the reverse effect. Residents of Laleston chose it because it is a distinct historical village. Good design does not appear to have been thought about with this proposal.
688	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	The same aims could be achieved through multiple, smaller developments, which would not have the significant impact that PLA3 has. We alreay have a well-connected cohesive community in Laleston/Bryntirion. This appears to be a developers charter to make unseemly profit rather than a plan to benefit Bridgend and Laleston residents.
688	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
688	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	

688	It is way to early to understand the impact of the pandemic on retail. THere should be a pause and a recalibration of the plan once the future of retail is understood. Nobody has a crystal ball at the moment. Pause and rethink.

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
688	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	This is a joke "Conserving and enhancing the natural and historic environment is therefore a key function of the Replacement LDP," PLA3 (and other parts of the plan for all I know) fails to do this.
688	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
688	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
688	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
688	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	PLA3 will upset many, remove greenfield sites and areas that locals use for walking, and remove the distinctiveness that most locals value. This seems fundamentally flawed.
688	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
688	

LDP Rep: 689

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	PLA3 appears to go against the principles of SOBJ4. It is hard to see how this proposed development will allow any differentaition of the current communities of Bryntirion and Laleston. This small area of green land is important to the many walkers, runners, cyclists etc. who use the footpaths and enjoy the natural surroundings close to their homes.
689	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	The distinctive personality of communities, especially well established ones such as Bryntirion and Laleston, are very important to residents sekf worth and wellbeing. Wholesale merging of these places, as suggested in these plans, is not good for societal development. Developments which are smaller scale are abetter option.
689	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	It is suggested that PLA3 is an underutilised site. This is wholly inaccurate. Observation would inform you that this area is well utilised by local dog walkers, runners and families taking exercise in their beautiful surroundings. Loss of this facility is an irreparable error of judgement.
689	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	PLA3 does not match principles of "creating sustainable places where people want to live". Quite the reverse. This policy appears to be intent on homogenising the environment and losing all its current precious identity. A destructive planning idea.
689	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	The Laleston/ Bryntirion area already has an active , healthy cohesive community. PLA3, if put into action, would destroy this and appears to be a plan for high density poor quality living in comparison to our current environment. Questions about profit motivation should be addressed.
689	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	no
689	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Retail strategy needs to be re-evaluated in the light of the current move away from traditional shopping practice and towards on line purchasing.
689	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
689	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	You cannot have a policy which states "Conserving and enhancing the natural and historic environment is therefore a key function..." and suggest that PLA3 is in keeping with this plan. It clearly is not. It is a destructive plan which will take away 9 centuries of local identity in one catastrophic mistake.
689	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
689	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
689	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
689	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	PLA3 is a poorly thought out plan. It will disenfrachise residents from their beloved natural environment and cause a huge loss of wellbeing
689	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
689	

LDP Rep: 690

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	no
690	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
690	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
690	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
690	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
690	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
690	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
690	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
690	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

690	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
690	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	The proposal is long overdue, the development will bring in additional employment to the area, which is essential in these post pandemic days. New homes have to be affordable for local people and an improved infrastructure has to be the key factors.
690	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
690	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
690	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
690	

LDP Rep: 691

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
691	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
691	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
691	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
691	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
691	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
691	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
691	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
691	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

691	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
691	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
691	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
691	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
691	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Just get going, need to get things started. Work on breakwater great but we need to see other development work commencing. We have waited long enough. There was a plan with big colour brochure back in the 1990s but nothing happened. Is this going to be another "Consultation" squandering money and going no-where?
691	

LDP Rep: 692

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Before this takes place BCBC need to address these objectives currently as Bridgend/Porthcawl is a in total neglect
692	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	The inferstructure needs to shown and planned for the future
692	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	must be monitored by an outside party
692	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	again must be monitored by a outside party as recent planning and design has been terrible for Porthcawl
692	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
692	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	hopes this works as we have lost a lot of big companies from the area as well as new bids for new companies to locate here
692	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
692	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	The road network needs to be up graded with good road service for the extra transport
692	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Need to address grass cutting services as these are terrible and look shocking

692	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
692	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
692	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
692	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
692	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	look into the issues you have now at this present moment.
692	

LDP Rep: 693

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
693	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
693	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
693	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
693	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
693	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
693	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
693	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
693	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

693	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
693	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Transport hub at Pyle a great idea as there's hardly any links to porthcawl from railway stations
693	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
693	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
693	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
693	

LDP Rep: 694

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Not really, Porthcawl seafront is desperate for an upgrade.
694	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	no
694	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	no
694	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	no
694	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	no, all sounds good
694	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	no
694	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	sounds really positive
694	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	no
694	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	no

694	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	no
694	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	no, can't wait to see the changes.
694	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	no
694	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	no
694	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Sound like the proposed changes are going to make a huge difference to Porthcawl. I personally cannot wait to see whats in store. Fantastic news !
694	

LDP Rep: 695

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	What is meant by sustainable places? We need the above today not 2033
695	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	There are more than enough homes now to cover job vacancies. Homes do not provide jobs.
695	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Provide well paid Jobs first then homes. You are putting the cart before the horse. Why not come clean and say that there are not enough houses instead of dressing it up with the economy. How will houses provide long term employment?
695	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	What has sustainability got to do with design?
695	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Studies show that houses are not a driver for economic growth. Transport is. So what are the proposals for improved transport links associated with this project?
695	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	What is this employment generating development strategy?
695	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	What is this employment generating strategy?
695	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Housing is a drop in the ocean to both renewable energy and carbon footprint. The Swansea barage scheme was a 100% fit and yet this was discarded. So don't dress this project up with sweet words to the green party.
695	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	None of this applies to Porthcawl
695	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No comment
695	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
695	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No comment
695	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No
695	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
695	

LDP Rep: 696

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): Recruitment Agency

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	yes, its rubbish
696	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Its not going to work, hence the poor state of Bridgend
696	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	A load of buzz words, but quite frankly, its completely meaningless
696	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	The plans are at odds with the above statement
696	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	In other words ramming housing into unsuitable areas, hence the idea of building houses on sand dunes
696	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
696	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Too little, too late, hence the large amount of boarded-up shops in the local area and the increase of fast food outlets and charity shops, the rent and rates are far to high to attract companies
696	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
696	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	
696	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
696	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	The Porthcawl plan would wreck the local area with the amount of housing being proposed, this will lead to an increase in congestion and disruption in the local area, not to mention that the proposed houses will be built on unsuitable land - there a reason why people don't build on sand dunes
696	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
696	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
696	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	The plans are predicated on ramming housing in wherever possible to meet government-led targets, they offer little of value to the local communities going forward
696	

LDP Rep: 697

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	As long as opportunities don't keep going to the same companies
697	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	More opportunities for young to purchase affordable housing
697	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Speed of change needs to included
697	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Cosmetically pleasing is essential
697	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	House building must consider new safe cycle and walking routes
697	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Local jobs for local people is essential
697	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Both unique and attract high profile retail businesses to make Porthcawl unique
697	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	This must feed to assist current residents eg grants to change
697	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Protect must not get in the way of developing. There needs to be balanced approach

697	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Nil
697	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Make it attractive to more incoming trade
697	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Nil
697	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Nil
697	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Quick change is needed to assist in stopping the continuous decay of our towns and villages
697	

LDP Rep: 698

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
698	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Affordable housing for local people
698	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
698	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
698	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Affordable private housing for local people
698	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
698	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Encourage local businesses to open shops Make town centres more accessible with free parking for certain hours
698	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
698	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

698	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
698	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Out of town parking needed maybe a park and ride More parking within the town needed Affordable private housing for local people Train station Splash park for younger generation
698	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
698	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
698	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
698	

LDP Rep: 699

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	847 houses behind the science park off Ewenny Road is an absolutely terrible idea. The A48 at the junction with Ewenny road is already rammed with traffic - stationary and emitting hazardous particulate matter. I seriously question your forecast housing demands supply creates its own demand. Bridgend's growth in the last 20 years has outstripped the ability of local authorities to supply adequate facilities and school places. Further desecration of the local natural environment is abhorrent. If new housing estates are being used as cash-cows to replenish depleted council funding - I suggest you start looking at unnecessary costs. Nobody in public office in Bridgend should be earning over £60,000. People should seek careers in local government because they care, not because they want huge remuneration packages. What is going on here?
699	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Keep up focus on renewables.
699	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	None.
699	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	None.
699	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I've read somewhere that only 50% of households pay council tax. Increase this proportion and cut back on free-loaders.
699	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	None.
699	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	None.
699	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	None.
699	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Stop acquiring farmland for houses.
699	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
699	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
699	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
699	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Do not build south of bridgend. Absolute idiocy.
699	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	How many people were paid to put this together? Throwing good money after bad.
699	

LDP Rep: 700

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
700	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	None at this time
700	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Depends on where house building takes place and fits in with present features.
700	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	As in my previous answer. Design of property should stay in keeping with the area where building is taking place. An example of this is the disastrous example of the block of flats where The Esplanade Hotel once stood and now known locally as 'the bottle bank.' This does not fit in with the other properties neighbouring it.
700	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	In view of the need for more housing I hope that in a seaside town like Porthcawl, there will be ample parking facilities because in high summer Porthcawl is taken over by inconsiderate visitors who park any where. An example of this was when dozens took over the common at Rest Bay ignoring the need for the wildlife that was being protected
700	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Totally agree.
700	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Local retail shops identify the heart of a town. I understand that Bridgend has had many revamps and is still trying to regain it's heart.!!!
700	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	

700	Fully appreciate all these matters and feel the Council is doing a good job in this area. However, I have doubts regarding the new facility in Pyle waste centre and worry about the entrance and egress to site.

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Ok.
700	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	None
700	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Is the land where the Council had their caravan park off Sandy bay being used to generate more housing.??
700	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	None
700	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	None
700	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	As a Porthcawl resident, I welcome many of the proposals. However, as I have mentioned previously, Where are the Parking facilities needed to accommodate increased traffic flow and is the land behind Sandy Bay being used, because for whatever reason, the Council have lost a fortune in not providing for caravans and motor homes. Now the High Tide is benefitting from using this land.
700	

LDP Rep: 701

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
701	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
701	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	h0uses already being built around Porthcawl causing overcrowding without the facilities of surgery or traffic build up being taken into consideration.
701	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	how does this improve the environment ? where are the parking facilities for day trippers? houses which are overpriced on a prime location more polluting of the environment
701	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	pollution overcrowding houses built on prime land that could be used for entertainment both for the elderly and the young . we area holiday resort with little to offer. so much for the regeneration we were promised.
701	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	i fail to see where the employment growth by building more houses? just more income for the council. no land left for growing businesses
701	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	we were promised regeneration your offering us degeneration
701	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
701	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	how on earth does more building of houses enhance and promote tourism? our beautiful old buildings have already been replaced by ugly flats i.e what we call the bottle bank on the seafront
701	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
701	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	park and ride people will not come to our town our existing shops will suffer as has happened in Bridgend town time to learn your mistakes?
701	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
701	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
701	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
701	

LDP Rep: 702

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
702	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Schools in Porthcawl are already full. If there are to be more homes how will you accommodate the increase in prospective young people and their education
702	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Major transport issues in Porthcawl as the majority of bus routes have ceased. Only mode of transport is by car. If one doesn't drive or are elderly this is a major problem as if one is not able to walk into the town the only option is a taxi
702	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	There are very few leisure opportunities in Porthcawl. Well being is so important. All other areas of BCBC have sports centres and swimming pools. Having been brought up in Porthcawl we have always had to travel outside the locality for most leisure activities
702	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	If new housing is to be built in Porthcawl there is a need for appropriate leisure and educational facilities to be built alongside to accommodate the needs of the population. Transport links also need to be reintroduced as the surrounding areas have little access to bus routes
702	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
702	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Porthcawl should be a vibrant seaside community. As it is the town is tired and full of charity shops. Who would want to visit apart from having the sea
702	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	

702	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	How could BCBC give up the tenure of Kenfig nature reserve if this is deemed so important to the natural environment?
702	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
702	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Plans for the development of Porthcawl have been discussed since I can remember. What's the point in this survey because nothing ever materialises here as we have the sea ..... !!!!!
702	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
702	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
702	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Is this just a paper excercise? All my replies to questions are around Porthcawl as I truly feel powers that be in BCBC don't care about the residents of the town and surrounding villages. We don't have any buses and yet part of West Road has just been resurfaced and time and money spent painting lines for all the old bus stops along it. What a waste of money! I just hope that any money spent on this plan is used wisely and people are accountable for their actions and plans
702	

LDP Rep: 703

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
703	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	you need to capitalise on the goose that will lay the golden egg. That is Porthcawl, and ensure it becomes a far more attractive, easier place to visit, with superior quality parking, and far more leisure facilities. A councillor has said, 'there will never be a swimming pool in Porthcawl', how sad. Try to remedy the inactivity of the last thirty years.
703	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
703	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	That means sustainable parking for visitors and the ONE THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED additional cars minimum, that will now be on the roads in Porthcawl due to the building of ONE THOUSAND ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTEEN houses
703	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	You really need to get out and look at the detail of what occurs in the local community. For instance, the seafront in Porthcawl is very dangerous. Cars parked outside the Pier hotel forcing drivers over the central line, excessive speed. You can't bung up a load of houses and ignore the micro.
703	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
703	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
703	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?

ID	back to the micro - make the parking at Tythegston site easier and you'll increase vehicle capacity by 25%. If you can't manage it, ask me - really.
703	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
703	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
703	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Where's the detail?
703	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
703	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
703	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
703	

LDP Rep: 704

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
704	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
704	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
704	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
704	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
704	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
704	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
704	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
704	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

704	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
704	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Very concerned re the extra housing in Porthcawl - not clear where exactly these will be, but if they are on Salt Lake, where will tourists be able to park? Porthcawl residential streets are already clogged: this is bound to get worse. How will Porthcawl Medical Centre and other services cope with an extra 1100+ families? Also very concerned that a new food supermarket and other retail outlets will mean the death of an already struggling John Street.
704	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
704	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
704	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
704	

LDP Rep: 705

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
705	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
705	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
705	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
705	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I do not see the purpose of building houses on Salt Lake car park . In good weather visitors have difficulty in finding a parking spot and cause obstruction in many side streets are the town
705	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Anything which can improve employment prospects would be welcome
705	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	I would support a new food store in Porthcawl provided it is accessible to everyone and not just car owners
705	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
705	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	I support the aim to conserve and enhance the natural and historic environment and feel much could be done to enhance the Eastern prom to provide visitor facilities especially for children
705	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Much could be done to provide community and visitor facilities as one sees in other well run coastal towns
705	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
705	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
705	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
705	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	This is the once in a lifetime chance to enhance facilities for the people of Porthcawl, particularly the younger generation. It is not merely a home for the retired, very many families with children also live here and all have to travel out of town to make use of leisure facilities.
705	

LDP Rep: 706

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
706	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
706	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
706	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
706	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Living where I do in Porthcawl I am concerned about the access to new housing developments. This is enough of a thoroughfare as it is with traffic to and from Trecco Bay
706	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
706	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
706	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
706	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	
706	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
706	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	A rail link into Porthcawl would be an excellent idea.
706	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
706	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
706	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
706	

LDP Rep: 708

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
708	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
708	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
708	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
708	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
708	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
708	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
708	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
708	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

708	Yes No to the development of Island Farm. Area for nature should not be broken up by development. A48 should form a barrier to protect wild life

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
708	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
708	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
708	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
708	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
708	

LDP Rep: 709

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
709	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
709	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
709	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
709	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No
709	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	N6
709	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
709	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
709	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	No

709	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
709	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No
709	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
709	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	There appears very little consideration to the supporting infrastructure to support this large number of house and the housing development will place a dangerous strain on the main arterial routes.
709	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No
709	

LDP Rep: 710

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	With regard to Porthcawl, The vision should concentrate on enhancing visitor attractions and amenities, NOT building numerous properties without the infrastructure to support them. Wales has enough problems with second homes without BCBC exacerbating the situation.
710	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Porthcawl already suffers from a lack of medical (GP) facilities which BCBC is powerless to rectify. A younger population would help the situation but will you then just abandon the older residents who contribute far more to the local economy. Bearing in mind the extortionate amount paid to the Cardiff Capital Region. Why not divert these monies towards the creation of a railway line into Porthcawl?
710	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	The regeneration of Porthcawl should not concentrate on building new residences without supporting infrastructure which is perceived to be the policy of BCBC. Regeneration should concentrate on providing better amenities to attract visitors and a younger residential age bracket .
710	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Excellent aims - not just concentrating on building new housing for profit. However, BCBC need to listen to, and take heed of, the views of local residents and civic organisation NOT just proceeding blindly with their own misguided ideas.
710	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	This has nothing to do with the stated aims, just a means of making money from housing development.
710	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Because Porthcawl is severely lacking in transport links it is highly unlikely that new employment opportunities can be created, apart from house building. However, with improved amenities. It could become a dormitory town for the Borough, thus increasing the percentage of younger residents.
710	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	This is a good policy but BCBC must listen to the needs & concerns of the local population before blindly proceeding with any developments. The new supermarket
710	

	planned for Porthcawl is welcomed but is being built in completely the wrong place. Because BCBC did not listen to local concerns they will destroy the existing small supermarket (Co-op) which has served the community so well. It is common sense that retail sites should have “anchor stores” at opposite ends of the area so that smaller retail outlets will benefit from the free flow of shoppers between the “anchors”, rather than have both larger stores in the same place.

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	All good intentions. Hope BCBC have learned from their appalling involvement in the Caerau fiasco.
710	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Yes, retain the natural environment as much as possible without sacrificing to housing.
710	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
710	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Porthcawl will have difficulty in attracting house purchasers without better amenities. The proposed transport hub at Pyle is a joke.
710	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
710	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
710	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Please listen,AND TAKE HEED OF the views of the local population. Compare the facilities in Porthcawl with those at Barry Island and Aberavon Beach to see what can be achieved by thoughtful and caring planning.
710	

LDP Rep: 711

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): not applicable

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
711	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
711	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
711	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
711	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
711	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
711	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
711	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
711	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

711	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
711	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
711	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
711	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I believe that the housing plans for the Laleston area of Bridgend will impact adversely on the surrounding country side & wildlife. There has already been adverse effects on wildlife in the area including developments around Cefn Glas. Due to trees being felled & housing developments taking away habitats wildlife is forced into foraging in already crowded areas. A large increase in traffic with the associated pollution & hazards has affected the previously reasonable quality of life in the area. The proposed development plans will only make this worse increasing medical costs, mental health and general wellbeing. this rush to to build housing can only end badly as seen before. I urge those planning this to reconsider carefully to avoid a bad situation.
711	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
711	

LDP Rep: 712

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
712	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
712	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
712	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
712	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
712	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
712	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
712	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
712	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

712	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
712	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
712	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
712	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No housing Bryntirion or Laleston as infrastructure cannot take it. Use Brown Field Sites not grazing or farming land
712	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Please us3 brown field sites and don't vandalise our countryside
712	

LDP Rep: 713

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
713	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
713	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
713	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
713	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No
713	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
713	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	An Aldi & a bus terminus in Porthcawl! It is becoming more & more like the “no go area” that is Bridgend! Take a look at Aberavon seafront & The Mumbles & what the forward thinking councils have done there!
713	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
713	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	No
713	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
713	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	An Aldi & a bus terminus!! Nice!
713	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
713	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No
713	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Visit Aberavon Seafront for ideas from a forward looking Council
713	

LDP Rep: 714

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I cannot understand the logic in planning for a supermarket (Aldi) to be situated in a prime location such as this. You're setting up to absolutely ruin what remains of what used to be a place that people visited to enjoy themselves and that the residents of the town were proud of. The complete lack of regard for the residents of Porthcawl is frustrating to say the least. BCBC only seem interested in filling it's coffers and don't seem to think about the consequences. Why don't you just look at what fantastic work that's been done at Aberavon and Mumbles? It's done for all to enjoy, not just people who frequent the drinking establishments. I hope that BCBC see sense before it's too late.
714	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	1000 houses? That'll just exacerbate the current issues!!
714	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I see little strategy if I'm honest
714	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
714	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
714	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
714	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	I'm not averse to a supermarket, or extra retail, but not in a prime location
714	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
714	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
714	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
714	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
714	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
714	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
714	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
714	

LDP Rep: 715

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	To listen to the people of Porthcawl.
715	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Make sure housing isn't the main driver behind the redevelopment
715	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Ensure any development is in keeping with the surroundings
715	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Consideration needs to be made to Porthcawl being a seaside resort
715	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Ensuring that the price of housing doesn't rule out local youngsters being able to afford it
715	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
715	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	That any proposed retail developments do not have a detrimental effect on local businesses
715	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
715	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Any development is in keeping with the surroundings

715	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
715	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Ensure tourism and community facilities are central to any development
715	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
715	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
715	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
715	

LDP Rep: 716

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): [redacted]

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I am worried about the environmental impact of plans and the lack of transport links snd infrastructure in the town. If the plans are to include more houses this will have a huge impact.
716	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I'm concerned that the jobs will be poorly paid zero hours contract snd also detract from high street. There are limited leisure facilities here and medical provision is already overstretched. Also there are poor transport links. Increase of more cars which is already a problem in some parts of Porthcawl.
716	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
716	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
716	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
716	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
716	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
716	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
716	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
716	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
716	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Porthcawl needs a train station!!!
716	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
716	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
716	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
716	

LDP Rep: 718

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	SOBJ 1 - I think that the plan for extensive housing in Porthcawl is far too dense for local services and amenities.
718	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
718	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Regeneration areas of Porthcawl - has to have an enhanced plan for the swelling of the population during the summer months and the traffic gridlock that comes with a sunny day. The proposals for so many more houses has to have infrastructure and enhanced wellbeing, play, sustainable growing solutions. The spatial strategy for the whole of the borough will have an impact on Porthcawl. The nature reserve at Cefn Cribwr and the old quarry site may be an opportunity for diversion.
718	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Yes - It would be wonderful to see housebuilders given direct orders for new homes that generate electricity, harvest rainwater and have enough garden space for the growing of vegetables. Basics really.
718	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Ive cycled to work from Porthcawl to Bridgend for a decade. A safe cycle route from Porthcawl to Bridgend would be highly recommended. The A48 and three step hill improvements would make a great commuter and tourist route within the borough.
718	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	The current infrastructure of industrial estates are well positioned across the borough. The old factory site on Maesteg - next to the oakwood estate - I have thought that this could be all poly tunnels ! The growth of food on this large site could be absolutely staggering! Cheap to set up. Much needed in this area.
718	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Never - never develop anymore out of town retail parks. The balance is about right across the borough. The poorest wards need a hand. Bettws, Caerau all need better food shops and sustainable growing. A whole cultural shift for these wards. Town centres are on the up. Bridgend needs buildings pulled down and more space.
718	

	Bridgend town is a lovely space, but it was spoilt by poor building decisions many years ago. The small independent could thrive once again.

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
718	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	At every site, I would like you the council to consider the planting of a community orchard. People visit say 'Merthyr Mawr and on the leaving the site - can pick some fresh apples or plums at the community orchard. What a feel good win! Go to Bryngarw, park calon lan, griffin park, ewenny priory, cefn cribwr, maesteg park or ogmore vale and where ever you go - you can pick fresh seasonal fruit. It says Bridgend cares and its easy.....
718	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	no
718	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Less homes planned for porthcawl - better cycle paths for all routes
718	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	no
718	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	no
718	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
718	

LDP Rep: 518

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	In terms of objectives- I would place SOBJ2 as a priority, otherwise I am supportive of the objectives
518	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I think the growth strategy should promote sustainable development and fair working practices
518	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	The spatial strategy should not over develop any areas, in particular the Porthcawl Waterfront so that there is a balance between regeneration and space
518	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I agree with the principles, but aesthetics are also important, in particular when it comes to the Art Deco heritage of Porthcawl
518	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Given the distinct needs of older people living in Porthcawl, these should be designed in, not designed out
518	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	I would like the employment strategy to prioritise Welsh employers
518	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	I will be glad to see increased retail in Porthcawl, we really need a proper supermarket that we can walk to. I would ask that the building is sympathetically designed and 'green.'
518	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
518	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	It is right the the natural environment is protected as part of theplans
518	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
518	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	The Porthcawl Waterfront should not be over developped
518	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
518	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
518	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	The draft Local List in Porthcawl should be expanded to include the art deco buildings in 1-4 Clevis Crescent and Ocean View on the sea front (the 20th Century Society have previously written to the Conservation Team regarding this).
518	

LDP Rep: 719

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
719	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
719	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I object to any housing in Laleston.
719	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
719	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	More housing at Laleston will create too much traffic and will take away much need green areas.
719	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
719	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
719	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Collection of garden waste should be free, we pay enough council tax to cover this, it will stop the fly tipping of grass etc.
719	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

719	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
719	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
719	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
719	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Less housing more green areas, parks, etc.
719	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
719	

LDP Rep: 721

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
721	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
721	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
721	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
721	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No
721	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
721	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
721	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
721	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	No

721	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	The amount off extra traffic will contribute to further congestion. We already have terrible issues on our road and Ewenny Road about and surrounding areas. How will you ensure that these properties will not contribute negatively with more cars on the road, what infrastructure will.be put in place?
721	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
721	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
721	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I don't believe we need more housing here, there are more appropriate sites around the Borough that will not have a negative impact with more cars.
721	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
721	

LDP Rep: 723

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Building on green field land is not protecting or enhancing distinctive and natural places.
723	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Do not see how building very expensive housing is going to benefit the young of the area who are finding it difficult to get on the housing ladder.
723	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Bridgend has more than enough housing, we need to retain the green areas. Building more housing is just going to add to problems, the infrastructure for more housing is not available. It is difficult enough to get a doctors appointment as it is. Schools are over subscribed.
723	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Building properties along the A48 /Ewenny Road is going to cause a lot of congestion, where is the traffic supposed to go. Is Bridgend being turned into a commuter belt for Cardiff and Swansea? 1697 houses in one area that is already over developed with Broadlands. Does not make sense and looking to build on a flood plain next to the river.
723	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Affordable housing - what a laugh. If the housing was built to the same standard as the private housing and mixed in with it then that may be a good thing. However affordable housing as you call it is always built to a lesser standard and grouped together separate from the private housing which does not help communities.
723	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Seeing as we have lost the ford factory I cannot see people wanting to move to Bridgend and create a business. Building these houses will just push the housing market way out of the reach of local people who wish to live in the town they were brought up in. Instead you are looking to bring people in who will probably sell a house for a high margin and buy one for less than where they used to live.
723	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	

723	Bridgend town needs to have all the premises inspected to see where housing can be permitted above shops and where buildings are no longer being used can be brought into use as housing.

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Believe Wales is one of the World leaders in protecting and promoting protection of the environment.
723	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Cannot see how if you intend to build on greenfield sites close to water sources how you can say you are promoting the protection of the enviroment.
723	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
723	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
723	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
723	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Land South of Bridgend is greenfield and should not be built on there is also the possibility of flooding as housing will be close to the River. The extra traffic on the A48, Ewenny Road, Cowbridge Road, New Road Merthyr Mawr will cause chaos.
723	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I do not think Building on greenfield areas is the way to go. Look at regenerating buildings and housing already in the area that need refurbishing to become habitable.
723	

LDP Rep: 724

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	SOBJ1 and SOBJ2: These objectives would be very difficult to complete without many other things being taken into consideration eg. only 1 doctors surgery in Porthcawl already hard enough to get an appointment. Now with 1000+ houses possible influx of 3000 people the car parking is already at breaking point, dentist surgery's and other facilities are not adequate now. So in short you would not have a high quality sustainable place or a healthy, cohesive community.
724	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Firstly as said before 505 new houses a year is not sustainable in Porthcawl. Secondly the figure of 500 new jobs a year is pie in the sky by far the biggest employer in Porthcawl is Parkdean Resorts they employ around 350 people at the height of the season 270 of these being seasonal on zero hours contracts or 35hr per week on the minimum wage. The jobs consist of cleaners, bar staff, waiters and grounds work not jobs that are going to attract young professionals to the area. I would be interested to know were you would find 50 jobs in Porthcawl other then part time in tourism because this is what Porthcawl's economy is based on.
724	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	You cannot have it both ways Porthcawl is a fairly affluent town and desirable to live in with this comes high house prices and youngsters trying to get on the property ladder cannot afford them. The amount of job opportunity's talked about are not here in Porthcawl and never will be you have to have a permanent job to get a mortgage and the majority of the workforce are seasonal. The jobs are not here to create the society you have imagined the only way you could do this is by making Porthcawl a less desirable place to live..
724	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I fully agree that the design should complement the town and buildings already here, however high quality, well thought out design which improves the environment is not usually associated with affordable housing..
724	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I think I have already covered my views on the above.
724	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	

724	As I mentioned previously Porthcawls economy is geared towards tourism and as such the workforce is mainly seasonal. If you require a mortgage you would not be able to buy a house on the new development. I think if you went to the job centre in Porthcawl you would be hard pressed to find 20 permanent jobs not associated with tourism.

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	I think Porthcawl town centre is quite a vibrant shopping area possibly to many charity shops, however all the shops seem to be well supported by local people and visitors. I would welcome a supermarket in the town and would shop there rather then go into Bridgend as I do now.
724	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	I fully support renewable energy were possible, however there has to be a balance if you move away from mineral resources would this put a lot of jobs at risk.
724	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
724	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
724	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	I have covered all the points individually for Porthcawl.
724	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
724	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
724	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I think the number of houses (505) and the number of jobs (500) each year are not realistic figures and need to be re-evaluated.
724	

LDP Rep: 725

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Given the nature of the climate crisis we face, we should be looking to enhance and protect the environment we have.
725	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	We need to balance the need for building houses with the need for rewilding to protect our environemt. Building 850 houses on an area of ancient woodland is not protecting the environment. It goes against core principles
725	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	There should be a bigger focus on green infrastructure. Houses including solar panels, green rewilded spaces. Wildflowers replanted.
725	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	We don't have space for extra houses, we need more green spaces as we build more houses and don't improve the basic facilities it encourages flooding and other dangerous situations.
725	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
725	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
725	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	We need to encourage local developers and entrepeneurs not big business. Contracts should be made from green local businesses who are sustainable and give back to the environment.
725	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	BCBC still do not do enough for sustainability and renewable energy. There needs to be a bigger focus on this and it should be encouraging and including schools within these consultations. Money should be no object here as this is the future.
725	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	We need to rewild the areas to improve the area. Bringing back trees, flowers we should be pioneering this. Including local schools in the efforts to improve the areas in terms of green spaces.
725	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Too many houses. There are far too many houses being built and it's causing issues with increased run off and flooding and rain. We need to stop building houses and replace it with trees and green spaces.
725	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Too many houses. There are far too many houses being built and it's causing issues with increased run off and flooding and rain. We need to stop building houses and replace it with trees and green spaces. Continue to improve cycling routes. There should be safe cycling route from Bridgend to Porthcawl to improve renewable transport links.
725	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Too many houses. There are far too many houses being built and it's causing issues with increased run off and flooding and rain. We need to stop building houses and replace it with trees and green spaces.
725	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Too many houses. There are far too many houses being built and it's causing issues with increased run off and flooding and rain. We need to stop building houses and replace it with trees and green spaces.
725	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
725	

LDP Rep: 726

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
726	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
726	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
726	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
726	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No
726	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
726	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
726	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
726	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	No

726	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
726	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	I understand that the proposal is to build 1115 new homes in the seafront area, which I think is unsustainable and will attract in the main 2nd home owners to our town. Also, this land will I assume remove valuable space for car parking for visitors, which is already causing a problem for residents access to their own homes in and around the town.
726	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
726	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
726	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Please give special attention to all current residential streets by means of making them all residents only parking by permit areas
726	

LDP Rep: 727

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): [redacted]

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	XXXX

727	XXXX

| ID | XXXX

LDP Rep: 728

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
728	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
728	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
728	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
728	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Having looked at the mapping document, I have some concerns over the proposed land for housing on page 27. Although, I have no strong objection to new housing in the area, I would like the access roads to the new developments to be carefully considered. As someone who lives directly behind the A48, there is already a high volume of traffic that use the road, causing a lot of air and noise pollution. During schools times, it is also extremely busy and a challenge to exit the Broadlands development onto the A48 roundabout and the new proposed development would impact many home owners on Broadlands. I believe either additional entrance/ exits need to be added to Broadlands and/or additional exits need to be considered for the proposed development.
728	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
728	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
728	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
728	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
728	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
728	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
728	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
728	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
728	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
728	

LDP Rep: 729

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
729	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
729	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
729	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
729	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	The building of 1,115 new houses in Porthcawl on the seafront will substantially and detrimentally alter the area proposed visually, cause massive traffic problems in an already congested area with inadequate infrastructure, swamp access to the existing Porthcawl medical centre which is already working at full capacity, create expansion problems for the local schools.
729	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
729	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
729	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
729	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
729	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
729	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	a transport hub from Porthcawl to to Pyle station is definitely a sensible proposal
729	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
729	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
729	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
729	

LDP Rep: 275

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
275	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
275	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
275	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
275	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
275	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
275	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
275	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
275	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

275	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
275	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
275	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
275	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Yes particularly the Island Farm Proposed development . 847 houses + schools . I believe the already overly burdened A48 which is an accident blackspot with numerous deaths occurring in RTAs will become increasingly dangerous with an expected increase in traffic . The safety of children who cross this road whilst walking or cycling to school at Brynteg will be put at risk ! Extra traffic will have an impact on the environment and it's biodiversity . Broadlands housing estate is a large scale development , with your proposed development at Laleston and Island Farm the current infrastructure will not cope . Some of the valley areas would benefit from housing developments bringing business to local shops and businesses . So instead of focusing on highly populated areas perhaps review other areas of potential like Garw Valleys . The schools are already almost at capacity , GPs and hospitals under pressure . Will there be enough local jobs for the 7000 houses allocation throughout BCBC ??
275	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
275	

LDP Rep: 730

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
730	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
730	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
730	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
730	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
730	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
730	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
730	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
730	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

730	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
730	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
730	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Roads leading into the Ogmore Valley are atrocious and need relaying urgently
730	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
730	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
730	

LDP Rep: 731

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Mo
731	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
731	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
731	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Only that the companies that are awarded contracts should be held to account much more for what they agreed and have not delivered.
731	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	New housing rarely is supported by adequate infrastructure. E.g. parc Derwen, not enough school spaces, no GP, no bus route and as of 2021, no shops
731	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
731	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	The council need to attract local business back with pop ups, and reduced rent. The town is dead and this won't change until there is something there to visit. Anti social behaviour also needs to be monitored far better
731	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
731	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	No
731	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
731	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No
731	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
731	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Pavements and safe places to walk needs to be a priority. Pavements rarely go all the way to your destination and leads to very unsafe practices, particularly for children. E.g. parc Derwen to coity, no pavement. Parc Derwen to town, part of the way (to brackla and the hospital) then nothing, leaving a wide detour.
731	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	The small things are important to people. Bins more regularly placed on walking routes, cleanliness of public areas, safety while trying to travel ecologically ie pavements and lighting, a vibrant and active town where local producers are supported. Community centres actually being open for the community, not just handed back by Awen when not working.
731	

LDP Rep: 732

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Improve facilities for all ages in Porthcawl and make it a destination like tenby and pembrokeshire with a mix of theme parks and tourism busimesses
732	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
732	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
732	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
732	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
732	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
732	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
732	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
732	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

732	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
732	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Residents parking permits around esplanade, picton avenue
732	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
732	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
732	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
732	

LDP Rep: 734

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No more hose developments without the necessary infrastructure to support them
734	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No additional housing without the necessary infraction
734	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Too much management jargon, you need a clear plan.
734	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Town planning in Bridgend has not been one of your sting points. Bring in real professionals to complete the task.
734	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Before building more houses try to learn some lessons from the residents of the broad lands and Parc Derwen before you proceed. You might just learn something.
734	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	You have a huge site where Ford used to be, it's no coincidence that Ford pulled out the day after the M4 bypass was canned. Better road links to the east and to our airport are needed. We need a better trained workforce and a good technical college is really needed.
734	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	You will never be able to compete against the online retailers. Bridgend needs less shop space and free parking to attract customers. Bridgend is probably the least appealing town in the area. You need to be bold to turn it around or we will continue to watch it's slow death. Cut back on business rates for all small businesses and re-integrate cars back into the centre. Pedestrianisation has not worked.
734	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Window dressing,

734	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Our history needs to be preserved
734	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	None
734	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	None
734	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	None
734	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Good cycling paths would be great.
734	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	None
734	

LDP Rep: 735

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Yes
735	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
735	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Need more buses in Bettws other buses r every half hour and other run in the evening ours stops qt 4.30pm
735	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
735	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Our buses runs every hour only we don't even get evening buses other buses do we don't get subsides bus nomore I really on bus for my son
735	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
735	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
735	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
735	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

735	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Just need more bus every half hour bus run through Bettws and need evening bus
735	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
735	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
735	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
735	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
735	

LDP Rep: 736

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): [redacted]

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
736	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
736	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
736	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
736	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
736	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
736	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
736	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
736	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

736	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
736	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Reduce the dual carriageway to single lanes and develop the other two lanes into pedestrian and cycle paths with parking.
736	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
736	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
736	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
736	

LDP Rep: 737

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
737	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
737	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
737	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
737	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
737	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
737	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
737	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
737	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

737	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
737	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
737	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
737	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	It was with great distress and apprehension that I found out about the proposed plans to construct 850 houses on land between Laleston and Bryntirion. I find myself unable to adequately express my objections so repeat what has been so eloquently prepared by Councillor Charles Smith. Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic
737	

	ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims' way, Ffordd y Gyfraith ("The Way of the Law"), and a drovers' road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
737	

LDP Rep: 738

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
738	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
738	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
738	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
738	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
738	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
738	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
738	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
738	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

738	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
738	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
738	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
738	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
738	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. We moved to Bridgend in 1973, and building in and around Bridgend has been going on, and is still going on. A great deal of greenland, hedgerows and trees have been lost in the last 48 years, and we believe it now has to stop. Also any further building should be done on reclaimed land, such as as the old school site on Cefn Glas, an the old magistrates court in Bridgend. There are a lot of empty properties, i.e. shops, houses and factories that could be converted to houses, instead of using new land. We feel this is the way forward for Bridgend.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
738	

LDP Rep: 739

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
739	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
739	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
739	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
739	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
739	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
739	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
739	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
739	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

739	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
739	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Porthcawl needs more facilities for teenagers, skate park etc
739	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
739	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
739	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
739	

LDP Rep: 740

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
740	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
740	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
740	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
740	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
740	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
740	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
740	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
740	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

740	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
740	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
740	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
740	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site.
740	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
740	

LDP Rep: 741

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
741	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
741	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
741	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
741	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
741	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
741	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
741	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
741	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

741	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
741	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
741	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
741	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
741	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. It will also increase the traffic along Merlin Crescent, which is already overloaded during the school rush hours.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
741	

LDP Rep: 742

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
742	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No more homes needed, parking and leasure needed
742	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
742	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
742	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No more houses needed
742	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No more houses needed
742	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Retail sorted no more houses needed
742	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	If no houses built no need
742	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	More leasure needed

742	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
742	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	We don't need more houses
742	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
742	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
742	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	We don't need more housing we need leasure facilities and parking
742	

LDP Rep: 743

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
743	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
743	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
743	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
743	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
743	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
743	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
743	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
743	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

743	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
743	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
743	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
743	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
743	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
743	

LDP Rep: 744

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
744	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
744	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
744	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
744	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
744	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
744	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
744	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
744	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

744	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
744	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
744	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
744	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
744	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. I walk these lanes and fields everyday and see so much wildlife that would be disturbed.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
744	

LDP Rep: 745

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
745	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
745	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
745	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
745	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
745	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
745	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
745	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
745	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

745	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
745	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
745	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
745	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
745	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
745	

LDP Rep: 746

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
746	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
746	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
746	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
746	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
746	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
746	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
746	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
746	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

746	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
746	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
746	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
746	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
746	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. I would also like to stress when Broadlands was built, there was supposed to be a Dr's there which didn't happen. Schools are also got their full quota, If Llangewydd Road was closed to transport, Park Street and main road through Laleston would also be busier. The historical walks would be lost. I am completely against this development. Social housing is needed but we have had our fair share of housing developments this side of Bridgend and no extra facilities to support this.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
746	

LDP Rep: 747

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
747	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
747	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
747	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
747	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
747	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
747	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
747	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
747	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

747	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
747	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
747	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
747	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
747	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. I object strongly to the proposed housing development for the Bryntirion, and Laleston area on all counts. Bridgend was once a thriving market town Sadly through development it's no longer that, it's unable to cope with the following, Schools - very good, its seems most are full up. Doctors - Not possible to have appointments on the same day (To many people on the list) Hospital - Some treatment have to go to Cardiff. Traffic - Going into town, Park Street not able to keep to going, always hold ups, Too much. Nature - There used to be nice nature walks through woodlands and fields, now it's all concrete, don't take the little bit we have left, think of the children, the future.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
747	

LDP Rep: 748

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
748	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
748	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
748	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
748	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
748	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
748	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
748	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
748	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

748	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
748	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
748	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
748	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
748	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. We do not have the infrastructure to support anymore housing in this area. It is virtually impossible to get any doctors, dentist appointments and schools are full to capacity. Plus our local hospital find it difficult to meet current demands.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
748	

LDP Rep: 749

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
749	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
749	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
749	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
749	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
749	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
749	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
749	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
749	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

749	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
749	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
749	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
749	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
749	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. I agree with the overcrowding of our schools and the increase of traffic is beyond belief. I wonder why the Council wants to be rid of all the greenery there is very little of it left.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
749	

LDP Rep: 750

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
750	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
750	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
750	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
750	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
750	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
750	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
750	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
750	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

750	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
750	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
750	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
750	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
750	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
750	

LDP Rep: 751

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
751	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
751	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
751	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
751	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
751	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
751	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
751	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
751	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

751	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
751	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
751	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
751	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
751	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
751	

LDP Rep: 752

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
752	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I absolutely disagree with the proposal of putting extra housing on Island Farm. We simply do NOT have adequate infrastructure to support such a large housing estate. All the local schools are way over full and so are the Doctor surgeries. MerthyrMawr Road is so busy now and this will only get worse. Increased traffic will automatically be detrimental to the air quality overall. The only people who will benefit here are the developers, who are only interested in profit. Shameful that the LDP are even considering this proposal.
752	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I absolutely disagree with the proposal of putting extra housing on Island Farm. We simply do NOT have adequate infrastructure to support such a large housing estate. All the local schools are way over full and so are the Doctor surgeries. MerthyrMawr Road is so busy now and this will only get worse. Increased traffic will automatically be detrimental to the air quality overall. The only people who will benefit here are the developers, who are only interested in profit. Shameful that the LDP are even considering this proposal.
752	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I absolutely disagree with the proposal of putting extra housing on Island Farm. We simply do NOT have adequate infrastructure to support such a large housing estate. All the local schools are way over full and so are the Doctor surgeries. MerthyrMawr Road is so busy now and this will only get worse. Increased traffic will automatically be detrimental to the air quality overall. The only people who will benefit here are the developers, who are only interested in profit. Shameful that the LDP are even considering this proposal.
752	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I absolutely disagree with the proposal of putting extra housing on Island Farm. We simply do NOT have adequate infrastructure to support such a large housing estate. All the local schools are way over full and so are the Doctor surgeries. MerthyrMawr Road is so busy now and this will only get worse. Increased traffic will automatically be detrimental to the air quality overall. The only people who will benefit here are the
752	

	developers, who are only interested in profit. Shameful that the LDP are even considering this proposal.

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	There is absolutely NO guarantee or even evidence that extra housing will attract new jobs
752	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	I absolutely disagree with the proposal of putting extra housing on Island Farm. We simply do NOT have adequate infrastructure to support such a large housing estate. All the local schools are way over full and so are the Doctor surgeries. MerthyrMawr Road is so busy now and this will only get worse. Increased traffic will automatically be detrimental to the air quality overall. The only people who will benefit here are the developers, who are only interested in profit. Shameful that the LDP are even considering this proposal.
752	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	I absolutely disagree with the proposal of putting extra housing on Island Farm. We simply do NOT have adequate infrastructure to support such a large housing estate. All the local schools are way over full and so are the Doctor surgeries. MerthyrMawr Road is so busy now and this will only get worse. Increased traffic will automatically be detrimental to the air quality overall. The only people who will benefit here are the developers, who are only interested in profit. Shameful that the LDP are even considering this proposal.
752	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	I absolutely disagree with the proposal of putting extra housing on Island Farm. We simply do NOT have adequate infrastructure to support such a large housing estate. All the local schools are way over full and so are the Doctor surgeries. MerthyrMawr Road is so busy now and this will only get worse. Increased traffic will automatically be detrimental to the air quality overall. The only people who will benefit here are the developers, who are only interested in profit. Shameful that the LDP are even considering this proposal.
752	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
752	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
752	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys

ID	
752	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I absolutely disagree with the proposal of putting extra housing on Island Farm. We simply do NOT have adequate infrastructure to support such a large housing estate. All the local schools are way over full and so are the Doctor surgeries. MerthyrMawr Road is so busy now and this will only get worse. Increased traffic will automatically be detrimental to the air quality overall. The only people who will benefit here are the developers, who are only interested in profit. Shameful that the LDP are even considering this proposal.
752	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
752	

LDP Rep: 753

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
753	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
753	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
753	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
753	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
753	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
753	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
753	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
753	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

753	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
753	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
753	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
753	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
753	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. There is too much traffic already in this area as there is already houses being built off Barnes Avenue, which will make more traffic as its is. Plus there is not enough doctors, schools etc, an extra burden on the Council.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
753	

LDP Rep: 754

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
754	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
754	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
754	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
754	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
754	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
754	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
754	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
754	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

754	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
754	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
754	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
754	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
754	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
754	

LDP Rep: 755

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
755	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
755	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
755	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
755	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
755	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
755	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
755	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
755	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

755	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
755	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
755	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
755	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	We are residents at Priory Ave Bridgend and are whole heartily against the proposed planning application for houses on Island Farm. We feel that the added congestion will be overwhelming and dangerous. We already have difficulty leaving our street as it links to the main road to Ogmore by Sea. The GP's surgeries are already filled to capacity and parking is already a nightmare in our area. People are currently parking around the surrounding roundabout in Ewenny which is already extremely busy, dog walking is made difficult as cars are parked on the pavement surrounding Ewenny roundabout.. There would be concern of the new development effecting the natural wildlife along with adding an extra two thousand vehicles to our area would also massively heighten air pollution which in turn will effect people's health. Hopefully you will do the right thing and put the residents of Bridgend first and refuse the planning permission.
755	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
755	

LDP Rep: 645

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
645	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
645	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I suspect the regeneration of Ogmore Valley will take some doing. It's been too long.
645	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
645	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Again, I cannot see this happening in the Ogmore Valley. Currently there is no affordable housing to speak of, transport links are pretty dire and employment prospects, nil without substantial investment in every way.
645	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No clear and tangible plan for Ogmore Valley.
645	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
645	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
645	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	
645	There is an historical mosaic that has been languishing under the undergrowth in Ogmore Washeries for years which was supposedly going to be resurrected and made a place of interest currently. Not happened yet. Very poor interest in culture or history unless its rugby/sports related.

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Maesteg seems to be very fortunate with its proposals and investment prospects.
645	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Far more needs to be done in Porthcawl before it can be a 21st century tourist hot spot. However plenty of cash keeps heading in that direction.
645	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Improvements to public transport should be a priority. Last bus leaving town at 8.30pm totally unacceptable in this day and age. Hardly promotes tourism or in fact any kind of social activity. Where would new houses be built? Services are poor enough in the Valley, massive improvements needed. Tourism hub in Ogmore promoting what exactly? No shops, no jobs, few pubs or good restaurants, the cycle track is good but not maintained properly and taken care of by and large by volunteers. Community Centres need updating and more activities promoted especially for young people and older people.
645	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
645	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
645	

LDP Rep: 756

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
756	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
756	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
756	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
756	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
756	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
756	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
756	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
756	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

756	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
756	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
756	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
756	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I wish to register my objection to the planned housing developments on Island Farm and extension south of Broadlands. As we all know, the council foolishly approved planning permission for a development here several years ago which subsequently did not come to fruition. This now seems to have been re-submitted as residential build for which the one existing infrastructure cannot cope. Perhaps the proposer, as last time, should pay for all road upgrades? This seems to be, as last time, just a scheme to get a tennis academy built. Surely the time has come to stop the house building in Bridgend? We have two of the largest housing estates in Europe already. Local house prices are far more modest than much of the rest of the country which indicates that supply has not outstripped demand. Add to this Welsh Government's cessation of road building on climate grounds. This proposal will add to already high emissions for residents of this part of the county. Surely this does not fit in with Welsh Government policy? I realise that as with the last Island Farm planning proposal, objections will be ignored but I still wish to register an objection.
756	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
756	

LDP Rep: 757

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
757	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
757	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
757	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
757	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
757	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
757	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
757	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
757	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

757	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
757	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
757	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
757	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
757	We are writing to express our concerns at the proposal of new housing developments surrounding our home. We feel very strongly that the Number of houses proposed would cause absolute traffic and safety chaos on the roads around us which are already over congested for much of the day , every day ! It's already very difficult for us to leave and return to the Close because of constant volume of traffic and tailbacks on the A48. My wife works in Ewenny and it already takes her up to half and hour to return home because the traffic jams are often back down as far as Ewenny Pottery. I also find it a nightmare getting in and out of the Close and often feel I'm taking my life in my own hands when I pull out, especially when I have my young granddaughter with in the car with me during childcare . We will have to take her to Oldcastle school and back before long and this will turn in to an hour round trip . Also It's only a matter of time before there is another fatality on the A48 with drivers and pedestrians taking risks to join or cross the roads I'm sure ! Also pollution with traffic at a standstill is a worry and so unhealthy Furthermore facilities such as our doctors surgery and local schools are already at full capacity and won't be able to cope with so many more people. In addition the dwindling wildlife in the area is a real worry New homeowners for instance with cats roaming the area would virtually wipe out the door mice and some of the bird population in the surrounding fields. The proposed developments would make all this so much worse and how would emergency services be able to travel efficiently when required!? This area of Bridgend will not be able to cope with so many new houses There would be at least 2,000 extra vehicles in the area which is surely not acceptable to anyone. If the final decision is made to build houses please consider having not so many as proposed for the sake of everyone in this area.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
757	

LDP Rep: 758

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
758	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
758	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
758	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
758	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
758	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
758	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
758	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
758	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

758	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
758	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
758	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
758	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
758	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. • Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. • Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
758	

LDP Rep: 759

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
759	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
759	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
759	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
759	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
759	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
759	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
759	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
759	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

759	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
759	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
759	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
759	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	My name is Jon Brown and I am a local resident. I e-mail you to express my concerns regarding the proposed Replacement, Bridgend LDP, in particular the proposal to build over 1800 houses from Laleston to Ewenny roundabout. I have a number of concerns regarding the proposed LDP. Bridgend needs to have a diversified economy in order to create a sustainable base for our future communities. Much more detailed thought needs to be given to this issue which needs to include job creation, protection of the environment, developing tourism and physical and social infrastructure. It would appear that scant regard is being paid to such issues and I will address these points in order. 1 There is a proposal for allocation of 7000 houses to be built in Bridgend County , with 1800 of these being sited adjacent to the A48 in Bridgend. Bridgend has recently lost so many jobs such as Ford and failed to attract flagship investment which would have partially offset these loses. The number of proposed new houses need to be linked to the number of local job opportunities, this would then help create long term sustainable community development. The fact that houses seem to far out weigh local job prospects would suggest that many of the new homes would be taken up by people who work in and commute to other areas. 2 This area of Bridgend is currently a diverse environment of mostly flat and productive agricultural, woodland and Ewenny River course. It provides a diverse habitat for flora and fauna and is popular with walkers and joggers who use the designated footpaths and the site of the old prison camp for recreational purposes. Getting people to enjoy the out doors and exercise more is going to be essential to the long term well being of the people of Bridgend. The building of 1800 houses in this location will do little to further such aims. 3 Developing tourism is an area which there could be growth, with net benefits to the local community and the county over all. The Island Farm Prisoner of War site has a unique place in history and links in with the wider enormous effort Bridgend contributed to World War 2, the story of Bridgend's, significant contribution to save democracy in Europe in the second World War remains largely untold. The remaining hut and the rich history of the site only exists due to the hard work of local volunteers, but on the few occasions it is open to the public, hundreds of people attend both locally and from all over the UK. Unfortunately hundreds more cant, because the site and local volunteers are unable
759	

	to cater for the huge levels of interest from the public. The building of houses with no regard to this historically significant site would be nothing short of a public scandal. I would suggest that the LDP incorporates a protection zone for the remaining hut and surrounding woodland and access road and outline proposals are developed for a second World War museum on the site , which could create year round jobs and give Bridgend both a unique and higher profile in the UK and in Europe and beyound. Such an idea could help preserve both bio-diversity and create areas for recreational use alongside any Museum. I strongly recommend that the council consult with volunteers who have worked so hard to look after the hut and the wider people of Bridgend on this issue. I believe the above idea, if supported, would add significant all year value to Bridgend's tourism potential, as well as promoting the area and creating sustainable employment (joined up strategic planning), perhaps this should be funded by 106 agreements should any development proposals be approved. 4 The A48 and tributary roads are already fairly congested at peak times and without any accessible rail /bus public transport infrastructure proposed running east/west along the A48 corridor the development can only add to road traffic volumes, congestion and pollution. There needs to be far more work done on potential impact of increased traffic and the cost of new physical infrastructure needed to meet any new demands. 5 The social infrastructure needs of such an increase in population need to be clearly identified and a detailed plan proposed an how social needs such as increased school places, demands on medical and other social provision are to be meet. If all the above points are addressed in the proposed LDP then Bridgend will move towards creating viable and sustainable community development, if they are not then there could be significant long term detrimental impact on the quality of life for many current residents.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
759	

LDP Rep: 760

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
760	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
760	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
760	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
760	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Keep The Cycle Path which is situated through The Garw Valley and remove The Railway and the corrupt people associated to it. A Railway through part of the valley is a waste of money.
760	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
760	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	What retail centres ?
760	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	We see Wind Turbines being built all over the Garw Valley, but we get no benefit from them. It`s a good back hander for someone.
760	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	The Scrap yard in Pontycymer which should be an Engine Shed, should be demolished. It is an eye sore and a waste of land.
760	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
760	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
760	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Improve Public Transport ? We have had no Public Transport through Pontyrhyl since 2019, so how can you improve it ?
760	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
760	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
760	

LDP Rep: 761

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
761	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
761	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
761	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
761	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
761	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
761	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
761	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
761	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

761	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
761	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
761	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
761	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	DO NOT BUILD THE HOUSES We live in Priory Close CF31 3LW and oppose this planning. Traffic will be horrendous, it is very bad now, in the summer or of an evening it takes me 40 minutes to get from the pottery home in the summer. It also takes me 30 minutes to get from Tesco's home. The roundabout is extremely busy and dangerous now, there have been too many accidents and deaths on that roundabout already. There are alot of children who cross that roundabout to go to and from school and with more traffic there will be more children and undoubtedly more accidents. Also outside the school where the children cross the road to go to Brynteg school, there is no zebra crossing or pedestrian crossing and not even a lollypop person, I have seen so many close calls it is frightening. More people added into in this small area is going to be chaos we do not have enough amenities, jobs, dentists, hospitals, doctors now. You cannot get a doctors appointment and our hospital waiting lists are very long now let alone adding the pressure of 1800 more homes with thousands of more adults and children. Please do not allow this to be passed
761	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
761	

LDP Rep: 762

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
762	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
762	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
762	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
762	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
762	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
762	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
762	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
762	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

762	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
762	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
762	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
762	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I must strongly object to the proposed development at island farm and the one south of broadlands roundabout. The reasons for my objection are; 1. There isn't the road infrastructure in place for this to be a viable proposition. 2. Pollution. Queueing vehicles emit a lot more emissions than those that are travelling. We already have queueing traffic along that road at different times of the day. This will make it worse. 3. Ref. Item 2. People in power play lip service to climate change. Expect the population to make costly changes to their lives while decisions such as these two estates are proposed. If you are serious about climate change these proposals should be removed. 4. Our illustrious leader at the Welsh assembly has blocked all new road improvements so that is not an option. 5. Our surgeries and hospital are already overburdened this will make matters worse. 6. The beautiful area of Merthyr Mawr is likely to see more visitors. Potentially destroying an area of outstanding beauty. I trust this objection will be registered and I will be kept informed of future news on these developments.
762	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
762	

LDP Rep: 763

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
763	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
763	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
763	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
763	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Leisure and sporting facilities are poor in porthcawl and should be grown
763	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
763	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
763	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
763	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

763	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
763	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
763	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
763	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
763	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
763	

LDP Rep: 764

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
764	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
764	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
764	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
764	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
764	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
764	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
764	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
764	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

764	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
764	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
764	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
764	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
764	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
764	

LDP Rep: 765

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
765	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
765	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
765	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
765	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
765	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
765	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
765	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
765	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

765	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
765	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
765	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
765	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. • Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. • Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. • Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. • Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. • The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. • The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. • This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site. •
765	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. • No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. • The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. • This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. • The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. o Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. o Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. • In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP. The infrastructure does not comply with additional housing which includes, schools and especially hospitals and noise pollution.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
765	

LDP Rep: 766

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
766	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
766	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
766	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
766	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Help to buy schemes should be in place for local residents who are renting or cannot get on the property ladder
766	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Leisure complex to attract visitors all year thus providing jobs all year round
766	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	There should be a incentives for small local businesses to set up here as well as incentives for local businesses already in the town.
766	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
766	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	The public toilets in John street should be fully renovated and restored

766	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
766	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Bus links need to be put in place from porthcawl town to nottage and Newton , better and faster transport links to Swansea and Cardiff (2 hr) bus to Cardiff is unacceptable. We need leisure facilities in porthcawl that are weather proof and attract visitors all year round. Especially in light of the covid pandemic where visitors wanting to use the pool in Trecco have faced huge queues because of limiting numbers. We need more facilities in town to bring people into the centre
766	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
766	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
766	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
766	

LDP Rep: 767

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Porthcawl desperately needs a swimming pool and leisure centre. The current one at Trecco bay holiday park is over run by tourists, therefore locals cannot use. We have various lifesaving clubs who would make excellent use, alongside our growing community. We need to keep green open spaces. We need more leisure opportunities like in Aberavon such as a splash park big enough to cater for our community and bring in more tourists to ensure we survive as a tourist destination. We do not require anymore housing, especially in areas that should be for our community. More leisure and things that will bring people to our beautiful seaside town rather than more housing.
767	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Do not build houses on this land. Build a leisure centre with swimming pool, skate park , splash park, parking spaces and places to walk to bring people to the area. Houses do not attract tourists or provide long term jobs.
767	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	A leisure centre would be health and education benefits. The land should not be used for a school or housing. It is a prime location to be developed to benefit our community and attract people to visit to create more jobs. There are plenty of other places to build housing. Look at aberavon and take inspiration.
767	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	A leisure centre improves everyone's health and well-being. Our community is growing rapidly therefore cannot continue to rely on pyle or Bridgend as their communities continue to increase also.
767	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	We need a leisure centre, similar to that in Bridgend. Our community is large snd growing, our community require more meeting spaces for leisure opportunities and do not require house building on prime land.
767	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	A leisure centre would provide various long term jobs and support the community in attracting tourists to visit other than the holiday park.
767	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?

ID	Build a leisure centre for our community
767	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	We already have a tip being build in pyle which looks large enough for our community
767	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Build a leisure centre not housing. Look at aberavon for inspiration.
767	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
767	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No residential housing needed on areas outlined in porthcawl.
767	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
767	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
767	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Stop building housing and look at what the community actually needs. This will help grow our community and create long term employment opportunities.
767	

LDP Rep: 768

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
768	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
768	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
768	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
768	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
768	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
768	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
768	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
768	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

768	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
768	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Porthcawl doesn't need more retailers
768	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
768	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
768	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
768	

LDP Rep: 769

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Too high level for comments, public need an appropriate consultation...not this
769	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Too high level for comments, public need an appropriate consultation...not this
769	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Too high level for comments, public need an appropriate consultation...not this
769	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Too high level for comments, public need an appropriate consultation...not this
769	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Too high level for comments, public need an appropriate consultation...not this
769	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Too high level for comments, public need an appropriate consultation...not this
769	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Too high level for comments, public need an appropriate consultation...not this
769	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Too high level for comments, public need an appropriate consultation...not this
769	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Too high level for comments, public need an appropriate consultation...not this

769	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
769	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Proper open and transparent consultation with people of Porthcawl needed prior to any development of the Waterfront site and surrounding area
769	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
769	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
769	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Too high level for comments, public need an appropriate consultation...not this
769	

LDP Rep: 770

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
770	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
770	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Although this sounds good on paper, housing always goes up before infrastructure is in place. Health services are already under considerable strain and there are more cars on the road than ever. I fully support an expansion of public transport and health services but fear it will forever be playing catch up as more people are encouraged to move into already crowded areas such as Porthcawl, which as a tourist attraction has the added burden of accommodating the needs of thousands of transient visitors.
770	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	There has been much talk of new housing being built but I would prefer to see existing buildings repurposed and am concerned that there are too many spaces (for example above shops) that are not being used. I support the idea of bringing people to live in town centres rather than in suburban developments, to increase footfall and presence in retail areas
770	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	An increase in housing supply is unfortunately necessary but I hope it will consider the needs of low income families and those working in the gig economy who have no job security. Too much social housing is aimed at those in secure employment. In Porthcawl there is a desperate need for more rented accommodation that is affordable and allows local people to stay in the area. I am concerned that an increase in housing supply here will simply lead to more holiday home owners and less real opportunities for local people
770	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	There are so many empty premises in the towns of Bridgend Borough that would benefit from this approach, that I fail to see the reason for building any more
770	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?

ID	This is long overdue and I am totally in favour of this
770	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Waste management policies should be supported by adequate and efficient recycling facilities, which currently fall short thanks in part to the inadequacy of Kier. Bridgend lacks the facilities to recycle as efficiently as it could, and this should be addressed as an urgent priority
770	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Considerable thought should be given to the effect of building on or around the coastline in Porthcawl, given that sea levels are expected to rise. Newton dunes should be preserved as an area of natural beauty and importance
770	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
770	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	A park and ride scheme is long overdue. Public transport links in Porthcawl are woeful, particularly links to Swansea. There is an urgent need to rectify this if we are ever to get cars off the road and decrease congestion on the M4
770	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
770	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
770	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
770	

LDP Rep: 771

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
771	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
771	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
771	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
771	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
771	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
771	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
771	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
771	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

771	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
771	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
771	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	We definitely need the community path/cycle route looked at. It is so narrow in some areas it's almost impassable. We also need pot holes filling PROPERLY not just filling with a bit of tarmac and tamping down with a shovel! My street is particularly awful
771	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
771	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
771	

LDP Rep: 772

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
772	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	505 homes will probably have 1110 people looking for jobs. If 500 jobs available homes should be limited to 250 pa. more realistic
772	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Providing infrastructure is the most important part and IMO the part that is nearly always least achieved. New health centre in Porthcawl cannot cope with demand of current residents and seasonal influx of holidaymakers. Adding 1115 houses is completely unsustainable
772	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	It's a great ambition but needs to be balanced with reality ie great to get rid of the eyesore that salt lake car park has become but you need to incorporate viable and substantial alternative parking resolutions for visitors
772	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Infrastructure should be put in place first. So much new housing in the borough that it is definitely a worse place to live than 20 years ago in terms of healthcare provision being way understaffed, school class sizes constantly increasing, traffic and parking big issues
772	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Since coronavirus there are so many fewer jobs in the area. Many businesses forced to close or reduce. A pause should be put on housing to give the economy time to recover, else you are just adding to the plight of individuals already in the borough
772	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Out of town centres are great for attracting big names to the borough and should be encouraged. Put the generic big names ther and leave high streets available for small businesses and community projects. Stop penalising out of town centres
772	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	National pressure should be put on manufacturers and supermarkets to reduce packaging rather than penalising small businesses and individual households that are easy targets. Also new recycling centre at Pyle is a big mistake - the infrastructure is not in place
772	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	If this is so important why is the area of salt lake car park, right on the seafront being used for supermarket and housing? It's ridiculous. This area should be used to show off the location. Houses and supermarket should go inland
772	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
772	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Too many houses in Porthcawl 1115 is ridiculous. Infrastructure is NOT in place. See previous comments. I like the plan to get Porthcawl better public transport. Atm it is shocking
772	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
772	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
772	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I think it is mainly focused on housing for the council to raise tax revenue. I fear that in another 10 years you will have made the borough a worse place to live and visit than you have done in the last 20 years I think these surveys are a paper exercise and you have already decided what to do I think your priorities are wrong and you should have more women in charge
772	

LDP Rep: 773

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	no
773	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	no
773	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	no
773	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	no
773	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
773	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
773	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
773	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
773	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

773	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
773	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
773	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
773	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
773	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
773	

LDP Rep: 774

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	A travellers site in Bryncethin would restrict the usage of the proposed site. It would not create active, cohesive and social communities(SOBJ2) productive and enterprising places(SOBJ3) nor would it project and enhance distinctive and natural places(SOBJ4) It would limit the use of the area to the local community reducing the ability to active and healthy.
774	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	The provision of a traveller site in Bryncethin will not prevent outward migration from the area or encourage younger, skilled residents. The plans of playing fields, park and nature walk that have been submitted will however make the area more attractive with increased amenities and possibly additional jobs.
774	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Being the gateway to both Ogmore and Garw valley the development of playing fields and nature park would enhance and support the current regeneration plans, where as a traveller site wouldn't. This park and playing fields would benefit the community and surrounding areas especially as there has been so much housing development with no improvement to facilities.
774	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	A traveller site would not create a sustainable place where people want to live, work and socialise. However the development of playing fields/nature park etc on the site include social, economic, environmental and cultural aspects. It would improve the environment and people's health and well-being, whilst providing space and amenities for community events etc.
774	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	A traveller site would restrict usage to a minority rather than the community as a whole. it would not provide well-connected, cohesive communities that are active, healthy and social. In addressing wider community needs, there is a particular emphasis on providing a mix of complementary uses that are accessible and will meet the needs of all members of society. However the development of playing fields/ nature park etc would.
774	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	A traveller site would not attract and retain economically active households within the county borough or a sustained and enhanced labour force, comprising skilled,
774	

	established households. A development such as playing fields / nature park etc would make the area more attractive to people, encourage local groups, bring footfall to the area thereby stimulating economic growth and enhancing employment opportunities for local people.

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
774	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
774	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	A traveller site would not enhance or improve the natural and built environment. The development of playing fields/nature park etc would help attract investment, promote tourism, provide cultural experiences and encourage healthy lifestyles for communities.
774	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
774	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
774	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	The addition of a nature park/ playing fields in Bryncethin for the local community and wider areas would promote and be beneficial to the development of Ogmore and Garw Valleys.
774	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
774	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Bryncethin has seen massive housing development over the recent years without any improvement to facilities. It has gone from a village where people came together and knew everyone, to a place where everyone are strangers. A traveller site would not remedy this, however the development of recently resubmitted plans for playing fields, Park, nature walk etc would encourage local people to spend time in the area
774	

	when not working(currently it is just a base). It would also encourage people from the wider community to spend time in the area. The proposed car park there would also offer vehicle parking for commuters to and from the valleys so that they may car share. Bryncethin village has a growing population of adults and youngsters and there are no local amenities available for them, other than one rugby field and a small park. Bryncethin RFC previously had access to the playing fields at the old Ogmore Comprehensive site but that was lost due to houses being built there. They only have the one now for their 8 teams(including one for people with disabilities) to use. The development of the additional playing fields would benefit them and the local community. This development would benefit the whole community whereas as the proposed traveller site would only benefit a few.

LDP Rep: 775

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): n/a

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
775	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
775	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I note the 30% target for affordable housing in Porthcawl. Given the lack of affordable properties for rent or purchase in Porthcawl, I urge the council not to accept waivers or any other deferment option on affordable homes.
775	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I urge the council to ensure that accessibility standards are applied rigorously to all properties to ensure that housing, work, leisure and other opportunities are not denied to disabled people because of a failure to apply Lifetime Home and other minimum standards
775	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
775	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
775	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	As above, I urge the council to ensure that accessibility standards are applied rigorously to all properties to ensure that housing, work, leisure and other opportunities are not denied to disabled people because of a failure to apply accessibility standards
775	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	

775	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
775	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
775	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	I urge the council to maximise the tourism and residential facilities in Porthcawl, applying access and affordability standards throughout.
775	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
775	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
775	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
775	

LDP Rep: 776

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	SOBJ4 does not seem to be a priority.
776	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Where are the jobs to justify the housing developments planned.
776	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Taking away the character of key seaside resort in no shape or form involves so called spatial strategy.
776	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Not appropriate to current community.
776	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	New homes would only provide more money for the Bridgend Council coffers.
776	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	All in favour of employment stategies properly thought out and appropriate to the area.
776	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Open areas are fast disappearing due to bad planning.
776	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Waste management could be an issue and also sewerage demand close to the Sea.
776	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

776	Conserving the historic environment is a thing of the past overridden by economic priorities .

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
776	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
776	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
776	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
776	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
776	

LDP Rep: 777

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	With the plans for development at island farm, how are the safety of drivers and pedestrians going to be a priority? At the moment the traffic is awful and it is very unsafe to walk on the pavement from where I live to ewenny garden centre. The amount of lorries that drive through and traffic with such a small pavement and plant growth makes this area unsafe as it is. When you introduce more traffic how can this be controlled. There is safety issues with residents crossing the road to the science park, again with increased traffic with the proposed plans how will this be managed. Traffic is already an issue from Tescos to broadlands, how will this improve with added traffic?
777	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
777	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Traffic is not the only issue, there are natural habitats, protected buildings, and history that should be protected and enjoyed by visitors coming to see the areas. The reason Merthyr Mawr is enjoyed because it's secluded. Children and animals have the freedom without the worry of increased traffic in the area. Why can't natural habitats and historical sites be enjoyed in natural surroundings with some countryside. For the amount of employment in the science park compared to the amount of homes that will go there, it doesn't make sense.
777	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	To improve health and wellbeing is to have natural environments. Taking away history and local countryside areas can take away areas that would benefit wellbeing. Increased traffic can increase stress and anxiety.
777	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
777	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
777	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
777	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
777	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Merthyr Mawr, island farm should be left for a natural, historic environment. Not to be distroid by traffic
777	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
777	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
777	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
777	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	To keep island farm natural. Traffic is unsafe around the science park and to increase traffic in that area, and from broadlands to Tesco will also add to the distress of local residents and drivers.
777	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Please consider schools that are already struggling, hospital that has struggled for years, roads that are congested everyday. Historical sites that should be protected and attractive for visitors to come and see the natural environment instead of being surrounded by homes. Safety of the residents and children around island farm, ewenny road. It is unsafe as it is now, increased traffic will only make this worse. I have written to council about safety of the area already.
777	

LDP Rep: 778

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I think that as an ordinary member of the public I have an average understanding of the English language however I find the jargon used in this document indeed on this page to be wordy and convoluted. If you want the public to engage with this consultation you need to use everyday language. After looking at the map and reading the text I could not gain sufficient understanding as to how things may look on the ground. It feels to me that you made language a barrier not a help to communication.
778	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
778	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
778	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
778	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
778	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
778	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
778	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	

778	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
778	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
778	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
778	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
778	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
778	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	It feels like a paper exercise with no real time frame or simple specifics. EG when will the transport hub in Pyle be up and running, is the mineral extraction increasing or decreasing(I could not understand). Are these plans (and what are they) to attract families to area being drawn up in conjunction with increasing dentist, GP and hospital capacity as these feel overstretched already? As previously stated I failed to understand much of what was written and cannot believe I am alone in that.
778	

LDP Rep: 780

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
780	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
780	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
780	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
780	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
780	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
780	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
780	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
780	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

780	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
780	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	The LDP was evidently planned long before Covid and needs to be revised to take this into consideration, given that families are being encouraged to holiday in the UK. This has greatly impacted on Porthcawl, evidently the chosen destination of most day-trippers from this region and beyond. With the increase of 'stay-cations', provision must be made to accommodate this extra influx, not turn them away. Para 1d states admirably 'Porthcawl, in its pivotal position on the Swansea Bay waterfront, should maintain and enhance its role as a vibrant and distinctive tourism and leisure destination'. But how can building on the town's largest car park 'maintain or enhance' Porthcawl as a visitor and tourist destination ? The LDP will, in fact, decrease the number of visitors, as there will be over a thousand less parking spaces. The addition of at least 1115 new homes will greatly exasperate the situation. The rationale of the LDP is given in Appendix 5, that states 'With specific regard to parking, the Transport Assessment recognises that car ownership per household in Porthcawl is generally below the level of residential parking provision required by the current adopted SPG17.' With many multi-occupancy properties, hotels and family homes, I cannot believe this. However this statement has no relevance on a sunny weekend, when residents know better than to drive into Porthcawl and risk losing their parking spaces. My street, the closest to Coney Beach with no restrictions, is often full of cars looking for somewhere to park…….. we have requested resident parking and been told no. We have requested permit parking and been told there is no timescale for rolling this out - so the loss of all those parking spaces in salt lake car park is going to cause even more problems for myself and my many elderly neighbours. Over recent bank holidays, Porthcawl was gridlocked and cars were parked on every grass verge, as all the town car-parks, including Salt Lake, were full. None of those cars belonged to residents, so this statement is irrelevant and, since it refers to the biggest issue concerning residents, it must be reviewed. I was amazed to be told that BCBC does not have a parking strategy for Porthcawl and the authority should adopt one as a matter of urgency, if they wish to adhere to the statement in 1d above - and this needs to become a priority issue before any building work begins on salt lake! The statement at Appendix 5 is also very confusing and warrants explanation 'On this basis, and given the inherently sustainable location of the brownfield regeneration area, it is anticipated that future proposals would seek to minimise car parking in response to the need generated from the development. This approach allows a degree of positive flexibility to be applied at design and development stage and would remain in accord with the current emphasis at a national policy level to shift towards applying maximum car parking standards as opposed to minimum standards.' As the LDP will minimise parking in Porthcawl, this would impact greatly on visitors and residents and appears, once again, to contradict the statement at 1d. Porthcawl's two main roads - the A4229 from South Cornelly and A4106 from Laleston - are single lane and both begin and end at roundabouts that
780	

	restrict traffic flow considerably. Yet A2.8.4 states there are no opportunities to 'further increase the route's capacity' . This is ludicrous, given that traffic heading towards Porthcawl has been queuing back to South Cornelly on many occasions. Before any new development takes place, Porthcawl's road infrastructure must be improved. Anyone believing that visitors will use a 'park and ride' facility at Pyle has evidently never visited Porthcawl on a busy day. Our visitors will continue driving into Porthcawl, but only those who find somewhere to park will stay. This too appears to contradict the principle stated in 1d. The inevitable traffic jams caused by reduced parking provision in Porthcawl will also impact on the shuttle buses serving the 'park and ride' as local delivery businesses will affirm to. I was also intrigued by this statement regarding the power supply to the proposed new development on Sandy Bay -'In order to deliver this electricity a point of connection from Nottage Primary Sub-station together with 2 high voltage cables from the substation to the development would be required. The cost of this connection has been estimated as circa £1.1 million with this representing a key abnormal cost that needs to be considered as part of the viability and associated design development process. Surely the supply of electricity to the new development should be a priority at the earliest stage of planning ? I am amazed that this LDP was designed just six miles from Porthcawl, as it illustrates an ignorance of our town and its needs that many find alarming. If it were to proceed, Porthcawl's days as a resort that attracts visitors are over.

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
780	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
780	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
780	

LDP Rep: 438

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
438	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Integration of transport.
438	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
438	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Green technologies, including green transport, Internet access everywhere including in places like Llangwydd, Nantyffyllon, Caerau, Blaencaerau and Cymmer.
438	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Police hubs, local transport police and patrolling assistants for the care of vulnerable people including homeless people to insure that if a referral to a hospital services/mental health units are needed then that would be positive way forward.
438	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	working with employers to increase local employment and for an increase in a work life balance.
438	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Centralised services including hospitals, schools, sports centres, shoe shops.
438	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	zero carbon.
438	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?

ID	all green technologies must generate green zero carbon cryptocurrency to spend on local services. This will future proof the green local zero carbon economy.
438	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Green technologies that have a zero carbon footprint.
438	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Green technologies that have a zero carbon footprint.
438	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Green technologies that have a zero carbon footprint.
438	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Green technologies that have a zero carbon footprint.
438	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
438	

LDP Rep: 781

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I feel that the building of houses on Salt Lake car park would destroy the attractive coastline and reduce the number of visitors because of the lack of parking facilities. Even with the facility as it is at present, on sunny days, visitors unable to find a parking space park on our green spaces. They have no regard for wildlife and are also willing to park in residents parking spaces. These are already in short supply. Is the healthy, active and social community vision regarding the building of what will be a housing estate on the front? What about the rest of the community who already live here benefit? Is the throwing in of an Aldi supposed to make us a more social community? What exactly are the productive and enterprising places? More cafes, fish shops. We have enough of those? I agree with leisure facilities. Open air swimming pool (or boating lake), mini golf, places to attract visitors not push them away by greeting them with a claustrophobic arrangement of modern houses which block out the remaining quaint houses on the seafront. You are not protecting natural places, and let's be honest a housing estate right on the coast is not going to enhance anything besides the revenue from the new residents into the council coffers.
781	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	If that's the case why are there over 1,000 houses earmarked for one site and others being considered. There is need for regeneration, but that is not building on every space you can in Porthcawl
781	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	In my opinion, the Borough is plundering space throughout the area. I notice that it says brownfield initially, so greens pace after that? I do not believe that the plan is encouraging growth of new or existing businesses, as new enterprises woukd be put off by the lack of access and parking in the town. Present businesses are already struggling. Transport is lacking too. Getting here is not easy on public Transport.
781	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	A scale model of the proposed design development should be available for public viewing and discussion as soon as possible
781	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	How does overcrowding, reducing space and views make for a happy, heathy, and cohesive community?
781	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?

ID	As I have previously said. With no parking facilities, and reduce footfall as a result, businesses are not going to invest in the area.
781	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	I have no objections with some of Salt Lake being used for an Aldi. A lot of local retail premises are charity shops or are empty because they cannot afford the business rates
781	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	More housing =more people, more cars, more emissions, parking issues. More waste etc.
781	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Your plans and this statement are a contradiction. You cannot build over 1,000 houses of differing sizes etc., and sustain natural and historic beauty. The number of houses you are aspiring to build, is not conducive to happy and healthy communities.
781	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
781	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
781	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
781	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
781	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Reconsider your plans and your reasons for wanting to build so many houses /apartments.
781	

LDP Rep: 782

Representor Name: Richard Johnson

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
782	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
782	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
782	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
782	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No
782	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
782	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
782	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
782	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

782	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
782	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
782	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
782	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
782	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
782	

LDP Rep: 783

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I am horrified at the plans to build 847 houses on Island Farm and 110 at Craig Y Parcau (south of Broadlands roundabout).
783	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I am concerned about the impact on the wildlife that live at these sites. Dormice and horseshoe bats live there, amongst others and would be greatly affected by the developments. Also the infrastructure in Bridgend is already stretched and would be further impacted.
783	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Some concerns as previously mentioned.
783	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	The design of these sites is not well thought out. Ewenny roundabout would become a bottleneck causing stress to commuters.
783	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
783	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
783	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
783	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
783	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Again see previous comments. Preserving wildlife habitat and the historically important site of Hut 9 at Island Farm should be of high importance to the council.
783	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
783	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
783	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
783	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Please see previous concerns.
783	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I STRONGLY oppose the development at Island Farm and Craig Y Parcau.
783	

LDP Rep: 784

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
784	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
784	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
784	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
784	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
784	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
784	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
784	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
784	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

784	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
784	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
784	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
784	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I would like to object to the development of land on Island Farm. This is near Merthyr Mawr - a tourist attraction. And New Inn road is very busy as it is. I also have safety concerns about the A48 getting busier.
784	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
784	

LDP Rep: 785

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
785	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
785	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
785	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
785	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
785	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
785	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
785	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
785	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

785	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
785	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
785	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
785	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I / We hereby object to the above proposal, and ask for this site to be deleted from the final LDP, on the following grounds. Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called “affordable” housing would be beyond the means of most young persons. Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful due to road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer would therefore be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the local place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly adequately addressed. Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. The site has an inherently rural aspect, It forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be the urbanisation of the entire district. Urbanisation would violate the council’s objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrims’ way, Ffordd y Gyfraith (“The Way of the Law”), and a drovers’ road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archaeology on site.
785	

	The proposed site is criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanising them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for "corridors" would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. This urbanisation would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanisation to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would cause further coalescence, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. Undesirable because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists. Alternative vehicle movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a "natural" boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of only slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds of dwellings to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of the Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
785	

LDP Rep: 786

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	To ensure that in carrying out the four proposals the ability of the general infrastructure for human waste, river and rainfall runoffs, and accessibility in and out of Pencoed in particular. Are of sufficient size and type to cope with any develoopment proposal. This would include upgrading of existing systems to ensure they are not overloaded and not susseptable to flooding. Any work found necessary to be completed before stating any development at any site.
786	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
786	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
786	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
786	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	If that means that the developers can build fancy houses to jack up the prices then I see it as never accomplishing the prime objective because people will not be able to afford them. On the other hand, if modern methods of build mean better, environmentally friendly, cheaper to run housing for a family to single-occupancy living at an affordable price, it's a good target to aim at.
786	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Historically work has come first and housing, if the work is sufficient, second. Where, what and how? our industrial estates are run down and look like ghost towns.
786	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No more Tescos and Asdas let's give Morrisons a shot. As long as the superstores are there with easy free parking town centres will never compete. The first consideration must be adequate and free parking within easy walking.
786	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
786	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
786	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
786	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
786	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
786	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Improved sewage network. Improved river flow. The vast increase of traffic needs major highway alterations
786	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	The existing road and sewage networks are under pressure now some areas have flooded in the past. all these issues must be addressed before the building of houses. If the developers are involved at the beginning to sort out the old drain systems to cope with the ever-increasing demands it will be an easy task. In all of this, a proactive approach is needed. It's more cost-effective than hind sight
786	

LDP Rep: 787

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
787	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
787	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
787	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
787	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
787	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
787	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
787	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
787	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

787	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
787	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
787	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
787	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I wish to comment on the planned building of 800 houses on the island farm development. This has poor public transport links and is served by 1 bus, every hour, i.e. it has capacity for 5% of the development per bus. In addition it will add considerable traffic to the local infrastructure which already has long tail backs at peak times and during sunny days (beach access). Finally the proposed access road is in places single file and therefore is unsuitable for increases in traffic and there is no pavement to facilitate pedestrian access to the surrounding areas south of the development. It is therefore in contravention of policy LS7, and will not tackle the "Climate Emergency" as such a development, so far from existing transport links will simply result in more car ownership and therefore journeys
787	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
787	

LDP Rep: 676

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Need to create high quality sustainable places for nature
676	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Growth should be downgraded to improve the environment
676	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Regenerate for the benefit of the existing population without increasing housing and population
676	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Consideration should be afforded to the natural world people's health and well-being are linked to it
676	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Quality of life will not be enhanced by building on our green spaces it's time to stop expanding
676	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Economic growth should be concentrated on existing and brown field areas
676	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Revitalise Bridgend town centre and limit out of town industrial development where the only access is through transport and increased pollution
676	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Wind farms are an eye sore and not environmentally friendly their use should be limited to offshore and at the limits of our territorial waters
676	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Largely agree

676	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
676	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No
676	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
676	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No
676	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
676	

LDP Rep: 788

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	as well as creating the above you should be giving priority to existing area and places
788	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	i see lots of development in the major hubs and considering the amount of money BCBC send to Cardiff a very poor return comes this way in my opinion.
788	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	previous developments have gone ahead with little thought to improving the Transport Structure. Two exits out of Broadlands, the same in Parc Derwen are prime examples. How many years has a loop on the Maesteg line and a Brackla Halt been talked about. Even without a station a footbridge from Coychurch Road to the Industrial Estate could have been provided. These policies go upto 2033. We will probably still be talking about them then.
788	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I watch with interest
788	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	With the push for Electric vehicles will the developments take on board charging vehicles without the need for wires to cross footways.
788	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Local Authorities should have pushed far harder to get the M4 relief road built, if i was looking to build and develop in Bridgend and the Valleys the congestion would be a major consideration in my mind.
788	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	There is only so much you can put in a small space, the amount of traffic around the Tesco Store and Mcdonalds is a nightmare yet further development is pushed in a if Island Farm goes for housing i dread to think that it would be impossible to avoid saturation unless they all jump on their bike!
788	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Waste management in European countries seems far better managed with underground designated skips placed at strategic locations in built up areas encouraging better waste disposal and less litter.
788	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	it is so important to protect the natural environment and the historical features without development threatening to impinge on such areas. Every effort should be made to promote Porthcawl. So much could have been done in the past.
788	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	no
788	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	no
788	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	The potential of a major tourism development of Garw Railway linking back to Tondu would be a tremendous attraction has can be seen from such lines in other parts of the country
788	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	The Transport improvements should be of prime concern before any development however as i previously stated the current network is not maintained to a high standard. Has the cost per cyclist that use some of the new routes ever been evaluated?
788	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Not really because i do not believe that consultation responses are taken seriously it is just a tick the box excercise and Councillors advised by Officers will still go ahead even if public opinion is heavily weighted against.
788	

LDP Rep: 789

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I am concerned about the proposal to develop the Island Farm area. Traffic in this area is already troublesome - far heavier than a few years ago - and there can be no doubt that several hundred additional dwellings will make this significantly worse.
789	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I do not believe that this plan will attract employers. There is little in the plan to attract increased employment. It would attract house-seekers, who will travel have to elsewhere to work. Magnet for employers? Naive.
789	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	In theory, all this is positive. However, the proposed huge development at Island Farm would cause huge disruption to current locals with the increased traffic, and dreadful damage to the fauna at Island Farm.
789	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	If these grand objectives are indeed the plan, then supporting the proposed huge development at Island Farm would achieve the opposite. i.e. The Island Farm proposal would flatten the greenery, cram far too many houses in, and immensely increase the traffic congestion and therefore pollution.
789	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	This mentions "recognise the role of the wider environment for good health and well being". Huge development at Island Farm would fly in the face of this. Traffic congestion, increased pollution, thousands of extra residents with little in the way of infrastructure improvement will make all these grand plans worse for current residents.
789	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Pie in the sky. These plans relate almost entirely to massive increase in dwellings. These fine words about new employers moving in, existing employers expanding locally, stimulation of economic growth are all pie in the sky, just a wish list with very little to give practical support to such ideals.
789	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	The town centre is a pathetic shadow of what it was 20, 30, 40 years ago. It is no longer vibrant, alive or a hub of the area, as it once was.These proposals do nothing
789	

	to convince me that you wish this to change. Indeed, I think you are accepting that this trend - ie the slow death of the town centre, will continue.

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	Increasing low carbon projects? Are you serious? All these proposed new dwellings will cause HUGE increases in traffic, in traffic congestion, and in pollution. All traffic being electric is still a long way away. This part of the plan, I'm sorry to say, is naive.
789	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	I read this, then wondered if you think the proposed huge development at Island Farm can be part of it. "Conserving and enhancing the natural and historic environment". Good grief, Island Farm being developed as planned will be the very opposite of this.
789	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	None
789	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	None
789	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	None
789	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Far too much housing development planned south of Bridgend. Housing is the primary target here - leisure, commercial and education just a tiny part.
789	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	None
789	

LDP Rep: 790

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Bridgend LDP Review 2018-33 Rebuttal to Allocation Of COM1 (2) and PLA 2 - Housing and Growth Allocations, South of A48 Bridgend Para 1.1.2 of the Deposit Consultation Document (DCD) states that the LDP is required to produce outputs including: '· maximising well-being and creating sustainable places through placemaking; · reflect local aspirations for the County Borough, based on a vision agreed by the Council and other stakeholders; · provide a basis for rational and consistent development management decisions; · guide growth and change, while protecting local diversity, character, and sensitive environments; and · ensure the social and economic resilience of settlements and their ability to adapt to change over the long term. It is argued that the allocation of substantial areas of green field land south of the A48 that have previously received long term protection from previous Council administrations and Planning Inspectors would not meet the objectives set by the Council and Welsh Government for high quality place making. The environment south of the A48 has long been viewed as an area of restraint due to its high landscape and ecological value and as part of a holistic view of protection of the environs of the nationally important Merthyr Mawr village and National Nature Reserve. In particular the impact that such large scale housing allocations will have on the highway infrastructure of the area would be significantly detrimental. In terms of the LDP promotion of Active Travel, the A48 would remain a dangerous obstacle to walking and cycling routes to facilities in Bridgend which would result in housing being orphaned on the 'wrong side' of this major transport corridor. This will be the case particularly for the proposed Craig Y Parcau houses under COM1 that are allocated as a stand alone isolated housing allocation, seemingly having no justification other than a house once stood there. The proposal does not command local support. A previous attempt to promote large scale development in this location was overturned in the previous LDP. It is the case that this area does not posse the environmental capacity to promote such large scale housing development and the strategic planning response should be for management and maintenance of the area for low key countryside management as with other protected areas in the County Borough. As stated in the objectives to the LDP Review, the proposals should protect local diversity, character and sensitive environments. The current proposals would produce the opposite impact for current and future generations. In short the strategic allocations would fail to meet the objectives of sustainable development and would frustrate the opportunities of future generations to enjoy and benefit from the natural environment surrounding Bridgend in the way that previous generations have been allowed to. The LDP Vision to 2033 is stated as: 'Since the turn of the millennium, Bridgend and the wider County Borough has been on a journey to expand access to key services, enhance physical environmental quality and improve quality of life for residents, workers and visitors. This transformation will continue throughout the LDP period, resulting in the continued development of a safe, healthy and inclusive network of communities that connect more widely with the regions to enable
790	

	sustainable economic growth.' It is contended that the large scale allocation of housing and growth areas south of the A48 will not help the County Borough and its residents meet this vision. In fact, it is argued that creating isolated housing estates on high environmental quality land in accessible walking/cycling locations will prevent achievement of the vision. The development would not be able to meet the vision of 'safe, healthy and inclusive'. The development of such a large scale nearly 50 ha development at PLA2 will irrevocably change the whole character of the southern area of Bridgend and detrimentally impact on areas of high quality landscape that are recognised in LANDMAP designations and protection via previous LDP policies. In conclusion, the proposed Island Farm PLA2 and COM 1 Craig Y Parcau Strategic Growth and Housing Allocations would: • fail to command community support; • would deny future generations the opportunity to enjoy green infrastructure and biodiversity south of the A48; • would not allow for active travel given the extensive and dangerous barrier of the A48 and would clog up existing rural lanes; • frustrate the aims of producing sustainable development in the County Borough.

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
790	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
790	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
790	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
790	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
790	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
790	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
790	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
790	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
790	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
790	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
790	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
790	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
790	

LDP Rep: 791

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No I trust our council to make the right decision
791	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
791	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I would like to know are their going to be houses built for the local people the people who have been on the council housing register will I get a council flat ?
791	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	I would like to see it fit in with the environment and also be environmentally friendly to the local wildlife and wild flower we have in the town I want to see the town work to its full potential
791	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I am a disabled woman so my main thing is housing I want council housing for my needs I am happy with everything proposed
791	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	I think the new Aldi will give a little employment but I also think Aldi will attract more people in to town and with good travel links people can come and work in the town also
791	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	We could have a mini pines with the new Aldi and other retailers that will fit in with the new Aldi and perhaps the shops in porthcawel won't be filled with coffee and charity shops I want to see new retail stores in porthcawl
791	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	What ever helps the environment and makes life cheaper to live has to be good I'm all for said plans
791	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	I'm all for the council making out town look better I'm with BCBC
791	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	It all looks so exciting
791	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	It's going to look great I can't wait for the new look porthcawl thor are a number of people in the town who don't want progress I do want progress and hopefully next year we can rid the town of it's PIA councillors and the town can move on smoothly through regeneration
791	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	It can only be a good thing
791	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Why would anyone want to stop progress I support the council and it's plans
791	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	I think the plans litharge are proposed are great and I support BCBC and after what's happend with the maritime centre we never had well in the future I don't think our town council are capable of looking after dog poop bins let alone regenerate,well Done for haveing a vision for the future BCBC
791	

LDP Rep: 792

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	The key driver behind any developments must engage with the expectation that green field and open spaces are protected. Brownfield land must be used.Environmental protection must be the first question asked. The quality of our environment influences the social and wellness of our communities.
792	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Growth for growth sake is not the determining factor, jobs are obviously a key demand, but quality of life must come first.
792	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	The development of our spatial strategy does not have to mean building on greenfield land and the strategy must as a priority seek to improve existing infrastructure.
792	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	State of the art building design adds to the attractiveness of our areas. There is very little to praise regarding our places in this regard.
792	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	There should be higher priority given to health and fitness education and training involving the whole community so any building development must include community facilities in this regard. All new housing should incorporate wi-fi and solar panels.
792	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Local people must be ready to reskill and train and the strategy should have a mix of small businesses support and larger enterprises, BUT we need to encourage new activities not just old ones.
792	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	The only point I have is the continuing decline of the developments after start up in terms of the untidy areas associated with them, car parks and storage areas tend to decline and see much neglect.
792	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	

792	Everything must be recycled waste must be used to generate heat. All householders and businesses should play their role. The Council should avoid primary won aggregates by reducing demand.

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	The historical environment is what makes an area attractive to visit and all policies should ask the question ' Does any development(s) detract from this asset. The economic impact of the historical enviroment can be measured in the same way output from local businesses.
792	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	Good to see the word 'Reclamation' in there.
792	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Any developments must be seen to interlink one with the other. Good to see a focus on this re transport.
792	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	As above.
792	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	It is of concern when the proposals talk about 'land' - brownfield sites should be identified where ever they are.
792	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No
792	

LDP Rep: 793

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	I would like to protest against the housing development proposal for Laleston.
793	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
793	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
793	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
793	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No
793	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
793	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
793	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
793	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	No

793	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
793	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	No
793	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
793	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I would like to protest about the proposed housing development in Laleston.
793	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No
793	

LDP Rep: 794

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
794	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Reviewing the proposed Seafront developments in Porthcawl I disagree that there is an adequate balance between the commercial, housing and public facility offerings. Far too much has been focused on the commercial and housing and not enough on provision of public services within the area. The seafront does not need additional housing and developments and feels like a "cash grab" for myself and many other I have spoken to in the community. It is an easy and unimaginative method of generating incoming funds by the council and the community do not feel they receive a better seafront with better facilities as a result. Unfortunately it is rare to see a development that just focuses on providing a public services project (certainly in Porthcawl). Whilst I understand (to some degree) that there is a balance and that that one pays for the other, it is rare that the council look to provide facilities without the involvement of a housing or a commercial development within a project. The return of public services to the community versus the commercial and housing development does not look balanced and I feel either better public services should be offered for the community and to the Porthcawl tourists visiting or that housing and commercial developments should be reduced to actually represent a balanced approach to the seafront development.
794	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	I disagree that Porthcawl need additional housing on the Seafront for regeneration growth. There already have been numerous housing developments within Porthcawl, whilst I welcome any development projects for the schools and even the limited offering of public services that have been planned, I feel that the housing provision is already growing in Porthcawl without the need for additional housing on the Seafront. The Seafront needs better facilities for the community and tourism - not additional housing.
794	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	Whilst the aesthetic of the designs seem strong and in keeping with the area and the I support sustainable policies, I feel that the Seafront development in Porthcawl is too housing and commercial biased and that an opportunity for public services provision has been missed. Public facilities that offer interaction for tourist and communities other seafronts have developed things like play areas, skateparks, lidos, outdoor exercise areas, etc that have engaged tourist and the local community alike and a
794	

	plan that is so weighted in favour of housing and commercial provision is not something I can support.

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I welcome the development of schools within this development project but as per my pervious comments in regard to Porthcawl Seafront development the additional housing and commercial development do not have any visible kickback to the local community in terms of public services in this area. The Seafront needs more for people to do and interact with (like the examples before, lidos, play areas, outdoor leisure activities, skateboard areas, etc). Porthcawl has already had numerous housing developments already and whilst I understand it is a popular and growing location that people want to live the council's responsibility is to help balance the housing and commercial provision against public services provision and I do not see this with regards to the Porthcawl Seafront development.
794	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	I certainly accept that a supermarket will create new jobs within Porthcawl.
794	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Whilst I accept that Porthcawl lacks a selection of supermarkets more needs to be done to support the local businesses, likely this supermarket will put more strain on local food businesses in Porthcawl centre. Currently it is struggling and more and more of these premises are becoming pound and charity shops as these are the only things economically viable, creation of a large supermarket with providing additional developments for local businesses like this will likely force them to close. I do not feel the current policy and approach in allowing large supermarkets such as ADLI to setup without supporting local businesses is good policy or strategy.
794	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	I welcome polices on renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management.
794	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	I do not think the plan follows the policies referenced above as per my previous comments around Porthcawl Seafront development.
794	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
794	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill

ID	
794	In regard to Porthcawl Waterfront development : There already have been numerous housing developments within Porthcawl, whilst I welcome any development projects for the schools and even the limited offering of public services that have been planned, I feel that the housing provision is already growing in Porthcawl without the need for additional housing on the Seafront. The Seafront needs better facilities for the community and tourism (lidos, play areas, skateparks, murals/artwork, outdoor exercise equipment)- not additional housing. The creation of a supermarket whilst helping with jobs and shopping needs will likely come at a cost to the town centre - further creating strain on local food businesses. The centre needs support to new smaller businesses the over the numerous pound and charity shops to bring in tourism into the town centre.

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
794	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
794	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
794	

LDP Rep: 795

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
795	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
795	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
795	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
795	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
795	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
795	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
795	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
795	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

795	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
795	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
795	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
795	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I strongly object to the proposed development of Island Farm. It will destroy the last piece of rural countryside between Bridgend town and the sea. It will also result in traffic congestion and impact on the safety of people using this beautiful area for recreation purposes. It would also destroy the natural habitat of wildlife. This area should be preserved for our children's future. We do not need another Broadlands!
795	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Please listen to the voice of the local population. We also have to consider how would the Princess of Wales hospital cope with all these extra people needing care.
795	

LDP Rep: 796

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): N/A

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Not at this stage
796	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Not at this stage
796	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	Housing - and affordable housing should be the priority - should use brownfield sites only wherever possible, particularly for sustainable growth areas. Any new developments, particularly housing, must have the necessary infrastructure to support them before they are approved.
796	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	It is important to maintain the identity of particular communities, and make sure they are not simply absorbed into a single amorphous sprawl which expands, e.g., urban areas into existing identified rural communities.
796	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Infrastructure needs to include adequate transport routes that do not lead to increased traffic congestion and pollution, that ensures adequate green spaces and biodiversity (including public footpaths in attractive settings and field, woodland and hedgerow systems), and allow easy access to rural spaces for the well-being and health of existing and new residents.
796	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Housing needs to be within easy (without requiring people to drive) access to employment opportunities.
796	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	We support retail development being concentrated in rejuvenated town centres.
796	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?

ID	Housing and building development should incorporate requirements for sustainable non-carbon and affordable energy sources as an integral part of their design, including solar panels, replacement or non-carbon boilers, etc.
796	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	New housing and economic growth must not be at the expense of the natural and historic environment - wherever possible brownfield sites should be utilised.
796	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
796	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
796	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
796	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Land west of Bridgend: in particular we oppose the use of Proposed Site PLA3, known locally as the 'Circus Field' for housing for the following reasons:- - Further housing is not necessary at this location. An evidence-based case has not been made. The West of Bridgend area has been the site of some 3000 new houses in recent years. Significant further housing is also currently being built adjacent to this proposal in Bryntirion. This is already a disproportionate amount. It would be bad planning to add a further 850 houses to this area. To make this delicate site profitable, even so-called 'affordable' housing would be beyond the means of most young people. - Infrastructure is not in place to support further development. The local comprehensive school, for example, has not yet caught up with the housebuilding of the previous decade. The viability of further expansion of Bryntirion Comprehensive School is very doubtful because of road access constraints. Section 106 contributions from a developer therefore would be futile for this purpose. Sending children from the proposed site to other comprehensive schools would violate the loacl place making principles stated in the draft LDP. Other aspects of infrastructure including sewerage, drainage, NHS services, etc. have not been anywhere nearly addressed. - Further along the A473, air quality testing in Park Street reveals it to be one of the most polluted locations in the county. Generating more traffic to use the A473 violates the sustainable development principles contained in the draft LDP. - Further road traffic would also put further strain on the A473 junctions with Elm Crescent and Heol y Nant, the traffic lights at Bryngolau, and the A48 Broadlands roundabout, which is already strained for capacity. - The site would coalesce the community boundaries of Bryntirion and Laleston, contrary to good planning principles. It would also be in conflict with the principle of maintaining at least two fields between the two
796	

	communities established when the Broadlands proposal was first approved. - The site has an inherently rural aspect, it forms a green wedge bordering a ward that is officially rural, and a ward that is officially urban. The overall effect would be urbanization of the entire district. Urbanization would violate the council's objective of maintaining and enhancing the natural resources and biodiversity of the county borough. - This green wedge is the location of the Laleston Stones Trail, and the Bridgend Circular Walk, and is a field, woodland and hedgerow system with an historical heritage. Llangewydd Road and its surrounding lane network have been identified by historians as a pre-historic ridgeway, a medieval pilgrim's way, Ffordd y Gyfraith ('The Way of the Law'), and a drover's road. There is a strong possibility of Roman and Celtic archeology on site. - The proposed site criss-crossed by public rights of way which have been conscientiously maintained by the Community Council and which are highly valued by local people and visitors. Urbanizing them would create a miserable aspect, which the developer's proposals for “corridors” would not mitigate. Developers would leave the site transferring corridor maintenance costs onto the community. - No evidence has been produced to show that the commercial benefits of building at this location would more than outweigh the loss of positive social value of the site in its current condition. Overall, there would be a severe loss of visual, social and public amenity. - The loss of the rich and diverse flora and fauna of the woodland, fields and hedgerows is not justified by any commercial benefit from this development. - This urbanization would create an undesirable precedent for further urbanization to south, north and west. It would move the built-up area's boundary, making further greenfield development difficult to resist. This would further coalesce, with Broadlands to the south, Penyfai to the north, and towards Pyle in the west. - The proposal to close Llangewydd Road to vehicular traffic is undesirable and disingenuous. a) Undesirable, because this lane is already a popular walking and cycling route, and vehicular traffic coexists without difficulty on this stretch. Alternative vehicle movements, along the lane north from the A473 at Crossways, towards the Old Church Field, as apparently recommended by the developer, would cause unacceptable conflict with walkers and cyclists along a lane that would require considerable upgrading and maintenance due to its current poor state for vehicular traffic and regular flooding throughout the winter months. Alternative vehicular movements would not be equally convenient to any users of the lane network, and the unintended consequences could be severe. They have not been investigated. b) Disingenuous, because no evidence has been put forward to argue for the closure of Llangewydd Road. It is therefore reasonable to suggest that a credible motive for this closure is to eliminate Llangewydd Road as a 'natural' boundary for the development. Removing vehicular traffic removes this boundary and leaves the way wide open to future applications for further housing development towards Penyfai, which planners would find difficult to resist. This would repeat the experience of Broadlands, where an initial development of a slightly larger size than this proposal grew from a new settlement measured in hundreds to one now numbered in thousands. The inclusion of Old Church Field (north of Llangewydd Road) in the proposal, while on the face of it a philanthropic measure, could in reality be a further indication of an ambition to expand this development further northwards. - In a nutshell, this proposal puts the wrong type of development with the wrong type of houses in the wrong location. A case is not made and the proposal should be set aside and not progressed in the LDP.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Not at this stage.
796	

LDP Rep: 797

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Would like to voice my concern on the proposed new development at island farm. We regularly walk our dog over the fields and have used them for quite number of years. Crossing the a48 now is dangerous this will only lead to an increase in traffic and make the road even more dangerous. There have already been fatalities in this road which has still no sufficient crossings. This is seen as a nature reserve with protect animals which will be a shame to see this lost. Losing island farm will also be a loss due to the historical significance and the visitors that this already brings to bridgend.
797	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
797	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
797	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
797	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
797	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
797	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
797	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	

797	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
797	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
797	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
797	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
797	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
797	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Would like to voice my concern on the proposed new development at island farm. We regularly walk our dog over the fields and have used them for quite number of years. Crossing the a48 now is dangerous this will only lead to an increase in traffic and make the road even more dangerous. There have already been fatalities in this road which has still no sufficient crossings. This is seen as a nature reserve with protect animals which will be a shame to see this lost. Losing island farm will also be a loss due to the historical significance and the visitors that this already brings to bridgend.
797	

LDP Rep: 798

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
798	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
798	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
798	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
798	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
798	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
798	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
798	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
798	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

798	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
798	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
798	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
798	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Rebuttal to Allocation Of COM1 (2) and PLA 2 - Housing and Growth Allocations, South of A48 Bridgend Para 1.1.2 of the Deposit Consultation Document (DCD) states that the LDP is required to produce outputs including: '· maximising well-being and creating sustainable places through placemaking; · reflect local aspirations for the County Borough, based on a vision agreed by the Council and other stakeholders; · provide a basis for rational and consistent development management decisions; · guide growth and change, while protecting local diversity, character, and sensitive environments; and · ensure the social and economic resilience of settlements and their ability to adapt to change over the long term. It is argued that the allocation of substantial areas of green field land south of the A48 that have previously received long term protection from previous Council administrations and Planning Inspectors would not meet the objectives set by the Council and Welsh Government for high quality place making. The environment south of the A48 has long been viewed as an area of restraint due to its high landscape and ecological value and as part of a holistic view of protection of the environs of the nationally important Merthyr Mawr village and National Nature Reserve. In particular the impact that such large scale housing allocations will have on the highway infrastructure of the area would be significantly detrimental. In terms of the LDP promotion of Active Travel, the A48 would remain a dangerous obstacle to walking and cycling routes to facilities in Bridgend which would result in housing being orphaned on the 'wrong side' of this major transport corridor. This will be the case particularly for the proposed Craig Y Parcau houses under COM1 that are allocated as a stand alone isolated housing allocation, seemingly having no justification other than a house once stood there. The proposal does not command local support. A previous attempt to promote large scale development in this location was overturned in the previous LDP. It is the case that this area does not posse the environmental capacity to promote such large scale housing development and the strategic planning response should be for management and maintenance of the area for low key countryside management as with other protected areas in the County Borough. As stated in the objectives to the LDP Review, the proposals should protect local diversity, character and sensitive environments. The current proposals would produce the opposite impact for current
798	

	and future generations. In short the strategic allocations would fail to meet the objectives of sustainable development and would frustrate the opportunities of future generations to enjoy and benefit from the natural environment surrounding Bridgend in the way that previous generations have been allowed to. The LDP Vision to 2033 is stated as: 'Since the turn of the millennium, Bridgend and the wider County Borough has been on a journey to expand access to key services, enhance physical environmental quality and improve quality of life for residents, workers and visitors. This transformation will continue throughout the LDP period, resulting in the continued development of a safe, healthy and inclusive network of communities that connect more widely with the regions to enable sustainable economic growth.' It is contended that the large scale allocation of housing and growth areas south of the A48 will not help the County Borough and its residents meet this vision. In fact, it is argued that creating isolated housing estates on high environmental quality land in accessible walking/cycling locations will prevent achievement of the vision. The development would not be able to meet the vision of 'safe, healthy and inclusive'. The development of such a large scale nearly 50 ha development at PLA2 will irrevocably change the whole character of the southern area of Bridgend and detrimentally impact on areas of high quality landscape that are recognised in LANDMAP designations and protection via previous LDP policies. In conclusion, the proposed Island Farm PLA2 and COM 1 Craig Y Parcau Strategic Growth and Housing Allocations would: • fail to command community support; • would deny future generations the opportunity to enjoy green infrastructure and biodiversity south of the A48; • would not allow for active travel given the extensive and dangerous barrier of the A48 and would clog up existing rural lanes; • frustrate the aims of producing sustainable development in the County Borough.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
798	

LDP Rep: 799

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Although housing is needed great care must be taken to protect the environment both in nature and in Bridgend's historical past. Could brown sites be used?
799	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Housing development in the proposed area would encourage workers from Cardiff and Swansea and would lead Bridgend into an even bigger dormitory town and thus not helping the local economy. The beautiful environment and historical island farm would be destroyed and the effect on the already busy road system would be disastrous. re new roads being planned? What will happen to island farm? Would public rights of way be protected? Are new schools and other public amenities being planned? Is there a public meeting?
799	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	More investment and growth are needed in the above communities rather than Bridgend town
799	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	It is essential but can it be achieved by destroying an environment next to an already built up area?
799	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I do not feel that housing in the island farm area and between Laleston and Bridgend would act as an economic driver in the area. It would destroy the green belt and encourage people to live there who work elsewhere thus not improving the economy of the area. Why not convert the many closed shops in the town centre into apartments. This would improve the town centre into a a place people would want to go to and could house the people the council wish to attract into the area and allow this people to enjoy the countryside which therefore need not be destroyed.
799	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	As long as the people who buy these homes work in the area and not in neighbouring cities.
799	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Bridgend town cannot continue as a retail only town. Planning must be given so that shops can be converted into housing and social amenities.
799	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
799	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	This is very important for future generations
799	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	All important
799	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	All important
799	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	All important there should be no housing development west of Bridgend destroying the environment when brown sites can be used.
799	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Don’t make Bridgend even more of a dormitory town but redevelop the area which have been left (brown field) sites.
799	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
799	

LDP Rep: 800

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Increased traffic congestion between Waterton roundabout and Ewenny roundabout, will heighten already huge congestion problems on the Bridgend retail park.
800	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Housing should not be on green land area. Schools and Doctors will not cope.
800	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	There are insufficient infrastructure facilities for these developments.
800	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No.
800	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No.
800	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Why did Fords close and the proposed Brocastle development not proceed.???
800	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Bridgend retail park is a traffic planning disaster.
800	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No.
800	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	Yes this will ruin the natural area and environment.

800	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
800	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
800	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
800	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No development should take place south of the A48.
800	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	No.
800	

LDP Rep: 801

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
801	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	The houses proposed for the area South of the A48; Island farm etc is ridiculous. A thousand homes, up too 2000 extra cars flooding the A48 up to Ewenny roundabout. It can't cope now. You cannot be serious surely. Look at the mess the Council allowed to happen re A48/ McDonalds link road, traffic backed up for hundreds of yards. Your history as a council means you will cock it up again. The infrastructure will not support this additional level of housing Wake up!!!!
801	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	See previous comments
801	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
801	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	See previous comments
801	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	Good
801	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Well, Bcbc has killed Bridgend town centre. Its a shithole.
801	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
801	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	And your going to build houses on Greenfield sites. Spot the irony eh!
801	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
801	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
801	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
801	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Great improved walking cycling routes. Concerning about the loss of green fields.
801	Bridgend is NOT a suburb of Cardiff!!!!!!

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Don't make the same old mistakes Labour. Wake up, smell the coffee. Your killing the
801	towns and our meagre countryside. Our kids won't thank you. Shape up.

LDP Rep: 802

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	The huge potential for congestion impact on the roads around Ewenny roundabout, the A48, and the Watertown by-pass must be taken into consideration. The air pollution already caused by the sheer volume of traffic will increase exponentially with cars jammed on the A48, engines running; the already very high noise pollution caused to adjacent housing will be increased and for longer periods. Difficulties are already encountered with the traffic jams caused by McDonalds customers. There is a very high stress level encountered by all residents of Pictionary gardens when transiting to and from their homes - illegal parking has NOT been countered by enforcement officers and the behaviour triggered by the queues, whereby cars regularly go the wrong way round the road divider at the end of Picton gardens, will lead to the issue of traffic violations to simply frustrated residents. The pressure on the local schools, hospitals, doctors and dentists will be increased by 850 x 2 MINIMUM new residents is untenable. The Dipping Bridge is a site of considerable beauty and is etched into local memory for the historic sheep dipping, baptisms and recreational uses. This development will push housing right up to the spot. Added to all of the congestion, pollution and frustration, further plans for housing near Llaleston will exacerbate the already awful road traffic loads and cause distress to all residents within the proposed'ground zero' of this development.
802	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
802	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
802	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
802	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	See previous comments
802	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?

ID
802

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?
ID
802

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?
ID
802

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	See previous comments
802	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley
ID
802

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill
ID
802

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys
ID
802

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed
ID
802

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?
ID
802

LDP Rep: 803

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	No
803	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	No
803	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	No
803	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	No
803	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	No
803	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	No
803	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	No
803	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	No
803	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	No

803	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	No
803	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	Development to concentrate on more leisure and tourism and public open space and car parking on Porthcawl Waterfront.
803	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	No
803	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	No
803	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Porthcawl Waterfront could include splash park, sea life centre on Salt lake and beach huts and landscaped parkland on Sandy Bay. As Porthcawl is a holiday destination for many, this would be an asset to Porthcawl for residents and holiday makers to enjoy and be a lasting legacy for future generations to enjoy.
803	

LDP Rep: 804

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
804	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
804	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
804	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
804	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I note there is a school mentioned in the proposal but no mention of additional GP and social services for Porthcawl. The GP practice cannot cope with the current residents let alone additional 500 homes.
804	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	The employment strategy should not include a supermarket to generate job opportunities. Porthcawl requires affordable sports and leisure facilities appropriate to a seaside town. We should be building on the character and best of Porthcawl and not another money making opportunity for the council.
804	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Porthcawl has sufficient shopping area in John Street with fantastic local proprietors. We do not need supermarkets or large stores which will change the character of Porthcawl and not enhance it.
804	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
804	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	These goals should facilitate sustainable economic growth but not at detriment of the natural environment and for pure economic benefits to the council.
804	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
804	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
804	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
804	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
804	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	As a resident of Porthcawl for the past 38 years we always seem to be the low priority for BCBC as we have the seafront and the sea. Other than walking there are not suitable exercise facilities for families and residents of all ages. Again, we'd not need a supermarket which will affect the shops and fantastic shop keepers in John Street.
804	

LDP Rep: 806

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Yes - all policies should be compatible with a target to reach net zero by 2050 (at latest) and also to address the biodiversity crisis.
806	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	I'm not sure growth is compatible with the climate and nature crisis unless it is net zero growth.
806	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	All development should focus on the areas needing regeneration and the sustainable growth areas should be dropped because they are not "sustainable "
806	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	The only acceptable standard to be achieved is one that is compatible with the climate and nature crisis - all new housing should meet passive house standards. Heat networks need to be renewable and this should be a requirement placed on developers. There should be considerable provision of trees (with sufficient room for roots and receiving water) in all new developments
806	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	I'm worried about how active travel access will be enabled in developments south of the A48 given the A48 is in the way and will prevent walking/ cycling
806	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
806	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	Bridgend town centre needs regeneration
806	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	The renewable energy assessment is based on a flawed understanding of the criteria applied to development and overly reduces opportunities because it takes an illogical approach to cumulative impacts. The plan fails to consider green hydrogen which will
806	

	likely be required to decarbonise industry. There should be a policy enabling the development of electrolysed and electrolytic hydrogen.

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	I think the plan should seek to enhance the natural environment and forget about conserving the existing. Policy encouraging tree planting in urban areas should be strengthened.
806	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
806	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
806	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
806	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	The two housing allocations south of the A48 should be removed from the plan. These are unacceptable given the traffic and safety issues of the A48. Access on foot / bike will be limited and there will be unacceptable impact on the SINC.
806	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	Developments south of the A48 will increase traffic on the A48 and this will lead to increased use of the rat run to ewenny which will be dangerous to users (walkers, bikers and horses) therefore having a knock on impact of those users leading to a reduction in active travel and leisure uses of newbridge fields and Merthyr mawr. Any developments in this area should be future proofed so as not to add to climate change / nature crisis and should include trees planted for shading.
806	

LDP Rep: 807

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): N/A

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Yes.
807	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	Focus on regeneration growth areas rather than sustainable growth areas.
807	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
807	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
807	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
807	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
807	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
807	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	What about policy support for green hydrogen?
807	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

807	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
807	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
807	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
807	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I have very serious concerns regarding proposed Sp2 (2) and com1 (2). Having a single exit off a new housing estate of 800+ houses will cause unacceptable traffic pressure on a road which is already extremely busy and very dangerous to cross (A48). Many children use this as a walking route to school. We have sadly had one teenager killed in this road. Extra traffic will only aggravate the situation and cause constant traffic chaos. In addition to this the inevitable traffic pressure which will be put on the rat run through Merthyr Mawr will endanger cyclists and children who use the area for recreational purposes. The roads are narrow and complete unsuitable for increased traffic. Merthyr Mawr is beautiful and should be protected at all costs. What other areas of Bridgend could we honestly say have the same level of history, beauty and natural wildlife. It was a sanctuary for many local people in lockdown and these proposals will affect the mental health of those who benefit from the peace and relaxation it provides. I really can't believe such an area would even seriously be considered for 800 + new houses, surely an alternative can be found. Thank you.
807	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
807	

LDP Rep: 808

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	Regarding Salt lake Development ,Being a Porthcawl resident i practically every day I go to some part of Porthcawl, if you get rid of the salt lake Car park REGULAR visitors to the Area will quite obviously either park in the residential areas or go else where (which means that the shops and other businesses will close down) IF you do develop Salt Lake you must provide alternative CAR PARKING FACILITIES ?? (May i point out in the last few weekends every Car park in Porthcawl as been totally full) I have lived in Fulmar Rd for 6 yrs now and cars are starting to park on the grass verges and on pavements
808	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
808	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
808	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	yes having worked hard for all of my life (which as enabled me to purchase a house in Porthcawl) i hope that any developments on any part of the front in Porthcawl are Decent (Take a look at the Mistakes that Llanelli Council made on the Millenium walk Estates on the sea front They are Dreadfull ???
808	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
808	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
808	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
808	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
808	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
808	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
808	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
808	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
808	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
808	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
808	

LDP Rep: 809

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
809	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
809	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
809	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
809	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
809	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
809	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
809	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	The current waste facilities are not fit for purpose. Long queues and not enough in the borough to service the number of houses. The bryncethin recycling centre for example has people from all over Bridgend, the valleys and the new housing sites at Coity going to it. It takes 2 hours outside of working hours to get in. Which leaves many people decide to dump waste instead. I believe this leads to rats which are a problem in many areas in the borough.
809	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	
809	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
809	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
809	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	Public transport is a major issue, older people like my parents and grandparents are cut off from supermarkets, recreation facilities in the borough due to the lack of evening and weekend bus services to the ogmore valley
809	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	
809	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
809	

LDP Rep: 810

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
810	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
810	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
810	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
810	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	Against more housing and development on greenfield land in Laleston Bridgend
810	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
810	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
810	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
810	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

810	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
810	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
810	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
810	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Against this proposal
810	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
810	

LDP Rep: 811

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable): [redacted]

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
811	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
811	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
811	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
811	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
811	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
811	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
811	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
811	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

811	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
811	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
811	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
811	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	I wish to lodge my objection to the development of the island farm site for 847 houses as projected. I am a resident of Merthyr Mawr Road and i'm familiar with this area having lived on this road or close by the majority of my life. I am well aware of the potential impact of traffic safety and enjoyment of this area should this development take place. Over the past 18 months during the pandemic, while using the roads and lanes in this area for walking or cycling it has been clear how much it is an area loved by the residents and others who visit but most particularly as the pandemic continued and traffic increased it has shown how difficult to enjoy this area while increased road traffic made walking and cycling extremely dangerous. The inevitable huge increase in traffic from a massive residential development will impact this area tragically. It is already extremely difficult at busy times to travel on the A48 as well as leaving and entering this road at most of the exits between Laleston and the other side of Bridgend. Merthyr Mawr Road itself is already used as a rat run by cars and lorries leaving the A48. The prospect of this development going through in the form suggested is a nightmare to anybody who knows this area well. Poor decisions have been made regarding planning in Bridgend in the past this is the time and opportunity to listen to the people of Bridgend and avoid repeating mistakes which will be regretted for generations to come.
811	

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
811	

LDP Rep: 812

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
812	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
812	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
812	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
812	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
812	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
812	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
812	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
812	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

812	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
812	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
812	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
812	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Bridgend LDP Review 2018-33 Rebuttal to Allocation Of COM1 (2) and PLA 2 - Housing and Growth Allocations, South of A48 Bridgend Para 1.1.2 of the Deposit Consultation Document (DCD) states that the LDP is required to produce outputs including: '· maximising well-being and creating sustainable places through placemaking; · reflect local aspirations for the County Borough, based on a vision agreed by the Council and other stakeholders; · provide a basis for rational and consistent development management decisions; · guide growth and change, while protecting local diversity, character, and sensitive environments; and · ensure the social and economic resilience of settlements and their ability to adapt to change over the long term. It is argued that the allocation of substantial areas of green field land south of the A48 that have previously received long term protection from previous Council administrations and Planning Inspectors would not meet the objectives set by the Council and Welsh Government for high quality place making. The environment south of the A48 has long been viewed as an area of restraint due to its high landscape and ecological value and as part of a holistic view of protection of the environs of the nationally important Merthyr Mawr village and National Nature Reserve. In particular the impact that such large scale housing allocations will have on the highway infrastructure of the area would be significantly detrimental. In terms of the LDP promotion of Active Travel, the A48 would remain a dangerous obstacle to walking and cycling routes to facilities in Bridgend which would result in housing being orphaned on the 'wrong side' of this major transport corridor. This will be the case particularly for the proposed Craig Y Parcau houses under COM1 that are allocated as a stand alone isolated housing allocation, seemingly having no justification other than a house once stood there. The proposal does not command local support. A previous attempt to promote large scale development in this location was overturned in the previous LDP. It is the case that this area does not posse the environmental capacity to promote such large scale housing development and the strategic planning response should be for management and maintenance of the area for low key countryside management as with other protected areas in the County Borough. As stated in the objectives to the LDP Review, the proposals should protect local diversity, character and sensitive environments. The current proposals would produce
812	

	the opposite impact for current and future generations. In short the strategic allocations would fail to meet the objectives of sustainable development and would frustrate the opportunities of future generations to enjoy and benefit from the natural environment surrounding Bridgend in the way that previous generations have been allowed to. The LDP Vision to 2033 is stated as: 'Since the turn of the millennium, Bridgend and the wider County Borough has been on a journey to expand access to key services, enhance physical environmental quality and improve quality of life for residents, workers and visitors. This transformation will continue throughout the LDP period, resulting in the continued development of a safe, healthy and inclusive network of communities that connect more widely with the regions to enable sustainable economic growth.' It is contended that the large scale allocation of housing and growth areas south of the A48 will not help the County Borough and its residents meet this vision. In fact, it is argued that creating isolated housing estates on high environmental quality land in accessible walking/cycling locations will prevent achievement of the vision. The development would not be able to meet the vision of 'safe, healthy and inclusive'. The development of such a large scale nearly 50 ha development at PLA2 will irrevocably change the whole character of the southern area of Bridgend and detrimentally impact on areas of high quality landscape that are recognised in LANDMAP designations and protection via previous LDP policies. In conclusion, the proposed Island Farm PLA2 and COM 1 Craig Y Parcau Strategic Growth and Housing Allocations would: • fail to command community support; • would deny future generations the opportunity to enjoy green infrastructure and biodiversity south of the A48; • would not allow for active travel given the extensive and dangerous barrier of the A48 and would clog up existing rural lanes; • frustrate the aims of producing sustainable development in the County Borough.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
812	

LDP Rep: 813

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
813	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
813	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
813	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
813	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
813	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
813	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
813	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
813	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

813	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
813	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
813	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
813	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Bridgend LDP Review 2018-33 Rebuttal to Allocation Of COM1 (2) and PLA 2 - Housing and Growth Allocations, South of A48 Bridgend Para 1.1.2 of the Deposit Consultation Document (DCD) states that the LDP is required to produce outputs including: '· maximising well-being and creating sustainable places through placemaking; · reflect local aspirations for the County Borough, based on a vision agreed by the Council and other stakeholders; · provide a basis for rational and consistent development management decisions; · guide growth and change, while protecting local diversity, character, and sensitive environments; and · ensure the social and economic resilience of settlements and their ability to adapt to change over the long term. It is argued that the allocation of substantial areas of green field land south of the A48 that have previously received long term protection from previous Council administrations and Planning Inspectors would not meet the objectives set by the Council and Welsh Government for high quality place making. The environment south of the A48 has long been viewed as an area of restraint due to its high landscape and ecological value and as part of a holistic view of protection of the environs of the nationally important Merthyr Mawr village and National Nature Reserve. In particular the impact that such large scale housing allocations will have on the highway infrastructure of the area would be significantly detrimental. In terms of the LDP promotion of Active Travel, the A48 would remain a dangerous obstacle to walking and cycling routes to facilities in Bridgend which would result in housing being orphaned on the 'wrong side' of this major transport corridor. This will be the case particularly for the proposed Craig Y Parcau houses under COM1 that are allocated as a stand alone isolated housing allocation, seemingly having no justification other than a house once stood there. The proposal does not command local support. A previous attempt to promote large scale development in this location was overturned in the previous LDP. It is the case that this area does not posse the environmental capacity to promote such large scale housing development and the strategic planning response should be for management and maintenance of the area for low key countryside management as with other protected areas in the County Borough. As stated in the objectives to the LDP Review, the proposals should protect local diversity, character and sensitive environments. The current proposals would produce
813	

	the opposite impact for current and future generations. In short the strategic allocations would fail to meet the objectives of sustainable development and would frustrate the opportunities of future generations to enjoy and benefit from the natural environment surrounding Bridgend in the way that previous generations have been allowed to. The LDP Vision to 2033 is stated as: 'Since the turn of the millennium, Bridgend and the wider County Borough has been on a journey to expand access to key services, enhance physical environmental quality and improve quality of life for residents, workers and visitors. This transformation will continue throughout the LDP period, resulting in the continued development of a safe, healthy and inclusive network of communities that connect more widely with the regions to enable sustainable economic growth.' It is contended that the large scale allocation of housing and growth areas south of the A48 will not help the County Borough and its residents meet this vision. In fact, it is argued that creating isolated housing estates on high environmental quality land in accessible walking/cycling locations will prevent achievement of the vision. The development would not be able to meet the vision of 'safe, healthy and inclusive'. The development of such a large scale nearly 50 ha development at PLA2 will irrevocably change the whole character of the southern area of Bridgend and detrimentally impact on areas of high quality landscape that are recognised in LANDMAP designations and protection via previous LDP policies. In conclusion, the proposed Island Farm PLA2 and COM 1 Craig Y Parcau Strategic Growth and Housing Allocations would: • fail to command community support; • would deny future generations the opportunity to enjoy green infrastructure and biodiversity south of the A48; • would not allow for active travel given the extensive and dangerous barrier of the A48 and would clog up existing rural lanes; • frustrate the aims of producing sustainable development in the County Borough.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
813	

LDP Rep: 814

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
814	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
814	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
814	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
814	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
814	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
814	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
814	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
814	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

814	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
814	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
814	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
814	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Are you prepared to give this up for the development of 847 houses… Remember we are just the guardians of this beautiful countryside and if we let it go there's no turning back. PLEASE REGISTER YOUR OBJECTION WITH BCBC BY COPYING AND PASTING THIS Bridgend LDP Review 2018-33 Rebuttal to Allocation Of COM1 (2) and PLA 2 - Housing and Growth Allocations, South of A48 Bridgend Para 1.1.2 of the Deposit Consultation Document (DCD) states that the LDP is required to produce outputs including: '· maximising well-being and creating sustainable places through placemaking; · reflect local aspirations for the County Borough, based on a vision agreed by the Council and other stakeholders; · provide a basis for rational and consistent development management decisions; · guide growth and change, while protecting local diversity, character, and sensitive environments; and · ensure the social and economic resilience of settlements and their ability to adapt to change over the long term. It is argued that the allocation of substantial areas of green field land south of the A48 that have previously received long term protection from previous Council administrations and Planning Inspectors would not meet the objectives set by the Council and Welsh Government for high quality place making. The environment south of the A48 has long been viewed as an area of restraint due to its high landscape and ecological value and as part of a holistic view of protection of the environs of the nationally important Merthyr Mawr village and National Nature Reserve. In particular the impact that such large scale housing allocations will have on the highway infrastructure of the area would be significantly detrimental. In terms of the LDP promotion of Active Travel, the A48 would remain a dangerous obstacle to walking and cycling routes to facilities in Bridgend which would result in housing being orphaned on the 'wrong side' of this major transport corridor. This will be the case particularly for the proposed Craig Y Parcau houses under COM1 that are allocated as a stand alone isolated housing allocation, seemingly having no justification other than a house once stood there. The proposal does not command local support. A previous attempt to promote large scale development in this location was overturned in the previous LDP. It is the case that this area does not posse the environmental capacity to promote such large scale housing development and the strategic planning response should be for management and maintenance of the area for low key
814	

	countryside management as with other protected areas in the County Borough. As stated in the objectives to the LDP Review, the proposals should protect local diversity, character and sensitive environments. The current proposals would produce the opposite impact for current and future generations. In short the strategic allocations would fail to meet the objectives of sustainable development and would frustrate the opportunities of future generations to enjoy and benefit from the natural environment surrounding Bridgend in the way that previous generations have been allowed to. The LDP Vision to 2033 is stated as: 'Since the turn of the millennium, Bridgend and the wider County Borough has been on a journey to expand access to key services, enhance physical environmental quality and improve quality of life for residents, workers and visitors. This transformation will continue throughout the LDP period, resulting in the continued development of a safe, healthy and inclusive network of communities that connect more widely with the regions to enable sustainable economic growth.' It is contended that the large scale allocation of housing and growth areas south of the A48 will not help the County Borough and its residents meet this vision. In fact, it is argued that creating isolated housing estates on high environmental quality land in accessible walking/cycling locations will prevent achievement of the vision. The development would not be able to meet the vision of 'safe, healthy and inclusive'. The development of such a large scale nearly 50 ha development at PLA2 will irrevocably change the whole character of the southern area of Bridgend and detrimentally impact on areas of high quality landscape that are recognised in LANDMAP designations and protection via previous LDP policies. In conclusion, the proposed Island Farm PLA2 and COM 1 Craig Y Parcau Strategic Growth and Housing Allocations would: • fail to command community support; • would deny future generations the opportunity to enjoy green infrastructure and biodiversity south of the A48; • would not allow for active travel given the extensive and dangerous barrier of the A48 and would clog up existing rural lanes; • frustrate the aims of producing sustainable development in the County Borough.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
814	

LDP Rep: 815

Representor Name: [redacted]

Organisation (if applicable):

Type of Representor: Member of the Public

1: Do you have any comments to make on the key issues and drivers, vision and objectives of the Deposit Replacement Local Development Plan?	
ID	
815	

2: Do you have any comments to make on the growth strategy?	
ID	
815	

3: Do you have any comments to make on the spatial strategy?	
ID	
815	

4: Do you have any comments to make on design and sustainable placemaking policies?	
ID	
815	

5: Do you have any comments to make on the active, health, cohesive and social communities policies?	
ID	
815	

6: Do you have any comments to make on the employment strategy?	
ID	
815	

7: Do you have any comments to make on retail centres and development policies?	
ID	
815	

8: Do you have any comments to make on the renewable energy, mineral resources and waste management policies?	
ID	
815	

9: Do you have any comments to make on the natural and built environment policies?	
ID	

815	

10: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Maesteg and the Llynfi Valley	
ID	
815	

11: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Porthcawl, Pyle, North Cornelly and Kenfig Hill	
ID	
815	

12: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Ogmore and Garw valleys	
ID	
815	

13: Do you have any comments to make on the key proposals? Bridgend and Pencoed	
ID	Bridgend LDP Review 2018-33 Rebuttal to Allocation Of COM1 (2) and PLA 2 - Housing and Growth Allocations, South of A48 Bridgend Para 1.1.2 of the Deposit Consultation Document (DCD) states that the LDP is required to produce outputs including: '· maximising well-being and creating sustainable places through placemaking; · reflect local aspirations for the County Borough, based on a vision agreed by the Council and other stakeholders; · provide a basis for rational and consistent development management decisions; · guide growth and change, while protecting local diversity, character, and sensitive environments; and · ensure the social and economic resilience of settlements and their ability to adapt to change over the long term. It is argued that the allocation of substantial areas of green field land south of the A48 that have previously received long term protection from previous Council administrations and Planning Inspectors would not meet the objectives set by the Council and Welsh Government for high quality place making. The environment south of the A48 has long been viewed as an area of restraint due to its high landscape and ecological value and as part of a holistic view of protection of the environs of the nationally important Merthyr Mawr village and National Nature Reserve. In particular the impact that such large scale housing allocations will have on the highway infrastructure of the area would be significantly detrimental. In terms of the LDP promotion of Active Travel, the A48 would remain a dangerous obstacle to walking and cycling routes to facilities in Bridgend which would result in housing being orphaned on the 'wrong side' of this major transport corridor. This will be the case particularly for the proposed Craig Y Parcau houses under COM1 that are allocated as a stand alone isolated housing allocation, seemingly having no justification other than a house once stood there. The proposal does not command local support. A previous attempt to promote large scale development in this location was overturned in the previous LDP. It is the case that this area does not posse the environmental capacity to promote such large scale housing development and the strategic planning response should be for management and maintenance of the area for low key countryside management as with other protected areas in the County Borough. As stated in the objectives to the LDP Review, the proposals should protect local diversity, character and sensitive environments. The current proposals would produce
815	

	the opposite impact for current and future generations. In short the strategic allocations would fail to meet the objectives of sustainable development and would frustrate the opportunities of future generations to enjoy and benefit from the natural environment surrounding Bridgend in the way that previous generations have been allowed to. The LDP Vision to 2033 is stated as: 'Since the turn of the millennium, Bridgend and the wider County Borough has been on a journey to expand access to key services, enhance physical environmental quality and improve quality of life for residents, workers and visitors. This transformation will continue throughout the LDP period, resulting in the continued development of a safe, healthy and inclusive network of communities that connect more widely with the regions to enable sustainable economic growth.' It is contended that the large scale allocation of housing and growth areas south of the A48 will not help the County Borough and its residents meet this vision. In fact, it is argued that creating isolated housing estates on high environmental quality land in accessible walking/cycling locations will prevent achievement of the vision. The development would not be able to meet the vision of 'safe, healthy and inclusive'. The development of such a large scale nearly 50 ha development at PLA2 will irrevocably change the whole character of the southern area of Bridgend and detrimentally impact on areas of high quality landscape that are recognised in LANDMAP designations and protection via previous LDP policies. In conclusion, the proposed Island Farm PLA2 and COM 1 Craig Y Parcau Strategic Growth and Housing Allocations would: • fail to command community support; • would deny future generations the opportunity to enjoy green infrastructure and biodiversity south of the A48; • would not allow for active travel given the extensive and dangerous barrier of the A48 and would clog up existing rural lanes; • frustrate the aims of producing sustainable development in the County Borough.

14: Do you have any other comments to make on the Deposit Replacement LDP?	
ID	
815	